

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

STATE OF NEW MEXICO, ex rel., the	)	
State Engineer,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	No. 69 cv07941-BB
	)	
v.	)	
	)	Rio Chama Adjudication
ROMAN ARAGON, et al.,	)	
	)	Pueblo Claims Subproceeding 1
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

**RIO de CHAMA ACEQUIAS ASSOCIATION’S ANSWER TO  
SUBPROCEEDING COMPLAINT OF OHKAY OWINGEH**

COME NOW twenty-seven (27) Acequias, identified below, known as the Rio de Chama Acequias Association, Defendants herein, on behalf of themselves and on behalf of their individual members or parcientes, by and through their undersigned counsel, and for their Answer to the Subproceeding Complaint of Ohkay Owingeh (formerly known as the Pueblo of San Juan), state:

1. The twenty-seven (27) Acequias, identified below, are located within the geographic and hydrologic scope of Section I of this Rio Chama Stream System adjudication, and they withdraw water from the Rio Chama, its tributaries, and interconnected groundwater for irrigation, livestock watering, domestic and other purposes and uses.
2. The said Acequias admit that Ohkay Owingeh is a federally recognized Indian tribe, but deny that it is a sovereign Indian nation. The said Acequias deny all other allegations contained in paragraph 1 of the said Complaint.
3. The said Acequias deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 2, 3, 4, 5, 6,

and 7 of the Complaint.

4. The said Acequias deny that the priority date of Ohkay Owingeh's water rights for livestock purposes is aboriginal, time immemorial, or first priority. Further the said Acequias deny that the priority date of any of Ohkay Owingeh's water rights that were initiated, established, or first diverted and beneficially used during historical times or after 1598 are aboriginal, time immemorial or first priority.

5. The said Acequias deny that the Kingdom of Spain granted Ohkay Owingeh fee simple title to lands within the San Juan Pueblo Grant. The said Acequias lack sufficient information on which to form an opinion as to the truth of the remainder of the allegations contained in paragraph 8 of the said Complaint and therefore deny the same.

6. The said Acequias deny that Ohkay Owingeh has aboriginal rights to groundwater. The Acequias deny all other allegations contained in paragraph 9 of the said Complaint.

7. The said Acequias deny the allegations contained in paragraph 10 of the said Complaint.

8. The said Acequias deny that Ohkay Owingeh's plans and stated intentions in paragraph 11 of the said Complaint have any legal effect or can form the basis for adjudicating its water rights. The said Acequias deny any allegations contained in paragraph 11 of the said Complaint.

9. The said Acequias deny the claim of Ohkay Owingeh that the Court can adjudicate the nature of water rights which the Pueblo does not presently own but plans to acquire. The said Acequias deny any allegations contained in paragraph 12 of the said Complaint.

10. The said Acequias deny the allegations contained in paragraph 13 of the said Complaint.

11. The said Acequias lack sufficient information on which to form an opinion as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 14 of the Complaint and therefore deny the same.

12. The said Acequias deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 15 and 16 of the said Complaint.

13. The said Acequias deny that Ohkay Owingeh has riparian or instream flow water rights for any purpose from or in the Rio del Oso as it has alleged in paragraph 17 of the said Complaint. The said Acequias deny any allegation contained in paragraph 17 of the said Complaint.

14. The said Acequias deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 18 and 19 of the said Complaint.

15. The said Acequias deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 of the prayer for relief requested in the said Complaint.

16. The said Acequias deny that Ohkay Owingeh has any right to maintain an instream flow in the Chamita Ditch.

17. The said Acequias deny that Ohkay Owingeh has any water rights, aboriginal or otherwise, that are located outside of the San Juan Pueblo Grant.

18. The said Acequias deny that the water rights of Ohkay Owingeh, aboriginal or otherwise, are measured or based on its claims of historically irrigated acreage located anywhere within the geographic scope of this adjudication.

19. The said Acequias deny that all of the water rights of Ohkay Owingeh have an

aboriginal priority or time immemorial priority.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The claims of Ohkay Owingeh are barred or limited by the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo and Article VI of the Constitution of the United States.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The claims of Ohkay Owingeh are barred by the laws and customs of the prior sovereign nations of Spain and Mexico.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The claims of Ohkay Owingeh are barred by res judicata.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The claims of Ohkay Owingeh are barred by compromise and settlement.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The claims of Ohkay Owingeh are barred by estoppel.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The claims of Ohkay Owingeh are barred by waiver.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The claims of Ohkay Owingeh are barred by adverse possession.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The claims of Ohkay Owingeh are barred or limited by the Pueblo Lands Act of 1924, the 1933 Act, the actions of the Pueblo Lands Board, and/or the subsequent litigation including San Juan Pueblo or Ohkay Owingeh and those Acts or Pueblo Lands Board actions.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The claims of Ohkay Owingeh are barred by the actions and decisions of the Indian Claims Commission and its enabling legislation.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The claims of Ohkay Owingeh are barred or limited by the Acequias' and their members' or parcientes' religious uses of water and ceremonial practices requiring water.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The claims of Ohkay Owingeh, if recognized in whole or in part, would result in taking or impairment of valid water rights and other rights of said Acequias and their individual members or parcientes and other water right owners without due process of law in violation of the Constitutions of the United States and the State of New Mexico.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The claims of Ohkay Owingeh, if recognized in whole or in part, would deprive the said Acequias and their individual members or parcientes and other water right owners of the equal protection of the law in violation of the Constitutions of the United States and the State of New Mexico.

THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The claims of Ohkay Owingeh are barred by the long-standing and continuing customs of water sharing between Ohkay Owingeh and the Chamita Community Ditch and other Acequia members of the Rio de Chama Acequia Association.

FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The claims of Ohkay Owingeh are barred by abandonment and forfeiture.

FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The rights of Ohkay Owingeh to divert and use waters of the Rio Chama stream system do not include the right to increase its diversion and use of water in excess of that amount lawfully diverted and used pursuant to its rights under Mexican Law prior to the change in sovereignty to the United States.

SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The rights of the Ohkay Owingeh to divert and use the groundwater of the Rio Chama stream system are valid only to the extent that Ohkay Owingeh has established such a right by the lawful diversion and use of groundwater under the laws of Mexico or the Territory or State of New Mexico.

SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Any rights Ohkay Owingeh had or may have to divert and use the waters of the Rio Chama stream system on its lands are limited by the requirement of actual beneficial use.

EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Administration of any water right quantified to Ohkay Owingeh or the United States on its behalf shall be under New Mexico state law pursuant to the McCarran Amendment, 43 U.S.C. 666.

NINTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The claims of Ohkay Owingeh are barred by prior court decrees and cases, including but not limited to U.S.A. as Guardian of the Pueblo of San Juan vs. Juan B. Agueno, U.S.D.C., N.M., and U.S.A. vs. Jose D. Montoya, et al., U.S.D.C., N.M., No. 735 Equity.

WHEREFORE, the said twenty-seven (27) Acequias request the Court to adjudicate the water rights of Ohkay Owingeh (San Juan Pueblo) equitably and fairly in accord with the laws, customs, and decisions of Spain, Mexico, the United States of America, and the Territory and State of New Mexico; the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo; and the historic and customary uses of water by Ohkay Owingeh and the twenty-seven (27) Acequias and their individual members or parcientes.

Respectfully submitted,  
Electronically Filed

/s/ Fred J. Waltz

Fred J. Waltz  
214 B Kit Carson Road  
Taos, New Mexico 87571  
(505) 758-0407  
Attorney for:  
Chamita Community Ditch,  
Hernandez Ditch, Salazar Ditch, Los  
Martinez Ditch, Duranes Ditch, Chili  
Community Ditch, Acequia del Rio  
de Chama, J.V. Martinez Ditch,  
Manzanares/Montoya Ditch, Tierra  
Azul Ditch, La Puente Ditch,  
Gonzales Ditch, Abiquiu Community  
Ditch, Mariano Ditch, Valentin  
Martinez Ditch, Abeyta/Trujillo  
Ditch, Quintana Ditch, Karl Bode  
Ditch, Maestas Ditch, Esquibel  
Ditch, J.P. Gonzales Ditch, Barranco  
Ditch, Los Frijoles Ditch, Suazo  
Ditch, Ranchitos Ditch, Ghost Ranch  
Ditch, & Monastery Ditch

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on June 1, 2007, I filed the foregoing Rio de Chama Acequias Association's Answer to United States' Subproceeding Complaint for Ohkay Owingeh electronically through the CM/ECF system, which caused the following parties or counsel to be served by electronic means, as more fully reflected on the Notice of Electronic Filing:

David A. Benavides

[davidb@nmlegalaid.org](mailto:davidb@nmlegalaid.org)

Frank M. Bond

[fbond@simonsfirm.com](mailto:fbond@simonsfirm.com), [ssandoval@simonsfirm.com](mailto:ssandoval@simonsfirm.com),  
[ggonzales@simonsfirm.com](mailto:ggonzales@simonsfirm.com), [fbond@mac.com](mailto:fbond@mac.com)

Bradley S. Bridgewater

[gary.durr@usdoj.gov](mailto:gary.durr@usdoj.gov), [jennifer.vaughn2@usdoj.gov](mailto:jennifer.vaughn2@usdoj.gov),  
[bradley.s.bridgewater@usdoj.gov](mailto:bradley.s.bridgewater@usdoj.gov), [yvonne.marsh@usdoj.gov](mailto:yvonne.marsh@usdoj.gov)

Christopher D. Coppin

[ccoppin@ago.state.nm.us](mailto:ccoppin@ago.state.nm.us), [tmartinez@ago.state.nm.us](mailto:tmartinez@ago.state.nm.us)

Tessa T. Davidson

[ttd@tessadavidson.com](mailto:ttd@tessadavidson.com)

Rebecca A. Dempsey

[rdempsey@wkkm.com](mailto:rdempsey@wkkm.com), [fmtz@wkkm.com](mailto:fmtz@wkkm.com)

Charles T. DuMars

[ctd@lrpa-usa.com](mailto:ctd@lrpa-usa.com)

Randolph B. Felker

[randfelker@aol.com](mailto:randfelker@aol.com)

Jeffrey Fornaciari

[jfornaciari@hinklelawfirm.com](mailto:jfornaciari@hinklelawfirm.com), [mlara@hinklelawfirm.com](mailto:mlara@hinklelawfirm.com)

Gary S. Friedman

[gfs@chflaw.com](mailto:gfs@chflaw.com)

Vickie L. Gabin

[kbruner@humwest.com](mailto:kbruner@humwest.com), [vlgabin@nm.net](mailto:vlgabin@nm.net)

David W. Gehlert

[david.gehlert@usdoj.gov](mailto:david.gehlert@usdoj.gov), [jennifer.vaughn2@usdoj.gov](mailto:jennifer.vaughn2@usdoj.gov),  
[judy.tetreault@usdoj.gov](mailto:judy.tetreault@usdoj.gov), [lori.montano@usdoj.gov](mailto:lori.montano@usdoj.gov)

Ryan Margaret Golten

[ryang@nmlegalaid.org](mailto:ryang@nmlegalaid.org)

Noelle Graney

[ngraney@nordhauslaw.com](mailto:ngraney@nordhauslaw.com), [svelody@nordhauslaw.com](mailto:svelody@nordhauslaw.com)



Karla JaNelle Haught

[janelle.haught@state.nm.us](mailto:janelle.haught@state.nm.us)

Mary E. Humphrey

[humphrey@newmex.com](mailto:humphrey@newmex.com)

Susan G. Jordan

[vruiz@nordhauslaw.com](mailto:vruiz@nordhauslaw.com), [mchavez@nordhauslaw.com](mailto:mchavez@nordhauslaw.com),  
[sjordan@nordhauslaw.com](mailto:sjordan@nordhauslaw.com)

Pierre Levy

[pierre@danieljofriel.com](mailto:pierre@danieljofriel.com), [melissa@danieljofriel.com](mailto:melissa@danieljofriel.com),  
[veronica@danieljofriel.com](mailto:veronica@danieljofriel.com)

Christopher Lindeen

[christopher.lindeen@state.nm.us](mailto:christopher.lindeen@state.nm.us)

John F. McCarthy, Jr

[jfmc@wkkm.com](mailto:jfmc@wkkm.com)

David C. Mielke

[dmielke@abqsonosky.com](mailto:dmielke@abqsonosky.com), [sdressler@abqsonosky.com](mailto:sdressler@abqsonosky.com)

Edward G. Newville

[ednewville@ixpn.com](mailto:ednewville@ixpn.com), [connie.flint@state.nm.us](mailto:connie.flint@state.nm.us),  
[marjorie.dryden@state.nm.us](mailto:marjorie.dryden@state.nm.us), [fred.kipnes@state.nm.us](mailto:fred.kipnes@state.nm.us),  
[hilario.rubio@state.nm.us](mailto:hilario.rubio@state.nm.us), [lawoffice@higherspeed.net](mailto:lawoffice@higherspeed.net)

Brett J. Olsen

[brett\\_olsen@msn.com](mailto:brett_olsen@msn.com)

Marcus J. Rael, Jr.

[marcus@roblesrael.com](mailto:marcus@roblesrael.com), [nataile@roblesrael.com](mailto:nataile@roblesrael.com), [nicole@roblesrael.com](mailto:nicole@roblesrael.com),  
[diane@roblesrael.com](mailto:diane@roblesrael.com)

Walter L. Reardon, Jr.

[walter@reardonlawnm.com](mailto:walter@reardonlawnm.com)

Peter B. Shoenfeld

[petershoenfeld@qwest.net](mailto:petershoenfeld@qwest.net)

Arianne Singer

[arianne.singer@state.nm.us](mailto:arianne.singer@state.nm.us), [lula.valdez@state.nm.us](mailto:lula.valdez@state.nm.us),  
[connie.flint@state.nm.us](mailto:connie.flint@state.nm.us), [marjorie.dryden@state.nm.us](mailto:marjorie.dryden@state.nm.us),  
[fred.kipnes@state.nm.us](mailto:fred.kipnes@state.nm.us), [vina.gallegos@state.nm.us](mailto:vina.gallegos@state.nm.us)

John W. Utton

[jwu@ssslawfirm.com](mailto:jwu@ssslawfirm.com), [djs@ssslawfirm.com](mailto:djs@ssslawfirm.com)

Timothy A. Vollmann

[tim\\_vollmann@hotmail.com](mailto:tim_vollmann@hotmail.com)

AND I FUTHER CERTIFY that on such date I served the foregoing pleading on the following non-CMF/ECF Participants via first class mail, postage prepaid addressed as follows:

Lee Bergen  
Bergen Law Offices  
4110 Wolcott Ave NE, #A  
Albuquerque, NM 87109

Joseph van R. Clarke  
Cuddy, Kennedy, Albetta & Ives, LLP  
PO Box 4160  
Santa Fe, NM 87502-4160

Paul L. Bloom  
White, Koch, Kelly & McCarthy  
PO Box 787  
Santa Fe, NM 87504-0787

Daniel Cleavinger  
PO Box 2470  
Farmington, NM 87499

James C. Brockmann  
Stein & Brockmann, PA  
PO Box 5250  
Santa Fe, NM 87502-5250

John E. Farrow  
Fairfield, Farrow & Strotz  
PO Box 35400  
Albuquerque, NM 87176-5400

Christina J Bruff  
Law & Resource Planning Associates  
201 Third Street, NW, #1750  
Albuquerque, NM 87102

Paula Garcia  
607 Cerrillos Rd, #F  
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Steven L. Bunch  
NM Highway & Transportation Dept.  
PO Box 1149  
Santa Fe, NM 87504-1149

Stacey J. Goodwin  
Galisteo St., #205  
Santa Fe, NM 87501

Mary Ann Joca  
US Department of Agriculture  
General Counsel  
PO Box 586  
Albuquerque, NM 87103

Henry Jacquez  
1110 Maez Rd  
Santa Fe, NM 87501

Randy E. Lovato  
8100 Rancho Sueno Ct., NW  
Albuquerque, NM 87120-3488

Ted J. Trujillo  
PO Box 2185  
Española, NM 87532

C. Mott Woolley  
112 W. San Francisco, #312C  
Santa Fe, NM 87501-2090

