

Evaluators Scores

Criterion	Abbreviated Description	Points Available	A	B	C	D	E	Comments
1.a	Describe location and legal access	30	30	30	30	30	30	
1.b	Identify source of water	10	10	10	10	10	10	
1.c	Quantify conserved or new water	4/10 af to 500	500	500	500	500	500	How does the project result in more water downstream if the ditch uses its full share? 1480/10 x 4 > 500
1.d	Meets AWSA and CUFA	30	30	10	30	30	30	
2.a	Technical and engineering studies and support	20	10	5	5	15	10	no engineering design, specs, materials
2.b	hydro, ecologic, geotec support	20	5	5	5	20	2	no studies
3.a	Quantify est. planning/const. costs	10	10	5	10	10	8	
3.b	Quantify ongoing admin, O&M costs	10	10	0	0	10	8	
3.c	Quantify enviro compliance and costs	10	0	5	0	10	8	
3.d	Quantify AWSA funding sought for life of project	10	10	10	0	10	8	
4.a	Describe and quantify enviro impacts, listed species	10	10	10	10	10	8	
4.b	How mitigaste above	10	5	8	10	10	5	
4.c	How benefit environment	10	5	5	0	5	2	
4.d	List enviro statutes, regulations, how would comply	10	5	2	0	0	0	no statutes, regs listed FD has not committed to paying environmental clearance costs
5.a	Estimate economic benefits	10	2	2	0	0	2	agricultural benefits could have been estimated
5.b	Estimate costs for planning, design, enviro, admin, etc.	10	10	5	10	0	0	no amount provided
5.c	Source and percentage local contribution	1 pt/1% up to 50	0	0	0	0	0	no amount provided
6.a	Needs of historic, traditional uses and cultures	10	5	5	10	10	2	
6.b	Needs of current and future demands for water	20	10	10	20	18	10	
6.c	Flood control	20	0	0	0	0	0	
6.d	Fire protection, prevention, suppression	20	0	0	0	0	0	
6.e	Recreation	20	0	0	0	0	0	
6.f	Environmental protection	20	0	5	0	0	0	
6.g	Other	10	0	0	0	0	0	
7	Other entity support for proposal	40	0	0	0	0	0	no letters of support
8	Counties benefitting	10/county to 40	10	10	10	10	10	
9	Economic growth or benefit for interests	10/interest to 50	10	20	10	20	10	ag, ranching