

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

**Clerk's Minutes**

**Before the Honorable William P. Johnson**

**Case No.:** CV 66-6639 WJ/WPL

**Date:** September 13, 2016

**Parties:** New Mexico, State of, et al v. Aamodt et al

**Courtroom Clerk:** M. Garcia

**Court Reporter:** M. Loughran

**Interpreter:** N/A

**Type of Proceeding:** Status Conference

**Place of Court:** Albuquerque

**Total time in Court:** 24 minutes

**Evidentiary Hearing:** No

**Attorneys Present for Plaintiff(s):**

Arianne Singer  
Brett Olsen  
Kelly Brooks Smith  
Edward Bagley

**Attorneys Present for Defendant(s):**

Guss Guarino  
Scott McElroy  
Maria O'Brien  
Sarah Stevenson  
Josh Mann  
Peter Chesnut  
Ann Rodgers

**Dunn Group One:**

Dori Richards

**Certain Non-Pueblo Defendants:**

Larry White

**Santa Fe County:**

John Utton

**City of Santa Fe:**

Marcos Martinez

**Three Individual Objectors:**

Andrea La Cruz-Crawford

**Certain Non-Objector Defendants:**

Kyle Harwood

**Proceedings:**

10:41 Court in session. Counsel enter their appearance beginning with Special Master, Pierre Levy.

Judge advises this is a status conference for the purpose to finalize schedule to get a Judgment timely Congressional mandated deadline entered.

- 10:44 Special Master addresses the Court. Deadline to get this case fully adjudicated is early July 2017. Special Master is not sure if meeting this deadline is possible.
- 10:48 Special Master completes his report to the Court.
- 10:49 Aryann Singer, attorney for the State, addresses the Court. Thinks wells will be adjudicated and Consent Orders should be entered by September or the end of October. Ms. Singer assures the Court that the State is working diligently to meet the deadlines.
- 10:56 Guss Guarino for the Government addresses the Court. He would like to make the Court aware that the OSC process is not required to be initiated or completed before the Final Decree is entered.
- 10:59 Counsel agree with the statements made by State and Government that schedule deadlines can be met. Court states that a proposed form of Judgment will be sent to approximately 8,000 parties for the opportunity to comment and file objections. Attorney for State corrects the Court in that the Judgment will not be sent out to all the parties, but all parties will be noticed of the proposed Judgment.
- 11:05 Court in recess.