

**Evaluators Scores**

Criterion	Abbreviated Description	Points Available	A	B	C	D	E	Comments
1.a	Describe location and legal access	30	30	30	30	30	30	
1.b	Identify source of water	10	10	10	10	8	10	
1.c	Quantify conserved or new water	4/10 af to 500	500	500	500	93.24	200	Area is always water-short and all plants suffer water deficit. Incorrect assumption is that decrease in tree ET will not be utilized by herbaceous or woody shrub ET? Gave standard 200 pts for over-optimistic watershed water gain. Tables 1 and 2 questionable. E.g., saving go from 29 af of water per 150 acres (.2 af/a) to 0 af of water per 150 acres (0 af/a) between year 6 and 7?
1.d	Meets AWSA and CUFA	30	30	20	30	30	30	Correctly focuses on water budget. ET, other parameters in water budget measured remotely, but no real description of how verified. Remote sensing relies on accepted values, contrary to "a project aimed to provide accurate estimates." Very common problem in such treatment evaluations.
2.a	Technical and engineering studies and support	20	15	20	10	20	10	no references
2.b	hydro, ecologic, geotec support	20	15	20	20	20	15	Should note that some studies cited were short-term giving unreliable results.
3.a	Quantify est. planning/const. costs	10	10	10	10	10	7	Reduction of "indirect costs" = cost-share?
3.b	Quantify ongoing admin, O&M costs	10	5	10	10	10	10	Seem low?
3.c	Quantify enviro compliance and costs	10	10	5	10	10	5	No quantification?
3.d	Quantify AWSA funding sought for life of project	10	10	10	10	10	10	
4.a	Describe and quantify enviro impacts, listed species	10	5	7	10	10	6	skimpy?
4.b	How mitigate above	10	10	10	10	10	8	
4.c	How benefit environment	10	5	7	10	10	5	Increased storage would, if anything, increase, not decrease subsurface flow No ESA, CWA, etc.?
4.d	List enviro statutes, regulations, how would comply	10	5	7	8	5	2	tier 2 prelimin mentioned nepa; tier 2 final doesn't
5.a	Estimate economic benefits	10	5	5	0	10	5	What effect would "capturing and holding" water have on downstream water uses?
5.b	Estimate costs for planning, design, enviro, admin, etc.	10	10	10	10	10	8	
5.c	Source and percentage local contribution	1 pt/1% up to 50	14	14	14	14	14	
6.a	Needs of historic, traditional uses and cultures	10	5	5	10	10	2	Not addresses question
6.b	Needs of current and future demands for water	20	5	10	10	15	5	not much demand analysis
6.c	Flood control	20	10	5	5	20	10	
6.d	Fire protection, prevention, suppression	20	10	15	20	20	12	
6.e	Recreation	20	10	10	10	20	10	
6.f	Environmental protection	20	5	10	20	20	6	
6.g	Other	10		0	0	0		
7	Other entity support for proposal	40	40	40	40	40	40	
8	Counties benefitting	10/county to 40	20	10	10	10	10	
9	Economic growth or benefit for interests	10/interest to 50	10	20	10	40	10	