

Evaluators Scores

Criterion	Abbreviated Description	Points Available	A	B	C	D	E	Comments
1.a	Describe location and legal access	30	30	30	30	30	30	
1.b	Identify source of water	10	2	10	10	8	10	Argues for conservation through reduced ET, questionable answered - vaguely - in (b), not (c): "thousands of AF", value is not supported; 4 x (1000/10) = 400; award 200
						0		Description but no quantification of amount
1.c	Quantify conserved or new water	4/10 af to 500	0	200	25		200	Landscape-scale project (four watersheds), appears to be in the conceptual planning stage. Uses CWPP. Is Catron County proposing a process similar to Arizona's 4-FRI to determine treatment areas that would give best results and avoid/minimize negative impacts?
1.d	Meets AWSA and CUFA	30	30	30	30	30	30	only one tech reference provided
2.a	Technical and engineering studies and support	20	10	10	0	15	5	Nothing really presented
2.b	hydro, ecologic, geotec support	20	10	5	10	20	5	Lots of cites, missing discussion how apply or support
3.a	Quantify est. planning/const. costs	10	10	8	10	10	5	Catron County oversight?
3.b	Quantify ongoing admin, O&M costs	10	2	2	8	10	5	No individual quantification by admin, O&M
3.c	Quantify enviro compliance and costs	10	10	10	10	10	10	
3.d	Quantify AWSA funding sought for life of project	10	10	10	10	10	10	
4.a	Describe and quantify enviro impacts, listed species	10	8	7	10	10	5	No ongoing maintenance/impacts, didn't use Table 10 info
4.b	How mitigaste above	10	2	5	10	10	8	
4.c	How benefit environment	10	5	5	10	10	10	
4.d	List enviro statutes, regulations, how would comply	10	5	5	5	10	10	Did not demonstrate how project implementation would comply with list
5.a	Estimate economic benefits	10	2	5	8	10	10	Not all economic benefits quantified
5.b	Estimate costs for planning, design, enviro, admin, etc.	10	10	10	10	10	10	no amount specified
5.c	Source and percentage local contribution	1 pt/1% up to 50	0	0	0	0	0	
6.a	Needs of historic, traditional uses and cultures	10	5	5	10	10	4	
6.b	Needs of current and future demands for water	20	5	5	20	15	0	Didn't address water
6.c	Flood control	20	15	10	5	20	10	
6.d	Fire protection, prevention, suppression	20	15	15	20	20	20	
6.e	Recreation	20	10	10	20	20	20	
6.f	Environmental protection	20	10	15	20	20	20	
6.g	Other	10	0	0	0	0	0	
7	Other entity support for proposal	40	40	40	40	40	30	
8	Counties benefitting	10/county to 40	10	25	10	10	20	5 pts for indirect municipal interests
9	Economic growth or benefit for interests	10/interest to 50	10	25	30	35	10	