

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

STATE OF NEW MEXICO, *ex rel.*  
State Engineer,  
Plaintiff,

v.

No. Civ. 66-06639 WJ/WPL

R. LEE AAMODT *et al.*  
Defendants,

and

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
PUEBLO DE NAMBÉ,  
PUEBLO DE POJOAQUE,  
PUEBLO DE SAN ILDEFONSO,  
and PUEBLO DE TESUQUE,  
Plaintiffs-in-Intervention.

**MINUTES OF WORKING SESSION OF FEBRUARY 27, 2015**

THIS MATTER is before the Special Master, entered pursuant to the Order of Reference [Doc. No. 6336], as amended [Doc. No. 7736]. On February 27, 2015, the Special Master held a working session to address issues surrounding Plaintiff the State of New Mexico's representations that surface water right priorities for water diverted from the Rio en Medio and conveyed to the Rio Chupadero still had not been presented to the Court by Plaintiff the State. In attendance were the Special Master, Ms. Veronica Dale, paralegal, attorneys David Benavides, Enrique Romero, Edward Bagley, and Brett Olsen, as well as Mr. Paul White, Ms. Linda Miller, and Mr. Jack Miller.

Mr. Bagley and Mr. Benavides explained that, from a review of affected subfiles, 17 require only a determination of a point of diversion. 40 subfiles require what the parties label either

“substantive” corrections or “clerical” correction. The parties represented to the Special Master that all corrections fell within the errors and omissions process of the Order of Reference.

After discussion, there was agreement that proceedings to adjudicate priority dates for the Rio en Medio surface water right could proceed concurrently with proceedings to correct errors and omissions in previously entered subfile orders. Mr. Bagley represented that, by March 13, 2015, Plaintiff the State of New Mexico would file a motion to enter a procedural and scheduling order concerning the Rio en Medio/Rio Chupadero matter. Plaintiff the State has filed its motion. *See* [Doc. No. 10129].

Attorney Brett Olsen represented that, by April 17, 2015, he would be in a position to file a motion addressing corrections needed pursuant to the Errors and Omissions process.

**THE PARTIES ARE NOTIFIED THAT WITHIN 20 DAYS OF SERVICE of a copy of this order, report or recommendations, they may file written objections with the Clerk of the Court pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 53(f)(2). A party must file any objections with the Clerk of the Court within the twenty-day period if that party wants the District Judge to hear their objections. If no objections are filed within the twenty-day period, the District Judge may adopt the order, report or recommendations in whole.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Pierre Levy  
Pierre Levy, Special Master

March 17, 2015

I hereby certify that on the date of filing, I caused the foregoing to be filed electronically through the CM/ECF system which caused the parties on the Court’s service list, as more fully set forth in the Notice of Electronic Filing, to be served via electronic mail as of the time of this filing.

/s/ Pierre Levy  
Pierre Levy