

**Evaluators Scores**

Criterion	Abbreviated Description	Points Available	A	B	C	D	E	Comments
1.a	Describe location and legal access	30	30	20	30	30	30	
1.b	Identify source of water	10	10	10	10	10	10	
						500		
1.c	Quantify conserved or new water	4/10 af to 500	500	500	500		185	The 1,846 af "loss" is mostly return flows to the river system. Diversion is not consumption. In addition, the water 'saved" flows to the Duncan and Franklin irrigation areas in AZ where it would be consumed by irrigation anyway. No real benefit to NM.Gave 1/4 for incidental ET, or 462 af (probably generous). 462/10 x 4 = 185. Ditch will have to show additional water saved will be not used in AZ before implementation.
1.d	Meets AWSA and CUFA	30	20	10	30	30	30	
2.a	Technical and engineering studies and support	20	5	5	15	20	15	no demonstration of specific applicability here
2.b	hydro, ecologic, geotec support	20	5	5	20	20	10	
3.a	Quantify est. planning/const. costs	10	10	10	10	10	10	
3.b	Quantify ongoing admin, O&M costs	10	10	7	5	10	10	no estimate of project O&M, admin costs
						9		costs not explicitly stated, should have provided budget cushion amount
3.c	Quantify enviro compliance and costs	10	5	5	2		5	could obtain rough estimates from NRCS
						10		\$18M for 14 miles in an existing ditch structure a little high?
3.d	Quantify AWSA funding sought for life of project	10	10	5	8		8	no year by year estimate provided here
						5		no mention of species
4.a	Describe and quantify enviro impacts, listed species	10	5	5	5		2	poorly addressed
4.b	How mitigate above	10	5	5	10	10	2	
4.c	How benefit environment	10	5	10	5	5	2	not sure drip tape and sprinklers will conserve water
4.d	List enviro statutes, regulations, how would comply	10	2	0	0	5	0	statutes, regs not listed
5.a	Estimate economic benefits	10	5	7	0	0	5	no amount given ag estimates could have been derived
5.b	Estimate costs for planning, design, enviro, admin, etc.	10	10	5	0	0	5	no amount given, no reference to budget
						0		
5.c	Source and percentage local contribution	1 pt/1% up to 50	0	0	0		0	How much each canal contributing? Best guess from accounting 3.a is 6K/18M = 0.0003
6.a	Needs of historic, traditional uses and cultures	10	5	5	2	10	10	essentially no discussion of historic traditional uses
6.b	Needs of current and future demands for water	20	5	10	5	18	10	minimal discussion of current/future demands
6.c	Flood control	20	0	0	0	10	4	indirect
6.d	Fire protection, prevention, suppression	20	0	0	2	10	5	indirect
6.e	Recreation	20	0	0	0	5	0	
6.f	Environmental protection	20	0	2	10	10	5	
6.g	Other	10		2	5	5	0	
7	Other entity support for proposal	40	10	10	10	10	10	hunter contracting letter not acceptable
8	Counties benefitting	10/county to 40	10	10	10	10	10	Unless you count AZ!
9	Economic growth or benefit for interests	10/interest to 50	5	10	10	25	10	ag, ranching, 5 pts for municipal public safety