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## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

This report profiles the historical and projected population of the 16 water planning regions in New Mexico. Map1 outlines the boundaries of these regions. In alphabetical order, the regions are Colfax, Estancia, Lea County, Lower Pecos Valley, Lower Rio Grande, Middle Rio Grande, Northeast New Mexico, Northwest New Mexico, Rio Arriba, San Juan, San Miguel-Mora, Santa Fe-Los Alamos, SocorroSierra, Southwest New Mexico, Taos, and Tularosa-Sacramento.

The historical population levels and trends were traced using population counts from the 1970, 1980, 1990, and 2000 Decennial Censuses. The historical regional boundaries were made consistent with Census 2000 tract and block boundaries. Using GIS technology, the regional boundaries in 1990 and 2000 were determined precisely down to the block level. This was not possible with the 1970 and 1980 Censuses because at that time boundary maps were available only in hard copy. In these earlier Censuses, the regional boundaries were redrawn to the Census 2000

Map 1.1
New Mexico Water Region, 2003

specifications using a variety of maps such as road and topographical maps in conjunction with a hard copy of the Census 2000 block and tract map. The methodology used in establishing the population trends at the regional level is detailed in Appendix 1.

The decade of the 1970s was a period of very strong economic growth in New Mexico. Federal spending increased as the United States intensified its involvement in the Vietnam War. The Vietnam War ended in 1975, but the United States was still locked in a race with the Soviet Union in research and development of nuclear arms and in space exploration. New Mexico politicians pushed aggressively for additional spending at White Sands Missile Range, Kirtland, Holloman, and Cannon air force bases and at the Los Alamos and Sandia National Laboratories. At the same time, New Mexico increased its exploration and production of oil and gas as the OPEC countries plunged the world into an energy crisis. The counties that house the research laboratories and military bases and those that have rich deposits of oil and gas experienced rapid population growth as they became major migrant destinations. Population growth accelerated and the state population increased at an average rate of 2.5 percent annually. This resulted in an increase of approximately 286 thousand people between 1970 and 1980, more than four times the number of people added between 1960 and 1970.

The economic boom of the 1970s peaked out by 1981 and New Mexico's economy went on a downward spiral. Oil prices plummeted. Uranium mining closed down. Coal and potash mining declined. Defense spending stagnated. Workers were laid-off and they left the state in large numbers. The biggest losers were the energy-rich regions, in particular, Cibola County, Eddy County, Lea County, and McKinley County. During the 1980 decade, the state grew at an average rate of 1.5 percent per year down from 2.5 percent the previous decade. The 1990 Census counted 1.5 million New Mexico residents.

In the 1990s, the Cold War ended. The federal government retrenched defense-related programs and initiated across-the-board-cost-cutting. BBER estimated that between FY 1991 and FY 1997, the state lost as may as 8,500 defense-related jobs ${ }^{1}$. The effect of this reduction in the state economy was further exacerbated by sharp fluctuations in the extractive industries. The prices of oil and natural gas went up and down during the decade. The Molycorp molybdenum mine in Taos County opened and closed a number of times. The copper industry had large layoffs and by the end of the decade was in danger of closing permanently. Nevertheless, the state economy enjoyed a moderate growth $(2.51 \%)^{2}$ during the 1990 s. Telephone call centers and other "back-office" facilities expanded in the Albuquerque and Las Cruces metropolitan areas, bringing to the state thousands of jobs. Moreover, the signing of the gaming compact between the state and American Indian reservations intensified casino-type gambling and increased employment in this sector. Manufacturing, primarily in the electronic industry, also experienced strong growth during the latter part of the decade. Employment in the local government sector increased while employment in the federal sector decreased as a result of spending reductions mandated by the US Congress and the scaling back of the Strategic Defense Initiative (Star Wars). Population growth in the state was higher in the 1990s than in the 1980s. The Census 2000 counted 1.8 million New Mexico residents. This is 304 thousand people or 20 percent more than the Census counted in 1990. The metropolitan areas of Albuquerque, Santa Fe, and Las Cruces and some non-metropolitan and smaller counties such as Lincoln, Luna, McKinley, San Juan, Sierra, and Catron experienced higher than average population growth.

BBER economists observed that in the beginning of the $21^{\text {st }}$ century, the New Mexico economy is "surprisingly strong."3 Both local and state government posted strong growth. Employment in the service sectors, particularly in home health care providers, has expanded as a result of grants from the Medicare program. Manufacturing, mining and construction continued to be weak but the service and government sectors compensated for this slack. Statewide, population growth was slightly lower than in the last decade. In general, population growth in New Mexico is closely associated with the boom and bust cycle in the economy. Low economic growth brings about slower population growth. More people leave than come to New Mexico when the economy is not doing very well. Combine this migration pattern with declining fertility and increasing mortality due to an aging population, the result is a slower population growth, overall.

The population growth trends and the demographic structure of the last 40 years serve as the baseline for the 60 -year population projections that is covered in this report. While economic
factors are known to influence population movements, the population projection models used in this study are based purely on past and current demographic trends. The assumption is that the future is an extrapolation of the past and present, barring catastrophes, epidemics, war, and other unforeseen circumstances.

A two step-projection process was used in deriving the future regional populations. At the county level, a cohort-component method was used while at the regional level, a linear regression technique was used. Details of these methodologies are presented in Appendix 1.

The remainder of the report is organized as follows: Chapter 2, Historical Population Levels and Trends; Chapter 3, Future Population Levels and Trends; Chapter 4, Comparative Population and Growth; Appendix 1, Methodology; Appendix 2, Projected County Population: July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060; Appendix 3, Projected Water Region Population in the County: July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060.

## CHAPTER 2

## HISTORICAL POPULATION LEVELS AND TRENDS

This chapter presents the population in each water planning region from 1970 to 2000 . The population figures are Decennial Census counts as published by the Bureau of the Census. Adjusted population counts are not reliable at the block level thus no adjustments were made for differential undercount in establishing the historical populations of the water planning regions.

## COLFAX WATER PLANNING REGION

The Colfax Water Planning Region consists solely of Colfax County. Bordering Colorado, Colfax County has altitudes ranging from 5,800 to over 12,000 feet. As in most regions in the state, Colfax's population has been influenced by the boom and bust cycle in the economy. Until recently, this region's population growth was tied to the rise and fall of coal mining.

Table 2.1 presents the up and down pattern of population growth in Colfax. By 1970 the Census population count for this region was 12 thousand, approximately 43 percent less than its 1920 population of 22 thousand people. In the 1970 decade as coal production increased, this region's population also increased. Its average annual population growth rate between 1970 and 1980 was estimated at over one percent (1.17\%). In the 1980s as mining and other extractive industries declined so did the region's population During this period, Colfax lost over 700 people, primarily due to out-migration of the workforce. The York Canyon Mine, an important county employer, closed down in 2002 creating a loss of many jobs for Raton, the most populated community and county seat. However, other sectors in the economy more than compensated for these job losses. Earnings from state and local government, services and retail trade surpassed earnings from mining. Cattle and hay production, manufacturing and tourist-related activities have increased their contributions to the local economy. Local tourist attractions include the Philmont Scout Ranch (located in the Sangre de Cristo range of the Rockies), the National Rifle

| Table 2.1 <br> Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate of Historical Population: April 1, 1970 to April 1, 2000 Colfax Region |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census Year | County Population in Region |  |
|  | Total Region Population | Colfax |
| 1970 | 12,170 | 12,170 |
| 1980 | 13,677 | 13,677 |
| 1990 | 12,925 | 12,925 |
| 2000 | 14,189 | 14,189 |
| Census Year | Growth Rate of Region |  |
|  | Total Region | Colfax |
| 1970-1980 | 1.17 | 1.17 |
| 1980-1990 | -0.57 | -0.57 |
| 1990-2000 | 0.93 | 0.93 |

Source: New Mexico County Population Totals from the U.S. Census Bureau Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

Association's Whittington Center for outdoor sports, and Angel Fire Ski Area. These shifts in the local economy helped to stabilize the region's population. In the 1990s, Colfax reversed its negative population growth trajectory. The Census 2000 counted close to 15 thousand Colfax residents.

## ESTANCIA WATER PLANNING REGION

All of Torrance County, the southern part of Santa Fe County, and Bernalillo County East mountain area comprise the Estancia Region. Table 2.2 and Figure 2.1 show the county distribution of the region's population. In 2000, slightly over half of this region's population lived in Torrance County. Close to 30 percent lived in Santa Fe County and less than 20 percent resided in Bernalillo County.

The Estancia Water Planning Region had undergone some major changes during the last 30 years. Residential development accelerated as both affordable and high end housing became accessible in the Bernalillo County East mountain area, for example Cedar Crest, in Edgewood, Moriarty, and other parts of Torrance County. The Estancia region as a whole grew at an average annual population growth rate of 4.4 percent in the 1970-decade and 5.9 percent in the 1990-decade. In the region, the Santa Fe County portion had experienced the fastest growth. In the last 10 years, this area had an average annual growth rate above eight percent (8.49\%) while Bernalillo County (5.05\%) and Torrance County (4.97\%) had growth rates that were close to five percent. The speed of growth in all areas had decelerated. Nevertheless, its proximity to the City of Santa Fe and Albuquerque, the presence of the Sandia Ski Resort and other recreational centers in the region and the availability of large acres of land for residential development are the best selling points to a diverse cross-section of people.

| Table 2.2 <br> Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate of Historical Population April 1, 1970 to April 1, 2000 Estancia Region |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census Year | County Population in Region |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region Population | Bernalillo | Santa Fe | Torrance |
| 1970 | 6,830 | 1,081 | 459 | 5,290 |
| 1980 | 10,620 | 1,609 | 1,520 | 7,491 |
| 1990 | 17,535 | 3,361 | 3,889 | 10,285 |
| 2000 | 31,487 | 5,569 | 9,007 | 16,911 |
| Census Year | Distribution of County Population in Region |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region | Bernalillo | Santa Fe | Torrance |
| 1970 | 100.0 | 15.8 | 6.7 | 77.4 |
| 1980 | 100.0 | 15.1 | 14.3 | 70.5 |
| 1990 | 100.0 | 19.2 | 22.2 | 58.7 |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 17.7 | 28.6 | 53.7 |
| Census Year | Growth Rate of Region and County Population |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region | Bernalillo | Santa Fe | Torrance |
| 1970-1980 | 4.41 | 3.97 | 11.97 | 3.48 |
| 1980-1990 | 5.01 | 7.37 | 9.39 | 3.17 |
| 1990-2000 | 5.85 | 5.05 | 8.40 | 4.97 |
| Source: New Mexico County Population Totals from the U.S. Census Bureau Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico |  |  |  |  |



The differences in the growth patterns among the areas in the region led to shifts in the region's population distribution. Torrance County is still demographically dominant although its population share had diminished over the years. In the 1970s, over three-quarters of the region's population claimed Torrance County as their place of residence. Two decades later, just above 50 percent of the region's population lived in Torrance County. In 2000, close to 30 percent of the region's population lived in Santa Fe County. The incorporation of Edgewood will further favor growth in southern Santa Fe County. The prevalence of more high-end development in Bernalillo County's East mountain areas had restrained an otherwise expansive population growth in this county.

## LEA WATER PLANNING REGION

Lea County is the water planning region. This region had suffered significant population losses since the end of the oil and gas boom in the late 1970s. In 1970, close to 50 thousand lived in Lea County. In 1980, over 55 thousand people were counted in Lea County. Since 1980, Lea County's population remained at the 55 thousand level. The downturn in mining and the oil and gas industries led to the exodus of workers and their families as they sought job opportunities in other places. The region continues to struggle as it finds alternative means of employment for its residents. In the 1990s, a privately run prison facility was constructed in Hobbs after the State Legislature passed a bill authorizing the construction of prison facilities in the state. These facilities house not only local but federal and other state inmates. But for the most part, population growth in this region will continue to be tied to the oil and natural gas industry. A rise in the price of oil and gas would most likely result in a positive population growth in Lea County. Table 2.3 shows the historical population growth and trend in the region.

| Table 2.3Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate of Historical PopulationApril 1, 1970 to April 1, 2000Lea County Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census | County Population in Region |  | Census Year | Growth Rate of Region and County Population |  |
|  | Total Region Population | Lea |  | Total Region | Lea |
| 1970 | 49,554 | 49,554 | 1970-1980 | 1.22 | 1.22 |
| 1980 | 55,993 | 55,993 |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 55,765 | 55,765 | 1980-1990 | -0.04 | -0.04 |
| 2000 | 55,511 | 55,511 | 1990-2000 | -0.04 | -0.05 |
| Source: New Mexico County Population Totals from the U.S. Census Bureau of Business and Economic Research |  |  |  |  |  |

## LOWER PECOS VALLEY WATER PLANNING REGION

Eddy County, Chaves County, De Baca County, Lincoln County and Otero County make up the Lower Pecos Valley Water Planning Region. The population of this region increased by 45 percent over 30 years, from 94 thousand in 1970 to 136 thousand in 2000. Table 2.4 and Figure 2.2 present the historical population levels and trend in the Lower Pecos Valley Water Planning Region. For 30 years, Chaves County dominated the demographic landscape in this region followed by Eddy County. Before 2000, 90 percent of the region's population lived in these two counties; 46 percent in Chaves County and 44 percent in Eddy County. In 2000, the share of these two counties decreased to 83 percent. Most of this decline was in Eddy County. Chaves County's share declined to 46 percent while that of Eddy County dropped to 38 percent in 2000.

A strong population growth in the decade of the 1990s enabled Lincoln County to gain considerable rank in 2000 when its share rose to 13 percent. This is an increase of four percentage-points from its 1990 share of nine percent. Meanwhile, Otero County inched its way from one percent in 1970 to slightly over two percent in 2000 . Figure 2.2 demonstrates the shifts in the relative shares of each county.

## LOWER RIO GRANDE WATER PLANNING REGION

Dona Ana County makes up the Lower Rio Grande Water Planning Region. From 1970 to 1990, population growth in the Lower Rio Grande Region exceeded three percent annually; 3.1 percent between 1970 and 1980 and 3.3 percent between 1980 and 1990. Migration accounted for most of the region's population growth. Dona Ana County borders the state of Texas and Mexico. It is also home to the second largest university, New Mexico State University, in the state. As expected of education centers, Dona Ana County attracts young adult migrants. Moreover, its proximity to the Mexican and Texas borders also makes Dona County a major destination for migrants in their peak productive years. Table 2.5 summarizes the population growth patterns and trends in the Lower Rio Grande Water Planning Region.

| Table 2.4 <br> Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate of Historical Population April 1, 1970 to April 1, 2000 Lower Pecos Valley Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census Year | County Population in Region |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region | Chaves | De Baca | Eddy | Lincoln | Otero |
| 1970 | 94,296 | 43,330 | 2,547 | 41,111 | 6,085 | 1,222 |
| 1980 | 112,783 | 51,098 | 2,454 | 47,846 | 9,367 | 2,019 |
| 1990 | 121,739 | 57,831 | 2,252 | 48,596 | 10,810 | 2,250 |
| 2000 | 136,290 | 61,373 | 2,240 | 51,649 | 17,784 | 3,244 |
| Census Year | Distribution of County Population in Region |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region | Chaves | De Baca | Eddy | Lincoln | Otero |
| 1970 | 100.0 46.0 |  | 2.7 | 43.6 | 6.5 | 1.3 |
| 1980 | 100.0 | 45.3 | 2.2 | 42.4 | 8.3 | 1.8 |
| 1990 | 100.0 | 47.5 | 1.8 | 39.9 | 8.9 | 1.8 |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 45.0 | 1.6 | 37.9 | 13.0 | 2.4 |
| Census Year | Growth Rate of Region and County Population |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region | Chaves | De Baca | Eddy | Lincoln | Otero |
| 1970-1980 | 1.79 | 1.65 | -0.37 | 1.52 | 4.31 | 5.02 |
| 1980-1990 | 0.76 | 1.24 | -0.86 | 0.16 | 1.43 | 1.09 |
| 1990-2000 | 1.13 | 0.59 | -0.05 | 0.61 | 4.98 | 3.66 |

Source: New Mexico County Population Totals from the U.S. Census Bureau of Business and Economic Research

Figure 2.2
Distribution of Historical and Projected Population in Lower Pecos Valley Region, by County



## MIDDLE RIO GRANDE WATER PLANNING REGION

The Middle Rio Grande Water Planning Region is the most populous water planning region in the state. It is the business, service, education, transportation, manufacturing, and government center in the state. This region includes Bernalillo County, the most densely populated county, and two of the fastest growing counties, Sandoval and Valencia counties. Bernalillo County is home to the University of New Mexico, the Albuquerque Technical and Vocational Institute, Kirtland Air Force Base, Phillips SemiConductor, and a number of federal agencies and other government offices.

Table 2.6 shows that the Middle Rio Grande Water Planning Region doubled its population in 30 years. In 1970, 353 thousand (or about 35\%) of the state population lived in the Middle Rio Grande Region. In 2000, this number expanded to over 700 thousand people or about 40 percent of the state population. Of these, 550 thousand were in Bernalillo County, 89 thousand in Sandoval County, and 66 thousand in Valencia County. Figure 2.3 shows the allocation of the region population by county.

Sandoval and Valencia are major destinations for young families in need of affordable housing as well as those that want larger acres for building homes. The rural character of Valencia County attracted migrants in large numbers. The village of Los Lunas, Bosque Farms, and the Rio Communities at the foot of the Manzano Mountains has undergone rapid housing development in recent years. Until recently, the City of Rio Rancho, which is located in Sandoval County, was the major destination for families and individuals in search of reasonably priced homes. In the late 1990s, Westside Albuquerque began extensive residential housing development that catered to moderate-income clients. All these factors combined to propel population growth in the region.

Sandoval County and Bernalillo County have also been fairly successful in recruiting new service industries. The passage of legislation allowing reduced taxes for call centers helped to attract such companies to locate both in metropolitan Albuquerque and in the Las Cruces area. Recently, this trend has spilled over to non-metropolitan regions in the state. Thousands of jobs have been created in the region as a result of the influx of these call centers. The signing of gaming compacts with Native American tribes further increased job opportunities in Sandoval and

| Table 2.6Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate of Historical PopulationApril 1, 1970 to April 1, 2000Middle Rio Grande Region |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census Year | County Population in Region |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region Population | Bernalillo | Sandoval | Valencia |
| 1970 | 352,512 | 314,693 | 17,342 | 20,477 |
| 1980 | 483,194 | 418,653 | 33,772 | 30,769 |
| 1990 | 584,579 | 477,216 | 62,128 | 45,235 |
| 2000 | 706,073 | 551,109 | 88,812 | 66,152 |
| Census Year | Distribution of County Population in Region |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region | Bernalillo | Sandoval | Valencia |
| 1970 | 100.0 | 89.3 | 4.9 | 5.8 |
| 1980 | 100.0 | 86.6 | 7.0 | 6.4 |
| 1990 | 100.0 | 81.6 | 10.6 | 7.7 |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 78.1 | 12.6 | 9.4 |
| Census Year | Growth Rate of Region and County Population |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region | Bernalillo | Sandoval | Valencia |
| 1970-1980 | 3.15 | 2.85 | 6.66 | 4.07 |
| 1980-1990 | 1.90 | 1.31 | 6.10 | 3.85 |
| 1990-2000 | 1.89 | 1.44 | 3.57 | 3.80 |
| Source: New Mexico County Population Totals from the U.S. Census Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico |  |  |  |  |



Bernalillo counties. Not only did they build larger casinos, the tribes also ventured into becoming resort destinations by constructing luxury hotels, golf courses, tennis courts, race tracks, and other entertainment venues to attract visitors for extended stays.

More jobs were also created in the manufacturing sector. Intel added 500 jobs to its existing workforce. These positive changes in the economy further contributed to a stronger than expected population growth in the 1990s. Between 1990 and 2000, the annual average population growth rate for the region was 1.9 percent compared to 1.83 for the state. For the same period, Sandoval County and Valencia County had even much higher growth rate than the region as a whole. The annual average population growth rate for Sandoval County was 3.57 percent and Valencia County was 3.80 percent. Bernalillo County had the lowest growth rate at 1.44 percent annually. But in absolute terms, Bernalillo County contributed the most to the region's population. Of the 121 thousand additional people to the region's population, 61 percent $(73,893)$ were in Bernalillo County.

## NORTHEAST NEW MEXICO WATER PLANNING REGION

The Northeast New Mexico Water Planning Region consists of seven counties in the eastern part of the state, namely, Curry, Guadalupe, Harding, Quay, Roosevelt, San Miguel, and Union. From 1970 to 1990, this region's population maintained a population close to 80 thousand. In 2000, its population rose to 83 thousand. Table 2.7 indicates that population growth was primarily in Curry County and neighboring Roosevelt County. The population in these two counties more than compensated for the losses in the smaller counties of Harding and Quay.

Figure 2.4 presents the allocation of the region's population by county. It shows that the relative ranking of each county in the region had stayed the same since 1970. Curry County, which is home to Cannon Air Force Base, had been the most populous county. Roosevelt County was second. Its proximity to Cannon Air Force Base and the presence of Eastern New Mexico University guarantees Roosevelt County a stable population source. The counties of Guadalupe and Union were about equal in size, overall. The smallest county was Harding.

Guadalupe County and Union County posted a positive growth between 1990 and 2000, reversing an otherwise downward trend from 1970 to 1990. The establishment of a private prison in Santa Rosa contributed to Guadalupe County's strong recovery (1.19\% annually) in the 1990s. Harding County and Quay County stayed on a downward course. Harding County lost 200 people in 30 years, dropping its population to below 1000 people in 2000.

| Table 2.7Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate of Historical PopulationNE New Mexico Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County Population in Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Census Year | Total <br> Region Ponulation | Curry | Guadalupe | Harding | Quay | Roosevelt | Union |
| 1970 | 78,141 | 39,517 4,969 |  | 1,348 | 10,903 | 16,479 | 4,925 |
| 1980 | 78,602 | 42,019 | 4,496 | 1,090 | 10,577 | 15,695 | 4,725 |
| 1990 | 78,999 |  | 4,156 | 987 | 10,823 | 16,702 | 4,124 |
| 2000 | 82,881 | $\begin{aligned} & 42,207 \\ & 45,044 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 4,680 | 810 | 10,155 | 18,018 | 4,174 |
| Census Year | Distribution of County Population in Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region | Curry | Guadalupe | Harding | Quay | Roosevelt | Union |
| 1970 | 100.0 | 50.6 | 6.4 | 1.7 | 14.0 | 21.1 | 6.3 |
| 1980 | 100.0 | 53.5 | 5.7 | 1.4 | 13.5 | 20.0 | 6.0 |
| 1990 | 100.0 | 53.4 | 5.3 | 1.2 | 13.7 | 21.1 | 5.2 |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 54.3 | 5.6 | 1.0 | 12.3 | 21.7 | 5.0 |
| Census Year | Growth Rate of Region and County Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region | Curry | Guadalupe | Harding | Quay | Roosevelt | Union |
| 1970-1980 | 0.06 | 0.61 | -1.00 | -2.12 | -0.30 | -0.49 | -0.41 |
| 1980-1990 | 0.05 | 0.04 | -0.791.19 | -0.99 | 0.23 | 0.620.76 | $\begin{array}{r} -1.36 \\ 0.12 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 1990-2000 | 0.48 | 0.65 |  | -1.98 | -0.64 |  |  |

Source: New Mexico County Population Totals from the U.S. Census Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

Figure 2.4
Distribution of Historical and Projected Population in NE New Mexico Region, by County


## NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO WATER PLANNING REGION

Located on the northwest section of the state is Northwest New Mexico Water Planning Region. The whole county of Cibola, about 80 percent of McKinley County and a small portion of San Juan County make up this region.

Table 2.8 shows the rise and fall of this region's population growth. Rapid population growth characterized the 1970s when the region grew at 3.4 percent annually. Negative growth marked the 1980s. A moderate recovery occurred in the 1990s when population grew at an average of 1.7 percent annually. Between 1990 and 2000, this region gained almost 15 thousand people. The Census 2000 counted 88 thousand Northwest region residents.

Figure 2.5 demonstrates the growing demographic dominance of McKinley County. In 1970, 63 percent of the region population lived in this county. Even with a very slow rate of growth ( $0.51 \%$ ), McKinley County increased its share to 67 percent in 1990, from 61 percent in 1980. Meanwhile, Cibola County dropped its share from 39 percent in 1980 to 32 percent in 1990. The closure of uranium mining led to the flight of more than six thousand people from Cibola County in the latter part of the 1980 decade. By mid-1990s, Cibola County began a slow recovery. This was made possible by the passage of legislation authorizing the construction of new prison facilities in the county and the approval of the gaming compact between the state and Native American tribes authorizing casino-type gambling on Native American reservations. Laguna and Acoma pueblos built bigger and more luxurious casinos thereby creating more jobs for local residents. These economic development efforts contributed to a recovery of Cibola County's population.

| Table 2.8Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate of Historical PopulationApril 1, 1970 to April 1, 2000NW New Mexico Region |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census Year | County Population in Region |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region Population | Cibola | McKinley | San Juan |
| 1970 | 55,581 | 20,062 | 35,253 | 266 |
| 1980 | 78,156 | 30,346 | 47,514 | 296 |
| 1990 | 74,305 | 23,794 | 50,019 | 492 |
| 2000 | 87,913 | 25,595 | 61,742 | 576 |
| Census Year | Distribution of County Population in Region |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region | Cibola | McKinley | San Juan |
| 1970 | 100.0 | 36.1 | 63.4 | 0.5 |
| 1980 | 100.0 | 38.8 | 60.8 | 0.4 |
| 1990 | 100.0 | 32.0 | 67.3 | 0.7 |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 29.1 | 70.2 | 0.7 |
| Census Year | Growth Rate of Region and County Population |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region | Cibola | McKinley | San Juan |
| 1970-1980 | 3.41 | 4.14 | 2.98 | 1.07 |
| 1980-1990 | -0.51 | -2.43 | 0.51 | 5.08 |
| 1990-2000 | 1.68 | 0.73 | 2.11 | 1.58 |
| Source: New Mexico County Population Totals from the U.S. Census Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico |  |  |  |  |



## RIO ARRIBA WATER PLANNING REGION

The smallest water planning region in the state, Rio Arriba, had a population of over six thousand people in 1970 and 1980. In the 1990s, this region's expanded at an average annual rate above one percent resulting in an increase of over 900 people during the period. Table 2.9 presents the population levels and trend in this region.

The Carson National Forest and Santa National Forest cover the expanse of this region. Most of the sparsely populated communities of Abiquiu, Chama, Coyote, El Rito, El Vado, Ensanadas, Gallina, La Madera, Tierra Amarilla, Vallecitos, Youngsville, and a portion of Santa Clara Indian Reservation in Rio Arriba County are located in this region. Retirement and amenity migration, especially in the Chama area, which is near the border of the state of Colorado and in the southeastern part of the region, for example, Abiquiu partially contributed to the population growth in this area. But it is this region's geographic closeness to metropolitan Santa Fe that that accounted for most of the region's population growth. Additionally, affordable housing and real estate had drawn commuters to this region.

## SAN JUAN WATER PLANNING REGION

Comprised primarily of San Juan County, this region is located in the northwest corner of the state. Its land area includes the population center of Jicarilla Apache Indian Reservation, Dulce, as well as the small communities of Lindrith and Lumberton. Also included in this region are the Navajo reservation areas north of Gallup in McKinley County and the northwest corner of Sandoval County that borders the counties of Rio Arriba, McKinley, and San Juan.

Like other regions in the state whose economies were primarily fueled by the mining, oil and natural gas industries, the region's population expanded and contracted with the upturn and downturn in these

| Table 2.9Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate ofHistorical Population: April 1, 1970 to April 1, 2000Rio Arriba Region |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census Year | County Population in Region |  |
|  | Total Region Population | Rio Arriba |
| 1970 | 6,263 | 6,263 |
| 1980 | 6,303 | 6,303 |
| 1990 | 6,832 | 6,832 |
| 2000 | 7,751 | 7,751 |
| Census Year | Growth Rate of Region and County Population |  |
|  | Total Region | Rio Arriba |
| 1970-1980 | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| 1980-1990 | 0.81 | 0.81 |
| 1990-2000 | 1.26 | 1.26 |
| Source: New Mexico County Population Totals from the U.S. Census Bureau of Business and Economic Research |  |  |

industries. In the 1970s, when energy development was at its peak, economic growth in the region was strong. In the 1980s, as a result of declines in the price of oil, economic growth in the region declined. In the early 1990s, San Juan County's mining sector staged a recovery as natural gas exploration increased in response to a federal tax credit for coal-seam gas extraction. Tourism, government spending, and the expansion of Mesa Air Group a regional airline that is headquartered in Farmington further boosted the region's economic growth during the 1990s.

Table 2.10 traces the population growth trend of the San Juan Water Planning Region. In 30 years, the region's population expanded from 63 thousand people to 131 thousand, an increase of 68 thousand people. Forty-five percent ( 30 thousand people) of the total population growth from 1970 to 2000 occurred during the 1970s. Thirty-six percent ( 25 thousand people) was added in the 1990s. Population growth was fastest in the 1970s, slowest in the 1980s, and moderate in the 1990s. As in other regions of the state, this population growth trend was coincidental with the downturn and upturn of the economy following the cycles of the growth in the extractive industries. The exception to this cyclical pattern was Sandoval County. Its population that is in the San Juan Region had been on a precipitous decline since 1970.

Figure 2.6 shows the relative shares of each county in the region's population. It points out that after 1970, San Juan County gained even more ground as its share increased from 83 per cent in 1970 to 86 percent in 1980 and it stayed at this level thereafter. In the next two decades, the share of Rio Arriba County continued to decline while McKinley County maintained its share at 10 percent. Sandoval County's share hovered close to one percent from 1980 to 2000.

| Table 2.10Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate of Historical PopulationApril 1, 1970 to April 1, 2000San Juan Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census Year | County Population in Region |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region Population | McKinley | Rio Arriba | San Juan | Sandoval |
| 1970 | 63,242 7,954 <br> 93,640 8,935 <br> 106,373 10,667 <br> 130,963 13,056 |  | 2,887 52,251 <br> 2,940 81,137 <br> 3,402 91,113 <br> 3,586 113,225 |  | 150 |
| 1980 |  |  | 628 |
| 1990 |  |  | 1,191 |
| 2000 |  |  | 1,096 |
| Census Year | Distribution of County Population in Region |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region | McKinley |  |  | Rio Arriba | San Juan | Sandoval |
| 1970 | 100.0 | 12.6 |  |  | 4.6 | 82.6 | 0.2 |
| 1980 | 100.0100.0 | 9.510.0 |  |  | 3.1 | 86.6 | 0.7 |
| 1990 |  |  | 3.2 | 85.7 | 1.1 |
| 2000 | 100.0 100.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.0 \\ & 10.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2.7 | 86.5 | 0.8 |
| Census Year | Growth Rate of Region and County Population |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region | McKinley | Rio Arriba | San Juan | Sandoval |
| 1970-1980 | 3.92 | 1.16 | 0.18 | 4.40 | 14.32 |
| 1980-1990 | 1.27 | 1.77 | 1.46 | 1.16 | 6.40 |
| 1990-2000 | 2.08 | 2.02 | 0.53 | 2.17 | -0.83 |
| Source: New Mexico County Population Totals from the U.S. Census Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico |  |  |  |  |  |



## SAN MIGUEL/MORA WATER PLANNING REGION

The San Miguel/Mora Water Planning Region covers Mora County and San Miguel County. Table 2.11 shows that population growth in the region was very weak between 1970 and $1980(0.09 \%$ annual growth rate). In the 1980s, population growth significantly increased. The region's estimated average annual growth rate for the 1980 decade was 1.1 percent. This upward momentum persisted until the end of the 1990 decade. The estimated average annual growth rate between 1990 and 2000 was 1.6 percent. The stronger than expected population growth in the region could be attributed to increased migration into both Mora County and San Miguel County.

Table 2.11 also points out the differential rate of growth between the two counties. Historically, Mora County grew at a much slower rate than San Miguel County. But in the late 1980s Mora County started on a positive population growth trajectory that continued throughout the next 20 years. By 2000, Mora County was growing faster than San Miguel County. Between 1990 and 2000, the estimated annual population growth rate for Mora County was close to two percent. The corresponding rate for San Miguel County was 1.6 percent. The closure of Medite Corporation fiberboard plant in the City of Las Vegas led to significant loss of employment in the county. However, the presence of New Mexico Highlands University and Luna Vocational-Technical Institute assures some stability in the number of young adults in San Miguel County.

Figure 2.7 shows that since 1980 the relative share of each county in the region's population changed little if at all. Prior to 1980, Mora County had an 18 per cent share in the region population. Starting in 1980, Mora County's share starting declining until it reached 14 percent in 1990. In the 1990s, Mora County underwent some major changes. It became more gentrified as migrants in search of wide open space and the amenities of a rural environment came in large numbers. The result was unprecedented strong population growth. But the more populous San Miguel County will continue its hegemony in this region for a very long time.

| Table 2.11 <br> Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate of Historical Population: April 1, 1970 to April 1, 2000 San Miguel/Mora Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census Year | County Population in Region |  |  |
|  | Total Region Population | Mora | San Miguel |
| 1970 | 26,624 | 4,673 | 21,951 |
| 1980 | 26,956 | 4,205 | 22,751 |
| 1990 | 30,007 | 4,264 | 25,743 |
| 2000 | 35,306 | 5,180 | 30,126 |
| Census Year | Distribution of County Population in Region |  |  |
|  | Total Region | Mora | San Miguel |
| 1970 | 100.0 | 17.6 | 82.4 |
| 1980 | 100.0 | 15.6 | 84.4 |
| 1990 | 100.0 | 14.2 | 85.8 |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 14.7 | 85.3 |
| Census Year | Growth Rate of Region and County Population |  |  |
|  | Total Region | Mora | San Miguel |
| 1970-1980 | 0.12 | -1.06 | 0.36 |
| 1980-1990 | 1.07 | 0.14 | 1.24 |
| 1990-2000 | 1.63 | 1.95 | 1.57 |
| Source: New Mexico County Population Totals from the U.S. Census Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexic |  |  |  |



## SANTA FE/LOS ALAMOS WATER PLANNING REGION

Except for the southern portion that is in the Estancia Water Planning Region, Santa Fe County is primarily in the Santa Fe/Los Alamos Water Planning Region. The entire population of Los Alamos County is in this region. The population centers of Espanola, San Juan Pueblo, Santa Clara Pueblo and Chimayo in Rio Arriba County are located in the Santa Fe/Los Alamos Region. A small unpopulated portion in the northeastern corner of Sandoval County, which is in the Jemez National Forest, is also in this region.

Table 2.12 traces the historical growth of Santa Fe/ Los Alamos Region. Figure 2.8 shows the distribution of the region's population.

Table 2.12 indicates that the region's population increased from 86 thousand people in 1970 to 168 thousand in 2000. The strong population growth in Santa Fe County during the last three decades accounted for the faster than average growth in the region. Santa Fe Country grew more than three percent annually between 1970 and 1980. This growth rate declined to 2.4 percent by 2000. However, even at this lower rate of growth, Santa Fe County grew faster than either Rio Arriba County or Los Alamos County.

Population growth in Los Alamos County lost steam after the 1970s. The Vietnam War ended in 1975. As a result, federal spending on defense-related research was reduced. Further reductions in spending were made as the federal government attempted to balance the budget and make government leaner. Jobs were lost. Workers were laid off. These displaced workers and their families eventually left the region. For the first time since its incorporation in the early 1950's, Los Alamos County population stagnated. Meanwhile, population growth in Rio Arriba County was strong but fluctuating during the last 30 years. This county experienced rapid population growth in

Table 2.12
Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate of Historical Population April 1, 1970 to April 1, 2000 Santa Fe/Los Alamos Region

| Census Year | County Population in Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Region Population | Los Alamos | Rio Arriba | Santa Fe |
| 1970 | 85,533 | 15,198 | 16,020 | 54,315 |
| 1980 | 111,637 | 17,599 | 20,039 | 73,999 |
| 1990 | 137,256 | 18,115 | 24,102 | 95,039 |
| 2000 | 168,464 | 18,343 | 29,836 | 120,285 |
| Census Year | Distribution of County Population in Region |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region | Los Alamos | Rio Arriba | Santa Fe |
| 1970 | 100.0 | 17.8 | 18.7 | 63.5 |
| 1980 | 100.0 | 15.8 | 18.0 | 66.3 |
| 1990 | 100.0 | 13.2 | 17.6 | 69.2 |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 10.9 | 17.7 | 71.4 |
| Census Year | Growth Rate of Region and County Population |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region | Los Alamos | Rio Arriba | Santa Fe |
| 1970-1980 | 2.66 | 1.47 | 2.24 | 3.09 |
| 1980-1990 | 2.07 | 0.29 | 1.85 | 2.50 |
| 1990-2000 | 2.05 | 0.13 | 2.13 | 2.36 |

Source: New Mexico County Population Totals from the U.S. Census Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

the 1970s when its population grew at over two percent (2.2\%) annually. In the 1980s, its growth rate was estimated at just under two percent (1.9\%). The following decade, its growth rate rebounded to over two percent (2.1\%).

A number of factors contributed to this strong growth in Rio Arriba County. Manufacturing has maintained a presence in Southern Rio Arriba with the continued operation of Rio Grande Forest Products and the transfer of Nambe Mills from Santa Fe to Espanola. Indian casino-gaming expanded resulting in increased employment opportunities in this region. Employees at nearby LANL and Santa Fe were drawn to the Espanola area in Southern Rio Arriba County because of the availability of more affordable real estate. Despite these positive increases in Rio Arriba County its share in the region declined slightly. Santa Fe County expanded its share at the expense of Los Alamos County.

## SOCORRO/SIERRA WATER PLANNING REGION

The Socorro/Sierra Water Planning Region is composed of Socorro County and Sierra County. Socorro County is home to New Mexico Technical Institute and the National Radio Astronomy Observatory (NRAO) which is one of the world's premier research facilities for radio astronomy. NRAO operates the powerful "Very Large Array" radio telescopes that span the western hemisphere. Scientists from around the world use these instruments to probe fundamental questions in physics and astronomy. Sierra County is primarily a retirement destination. Sierra County is the oldest county in the state. Close to 30 percent of its population are 65 years or older.

Table 2.13 presents the region's population levels and trends from 1970 to 2000. Figure 2.9 graphically presents the split in the region's population between the two counties. Very little change had occurred in 30 years. Close to 60 percent of the region's population lived in Socorro and the rest in Sierra County. The distribution in 1970 was the same as in 2000. There was a small change in 1980 and 1990 when Socorro County gained slightly over Sierra County. But Sierra County regained its original share by 2000.

Table 2.13 also points to a steady increase in the region's population size, from just above 17 thousand in 1970 to 31 thousand by 2000. The region's average yearly growth rate ranged from a low of 1.6 percent during the 1980 decade to a high of 2.4 in the 1990 decade. At the county level, prior to 1990, Socorro County grew at a faster rate than Sierra County. In the 1990s, the reverse was true. Sierra County registered an average growth rate that was close to three percent (2.9\%) compared to Socorro County's 2.0 percent.

## SOUTHWEST NEW MEXICO WATER PLANNING REGION

The four border counties of Catron, Grant, Hidalgo, and Luna comprise the Southwest New Mexico Water Planning Region. Catron County and Grant County are on the state of Arizona border. Hidalgo County borders both Arizona and Mexico. An international port of entry, the Columbus Federal Border Station, is located in Luna County. Its Mexican counterpart is located in Las Palomas.

Table 2.14 shows the historical region and county population levels and trends from 1970 to 2000. In 30 years, this region's population increased by 25 thousand people. Forty-five percent of this increase occurred between 1990 and 2000. Luna County accounted for 61 percent of this population increase while Grant County contributed 30 percent. The remainder came from Catron County. Hidalgo County lost a few people during the period.

The counties of Grant and Luna are both popular migrant destinations for retirees and young migrants alike. In Luna County, the City of Columbus, which is across the border from the Mexican town of Las Palomas, has been a favorite destination of Mexican families with school

| Table 2.13 <br> Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate of Historical Population: April 1, 1970 to April 1, 2000 Socorro/Sierra Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census Year | County Population in Region |  |  |
|  | Total Region Population | Sierra | Socorro |
| 1970 | 16,952 | 7,189 | 9,763 |
| 1980 | 21,020 | 8,454 | 12,566 |
| 1990 | 24,676 | 9,912 | 14,764 |
| 2000 | 31,348 | 13,270 | 18,078 |
| Census Year | Distribution of County Population in Region |  |  |
|  | Total Region | Sierra | Socorro |
| 1970 | 100.0 | 42.4 | 57.6 |
| 1980 | 100.0 | 40.2 | 59.8 |
| 1990 | 100.0 | 40.2 | 59.8 |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 42.3 | 57.7 |
| Census Year | Growth Rate of Region and County Population |  |  |
|  | Total Region | Sierra | Socorro |
| 1970-1980 | 2.15 | 1.62 | 2.52 |
| 1980-1990 | 1.60 | 1.59 | 1.61 |
| 1990-2000 | 2.39 | 2.92 | 2.03 |

Source: New Mexico County Population Totals from the U.S. Census Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

age children while the city of Deming has drawn elderly migrants. In Grant County, Silver City is fast becoming a destination for retirement migration. Grant County also has a very well established young adult population because of the presence of Western New Mexico University in Silver City.

The decade of the 1990s was a period of population recovery for Catron County. Its population growth averaged about 3.3 percent annually, effectively adding about one thousand people in 10 years. In the previous decade, Catron County lost about 200 people. Hidalgo County was on a downward trajectory. Table 2.14 shows that in the 1970s population growth in Hidalgo County $(2.45 \%)$ was second only to Luna County ( $2.86 \%$ ). In the following decade, the population of Hidalgo County started to decline. This persisted until the end of the 1990 decade. This continuous loss of population eroded the share of Hidalgo county in the region population. Figure 2.10 illustrates the shifts in the population distribution of the region's population during the last 30 years.

## TAOS WATER PLANNING REGION

The Taos Water Planning Region is primarily Taos County. A tiny portion of Rio Arriba County is in this region but less than 20 people live in this region's sector. From 1970 to 2000, population growth in the region had accelerated notwithstanding the departure of young adults.

Table 2.15 shows that the region's average annual growth rate increased from just above one percent between 1970 and 1980 to 2.6 percent between 1990 and 2000. In 30 years, the region's population expanded from 18 thousand in 1970 to 30 thousand in 2000. Of the 12 thousand population increase, more than six thousand was added during the last decade. Its beautiful landscape, ski resorts, art colonies, and native cultures make Taos County irresistible to wealthy migrants who are seeking solace from the hectic life in the city. The last decade saw an unprecedented strong population growth in Taos. Most of this growth was among the middle age and elderly populations. The fastest growing cohorts were the 45 to 64 year olds and the 65 years and older. The former cohort increased its size by more than 70 percent while the latter increased by almost 50 percent.

## TULAROSA/SACRAMENTO WATER PLANNING REGION

Table 2.16 shows that in the last 30 years, Otero County dominated the demographic landscape of the Tularosa/Sacramento Water Planning Region. In 1990 and 2000, 97 percent of the region's population lived in Otero County. Lincoln County accounted for less than three percent of the region's population. A small portion of Eddy County and Chaves County are located in this region but their combined contributions to the region's population are negligible. After 1980, population growth in Otero County had been on the upswing. Figure 2.11 underscores the hegemony of Otero County in this region.

The presence of major defense and military bases such as the White Sands Missile Range and Holloman Air Force Base contributes to the appeal of Otero County to migrants. Otero County is the home of the nation's F-117 Stealth fighters. In the 1990s, as part of the Federal government's attempt to curve defense spending, bases around the nation were closed. Personnel of bases that were closed were relocated to other regions. For example, a California-based squadron was transferred to Holloman Air Force Base. Later in mid-decade, a German tactical training center was also established in Holloman. Continued population growth in this region will depend on the growth in the military and other defense-related activities.

| Table 2.14 <br> Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate of Historical Population <br> April 1, 1970 to April 1, 2000 <br> SW New Mexico Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Census Year | County Population in Region |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region Population | Catron | Grant | Hidalgo | Luna |
| 1970 | 40,668 2,198 <br> 50,558 2,720 <br> 54,307 2,563 <br> 65,493 3,543 |  | 22,03026,20427,67631,002 | 4,734 | 11,706 |
| 1980 |  |  | 6,049 | 15,585 |
| 1990 |  |  | 5,958 | $\begin{array}{r} 18,110 \\ 25,016 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 2000 |  |  | 5,932 |  |
| Census Year | Distribution of County Population in Region |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region | Catron |  | Grant | Hidalgo | Luna |
| 1970 | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 5.4 |  | 54.2 | 11.6 | 28.8 |
| 1980 |  | 5.4 |  | 51.8 | 12.0 | 30.8 |
| 1990 |  | 4.7 | 51.0 | 11.0 | 33.3 |
| 2000 |  | 5.4 | 47.3 | 9.1 | 38.2 |
| Census Year | Growth Rate of Region and County Population Region |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region | Catron | Grant | Hidalgo | Luna |
| 1970-1980 | 2.18 2.13 |  | 1.74 | 2.45 | 2.86 |
| 1980-1990 | 0.72 | $-0.59$ | 0.551.13 | $\begin{array}{r} -0.15 \\ -0.04 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.50 \\ 3.23 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 1990-2000 | 1.87 |  |  |  |  |
| Source: New Mexico County Population Totals from the U.S. Census Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico |  |  |  |  |  |



| Table 2.15 <br> Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate of Historical Population April 1, 1970 to April 1, 2000 <br> Taos Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census Year | County Population in Region |  |  |
|  | Total Region Population | Rio Arriba | Taos |
| 1970 | 17,516 | 0 | 17,516 |
| 1980 | 19,456 | 0 | 19,456 |
| 1990 | 23,147 | 29 | 23,118 |
| 2000 | 29,996 | 17 | 29,979 |
| Census Year | Distribution of County Population in Region |  |  |
|  | Total Region | Rio Arriba | Taos |
| 1970 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 1980 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 1990 | 100.0 | 0.1 | 99.9 |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 0.1 | 99.9 |
| Census Year | Growth Rate of Region and County Population |  |  |
|  | Total Region | Rio Arriba | Taos |
| 1970-1980 | 1.05 | n/a | 1.05 |
| 1980-1990 | 1.74 | n/a | 1.72 |
| 1990-2000 | 2.59 | -5.34 | 2.60 |
| Source: New Mexico County Population Totals from the U.S. Census Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico |  |  |  |

Table 2.16

| Table 2.16 <br> Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate of Historical Population April 1, 1970 to April 1, 2000 Tularosa/Sacramento Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census Year | County Population in Region |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region Population | Chaves | Eddy | Lincoln | Otero |
| 1970 | 41,362 | 5 | 8 | 1,475 | 39,875 |
| 1980 | 44,291 | 5 | 9 | 1,630 | 42,646 |
| 1990 | 51,112 | 18 | 9 | 1,407 | 49,678 |
| 2000 | 60,699 | 9 | 9 | 1,627 | 59,054 |
| Census Year | Distribution of County Population in Region |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region | Chaves | Eddy | Lincoln | Otero |
| 1970 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 96.4 |
| 1980 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 96.3 |
| 1990 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 97.2 |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 97.3 |
| Census Year | Growth Rate of Region and County Population |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region | Chaves | Eddy | Lincoln | Otero |
| 1970-1980 | 0.68 | 1.65 | 1.52 | 1.00 | 0.67 |
| 1980-1990 | 1.43 | 11.94 | 0.16 | -1.47 | 1.53 |
| 1990-2000 | 1.72 | -6.93 | 0.00 | 1.45 | 1.73 |

Source: New Mexico County Population Totals from the U.S. Census Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico


## Chapter 3

## FUTURE POPULATION LEVELS AND TRENDS

This chapter presents the projected populations for the 16 water regions from July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060. A two-step projection methodology was utilized to estimate the populations. First, a cohortcomponent method was used to obtain the projected county populations. Second, a regressionbased ratio technique was employed to derive the future share of each water region in the county population. The methodology used to calculate these projections are detailed in Appendix 1. As in the previous chapter, the results are presented by water region.

Starting in the year 2010, New Mexico like the rest of the country, will undergo significant demographic restructuring. Overall, population growth will be slower than in the previous century. As indicated in the earlier chapter, the first of the baby boom generation will reach age 65 years by 2010. In the next 20 years, successive cohorts of this generation will join the ranks of the elderly. Shortly after the last cohort of this generation reaches age 65 years, by 2035, the first cohort of the baby boomlet generation, the children of the baby boom generation, will reach retirement and add to the ranks of the elderly. Fertility has been going down but it is expected to level off close to replacement level at 2.1 children per woman of childbearing age in most New Mexico counties.

The metropolitan counties of Albuquerque and Santa Fe-Los Alamos are projected to have fertility levels below replacement level, from 1.5 to 1.9 children per woman of childbearing age. The Las Cruces Metropolitan area is likely to be at replacement level. Although life expectancy is expected to increase for both males and females, a population with an older age structure experiences more deaths, in absolute numbers, than a younger population as the elderly population is at a higher risk of dying. A combination of a declining fertility and an aging population results in a slower population growth, overall. Regions that attract migrants in the working age groups will be the fastest growing. Regions that attract retirement migration will expect to grow at slow to moderate speed provided they draw an ever-increasing number of retirees to replace those who die. Otherwise, these regions will lose population as the number of deaths exceeds the number of births, a case known as "natural decrease." A good example of a country that is experiencing this phenomenon of natural decrease is Sierra County. Population growth in Sierra County is primarily the result of migration.

Competition for retirees is anticipated. Regions that are established retirement destinations will certainly have a head start over newly developing retirement regions. Regions that want to attract retirees would need to provide competitive or better infrastructure and amenities or incentives than currently available in traditional retirement destination.

The projected population and trends are presented in both tabular and graphic format. When the county is also the water region, no graphic presentation of the data accompanies the text.

## COLFAX WATER PLANNING REGION

The lack of strong economic base to retain the young population even as it manages to attract retirement migration will result in a slow population growth in the Colfax Water Planning Region Like everywhere else in the nation, the regions that are not major immigrant destinations will undergo rapid graying of their population as the baby boom generations reach age 65 years starting in the year 2010.

Table 3.1 presents the future population trend in Colfax. While its demographic future will follow the same general trend expected in most of the nation and the state, Colfax will continue to have a population that is older than the state as a whole. In 1990, the median age of population in Colfax

| Table 3.1 <br> Projected Population and Annual Average Growth Rate July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060 Colfax Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projection Year | County Population in Region |  | Projection Year | Growth Rate of Region and County Population |  |
|  | Total Region Population | Colfax |  | Total Region | Colfax |
| 2000 | 14,230 | 14,230 |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 14,765 | 14,765 | 2000-05 | 0.74 | 0.74 |
| 2010 | 15,234 | 15,234 | 2005-10 | 0.63 | 0.63 |
| 2015 | 15,625 | 15,625 | 2010-15 | 0.51 | 0.51 |
| 2020 | 15,890 | 15,890 | 2015-20 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| 2025 | 16,021 | 16,021 | 2020-25 | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| 2030 | 16,026 | 16,026 | 2025-30 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 2035 | 15,991 | 15,991 | 2030-35 | -0.04 | -0.04 |
| 2040 | 15,796 | 15,796 | 2035-40 | -0.25 | -0.25 |
| 2045 | 15,541 | 15,541 | 2040-45 | -0.33 | -0.33 |
| 2050 | 15,265 | 15,265 | 2045-50 | -0.36 | -0.36 |
| 2055 | 15,009 | 15,009 | 2050-55 | -0.34 | -0.34 |
| 2060 | 14,801 | 14,801 | 2055-60 | -0.28 | -0.28 |

was estimated at 35.5 years. In 2000, this increased to 40.8 years. By comparison, the median age of the state population was 31.2 years and 34.6 years for 1990 and 2000, respectively. If past regional migration patterns persist, Colfax would need to attract an ever-increasing number of retirees to compensate for losses due to a higher incidence of deaths and lower number of births as expected from an older population.

The projected population for Colfax peaks at 16 thousand by 2030. Thereafter, the region's population is expected to gradually decrease to just below 15 thousand people by 2060. An economy that will attract and sustain migrants particularly those in their peak reproductive and economically productive years (25-44) could reverse this projected downward trend. Alternatively, a sustained large-scale migration of retirees even in the face of low fertility could also maintain a positive population growth rate.

## ESTANCIA WATER PLANNING REGION

In the next 60 years, population growth in the Estancia Water Planning Region is projected to slow down. Nevertheless, Estancia is expected to more than triple its population during this period. Its proximity to the two major economic and government centers in the state, the cities of Santa Fe and Albuquerque, foretells this region's strong population growth. The incorporation of the Town of Edgewood in 1999 has spurred an aggressive push for economic and residential development in the region that is expected to continue throughout the projection period. The competitive cost of housing in Edgewood and neighboring Torrance County coupled with high-end residential and recreational development in the east mountain area of Bernalillo County has made Estancia an attractive destination to a wide spectrum of migrants. Barring the occurrence of catastrophic economic and environmental events, this region's population is projected to more than triple in 60
years. Table 3.2 shows that the region's population will increase from 32 thousand in 2000 to 118 thousand in 2060. Its annual average population growth rate will decline from a high of over three percent ( $3.19 \%$ ) in the first half of the 2000 decade to under two percent ( $1.77 \%$ ) between 2055 and 2060. This trend of declining population growth rate is expected throughout the region.

Figure 3.1 indicates that during the projection period, southern Santa Fe County will outpace both Torrance County and Bernalillo County's East mountains to predominate as the Estancia Water Planning Region's population center. The low density and upscale development in the East mountains could restrain population growth in Bernalillo County. The lack of good quality water in Tijeras and Cedar Crest could further constrain future residential development in this area. This slower growth in the Bernalillo County East mountains will be compensated by increased residential and economic development in Edgewood.

| Table 3.2 <br> Projected Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060 Estancia Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projection | County Population in Region |  |  |  | Distribution of County Population in Region |  |  |  | Projection Year | Growth Rate of Region and County Population |  |  |  |
| Year | Total Reaion | Bernalillo | Santa Fe | Torrance | Total Reaion | Bernalillo | SantaFe | Torrance |  | Total Reaion | Bernalillo | Santa Fe | Torrance |
| 2000 | 31,672 | 5,587 | 9,057 | 17,029 | 100.0 | 17.6 | 28.6 | 53.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 37,141 | 6,474 | 11,145 | 19,523 | 100.0 | 17.4 | 30.0 | 52.6 | 2000-05 | 3.19 | 2.95 | 4.15 | 2.73 |
| 2010 | 42,539 | 7,356 | 13,499 | 21,684 | 100.0 | 17.3 | 31.7 | 51.0 | 2005-10 | 2.71 | 2.56 | 3.83 | 2.10 |
| 2015 | 48,497 | 8,424 | 16,612 | 23,461 | 100.0 | 17.4 | 34.3 | 48.4 | 2010-15 | 2.62 | 2.71 | 4.15 | 1.58 |
| 2020 | 54,649 | 9,529 | 20,174 | 24,946 | 100.0 | 17.4 | 36.9 | 45.6 | 2015-20 | 2.39 | 2.47 | 3.89 | 1.23 |
| 2025 | 61,037 | 10,664 | 24,127 | 26,246 | 100.0 | 17.5 | 39.5 | 43.0 | 2020-25 | 2.21 | 2.25 | 3.58 | 1.02 |
| 2030 | 67,594 | 11,818 | 28,422 | 27,353 | 100.0 | 17.5 | 42.0 | 40.5 | 2025-30 | 2.04 | 2.06 | 3.28 | 0.83 |
| 2035 | 74,563 | 12,982 | 33,249 | 28,331 | 100.0 | 17.4 | 44.6 | 38.0 | 2030-35 | 1.96 | 1.88 | 3.14 | 0.70 |
| 2040 | 82,041 | 14,147 | 38,658 | 29,236 | 100.0 | 17.2 | 47.1 | 35.6 | 2035-40 | 1.91 | 1.72 | 3.01 | 0.63 |
| 2045 | 90,099 | 15,324 | 44,700 | 30,075 | 100.0 | 17.0 | 49.6 | 33.4 | 2040-45 | 1.87 | 1.60 | 2.90 | 0.57 |
| 2050 | 98,637 | 16,531 | 51,291 | 30,815 | 100.0 | 16.8 | 52.0 | 31.2 | 2045-50 | 1.81 | 1.52 | 2.75 | 0.49 |
| 2055 | 107,833 | 17,781 | 58,591 | 31,461 | 100.0 | 16.5 | 54.3 | 29.2 | 2050-55 | 1.78 | 1.46 | 2.66 | 0.41 |
| 2060 | 117,812 | 19,083 | 66,667 | 32,061 | 100.0 | 16.2 | 56.6 | 27.2 | 2055-60 | 1.77 | 1.41 | 2.58 | 0.38 |



## LEA WATER PLANNING REGION

Table 3.3 points up the downward trend in the Lea Water Planning Region's future population. The collapse of the extractive industries in the early 1980s spurred the population decline from which Lea has not recovered. It is expected that the absence of any major industrial or economic development initiatives will restrain population growth as the working age cohorts move out of the region in search of better job opportunities. The result will be a steady erosion of the region's population size. This decline will bottom out by the year 2040, after which the population will begin to stabilize. The projected 2040 population size is slightly over 47 thousand. This is 13 per cent less than Lea's 2000 population of 55 thousand people. Positive population growth is projected after 2040. By 2060, this region is calculated to have approximately 48 thousand people.

## LOWER PECOS VALLEY WATER PLANNING REGION

Population growth in the Lower Pecos Valley Water Planning Region is projected to progressively decline in the next 60 years. Table 3.4 and Figure 3.2 present the county distribution of the region's population.

Table 3.4 indicates that Lower Pecos Valley's average annual population growth rate will drop from nine-tenths of a percent to just above one-tenths of a percent in 60 years. Notwithstanding, this region's population will expand from 137 thousand in 2000 to 175 thousand by 2060, an increase of almost 40 thousand in 60 years. Three-quarters of this change is projected to occur during the first half of the projection period. A much smaller change is expected in the second half of the period.

In general, the same pattern of population growth is expected at the county level, i.e. faster growth during the first half and significantly slower growth during the second half of that 60 -year projection period. The number of people in De Baca Country that are in the region is calculated to change very little in the next 60 years. The resort county of Lincoln will have the

| Table 3.3 <br> Projected Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate <br> July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060 <br> Lea Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projection Year | Region Population |  | Projection Year | Growth Rate of Region |  |
|  | Total Region Population | Lea County |  | Total Region | Lea County |
| 2000 | 55,490 | 55,490 |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 55,044 | 55,044 | 2000-05 | -0.16 | -0.16 |
| 2010 | 54,526 | 54,526 | 2005-10 | -0.19 | -0.19 |
| 2015 | 53,767 | 53,767 | 2010-15 | -0.28 | -0.28 |
| 2020 | 52,632 | 52,632 | 2015-20 | -0.43 | -0.43 |
| 2025 | 51,104 | 51,104 | 2020-25 | -0.59 | -0.59 |
| 2030 | 49,239 | 49,239 | 2025-30 | -0.74 | -0.74 |
| 2035 | 47,695 | 47,695 | 2030-35 | -0.64 | -0.64 |
| 2040 | 47,241 | 47,241 | 2035-40 | -0.19 | -0.19 |
| 2045 | 47,412 | 47,412 | 2040-45 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| 2050 | 47,601 | 47,601 | 2045-50 | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| 2055 | 47,790 | 47,790 | 2050-55 | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| 2060 | 48,043 | 48,043 | 2055-60 | 0.11 | 0.01 |


| Table 3.4Projected Distribution and Annual Average Growth RateJuly 1, 2000 to July 1,2060Lower Pecos Valley Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projection | County Population in Region |  |  |  |  |  | Distribution of County Population in Region |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Projection } \\ \text { Year } \end{array}$ | Growth Rate of Region and County Population |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region | Chaves | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { De } \\ \text { Baca } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Eddy | Lincoln | Otero | Total Region | Chaves | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { De } \\ \text { Baca } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Eddy | Lincoln | Otero |  | Total Region | Chaves | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { De } \\ \text { Baca } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Eddy | Lincoln | Otero |
| 2000 | 136,542 | 61,434 | 2,241 | 51,667 | 17,943 | 3,257 | 100.0 | 45.0 | 1.6 | 37.8 | 13.1 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 142,812 | 63,259 | 2,270 | 53,447 | 20,240 | 3,595 | 100.0 | 44.3 | 1.6 | 37.4 | 14.2 | 2.5 | 2000-05 | 0.90 | 0.59 | 0.26 | 0.68 | 2.41 | 1.97 |
| 2010 | 148,644 | 64,779 | 2,289 | 55,209 | 22,426 | 3,940 | 100.0 | 43.6 | 1.5 | 37.1 | 15.1 | 2.7 | 2005-10 | 0.80 | 0.47 | 0.17 | 0.65 | 2.05 | 1.83 |
| 2015 | 153,981 | 66,149 | 2,293 | 56,884 | 24,381 | 4,275 | 100.0 | 43.0 | 1.5 | 36.9 | 15.8 | 2.8 | 2010-15 | 0.71 | 0.42 | 0.03 | 0.60 | 1.67 | 1.63 |
| 2020 | 158,789 | 67,323 | 2,296 | 58,452 | 26,115 | 4,603 | 100.0 | 42.4 | 1.4 | 36.8 | 16.4 | 2.9 | 2015-20 | 0.61 | 0.35 | 0.03 | 0.54 | 1.37 | 1.48 |
| 2025 | 162,790 | 68,154 | 2,295 | 59,841 | 27,567 | 4,933 | 100.0 | 41.9 | 1.4 | 36.8 | 16.9 | 3.0 | 2020-25 | 0.50 | 0.25 | -0.01 | 0.47 | 1.08 | 1.38 |
| 2030 | 166,072 | 68,660 | 2,296 | 61,007 | 28,844 | 5,264 | 100.0 | 41.3 | 1.4 | 36.7 | 17.4 | 3.2 | 2025-30 | 0.40 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.39 | 0.91 | 1.30 |
| 2035 | 168,566 | 68,937 | 2,289 | 61,910 | 29,842 | 5,588 | 100.0 | 40.9 | 1.4 | 36.7 | 17.7 | 3.3 | 2030-35 | 0.30 | 0.08 | -0.06 | 0.29 | 0.68 | 1.20 |
| 2040 | 170,492 | 69,049 | 2,292 | 62,630 | 30,625 | 5,896 | 100.0 | 40.5 | 1.3 | 36.7 | 18.0 | 3.5 | 2035-40 | 0.23 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.23 | 0.52 | 1.07 |
| 2045 | 171,937 | 69,032 | 2,292 | 63,211 | 31,211 | 6,190 | 100.0 | 40.1 | 1.3 | 36.8 | 18.2 | 3.6 | 2040-45 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.38 | 0.97 |
| 2050 | 173,157 | 68,939 | 2,284 | 63,797 | 31,659 | 6,478 | 100.0 | 39.8 | 1.3 | 36.8 | 18.3 | 3.7 | 2045-50 | 0.14 | -0.03 | -0.07 | 0.18 | 0.28 | 0.91 |
| 2055 | 174,189 | 68,834 | 2,274 | 64,360 | 31,959 | 6,762 | 100.0 | 39.5 | 1.3 | 36.9 | 18.3 | 3.9 | 2050-55 | 0.12 | -0.03 | -0.09 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.86 |
| 2060 | 175,300 | 68,749 | 2,267 | 65,049 | 32,185 | 7,049 | 100.0 | 39.2 | 1.3 | 37.1 | 18.4 | 4.0 | 2055-60 | 0.13 | -0.02 | -0.06 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.83 |
| Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 3.2
Distribution of Historical and Projected Population in Lower Pecos Valley Region, by County


Year
largest increase, approximately 14 thousand people over the 60 -year period. Eddy County will add approximately 13 thousand, Chaves County seven thousand, and Otero County slightly under four thousand.

The differential growth rates among the counties will change the allocation of the region's population in favor of fast-growing counties. For instance, Chaves County's share will contract as its growth rate slows down. The proportion of the region's population that resides in Chaves County will decrease from 45 percent in 2000 to 39 percent by 2060. Eddy County's share will change only slightly during the 60 -year projection period. Meanwhile, Lincoln County's share in the region will go up five-percentage points, from 13 percent in 2000 to 18 percent by 2060. Otero County's portion will almost double in 60 years, from two percent in 2000 to four percent in 2060. Figure 3.2 illustrates these future shifts in the distribution of the Lower Pecos Valley Region population.

## LOWER RIO GRANDE WATER PLANNING REGION

The Lower Rio Grande Water Planning Region population will more than double in size in 60 years. In 2000, this region's population was over 185 thousand. By 2060, its population is projected to top 390 thousand. Population growth will be fastest in the first 35 years even as the annual average growth rate declines from 2.4 percent between 2000 and 2005 to 1.1 percent between 2030 and 2035. During this 35 year-period, the region's population will expand by 75 percent or 140 thousand people. After 2035, population growth in Lower Rio Grande will decline to less than one percent; from . $98 \%$ between 2035 and 2040 to half a percent between 2055 and 2060. Nevertheless, because of the presence of the second largest state university, New Mexico State University, in Dona Ana County and the region's proximity to the Texas and Mexican borders, Lower Rio Grande will continue to be a major destination for young adults thus ensuring a positive albeit slower pace of population growth by the middle of this century.

## MIDDLE RIO GRANDE REGION

The most heavily populated region in the state will sustain its demographic dominance throughout the 60-year projection period. In 2000, the Middle Rio Grande Region has 39 percent of the state population. This share will peak at 40 percent by 2030 and will stay at this level in the next 30 years. Table 3.6 shows that the region's population will reach the one million mark by 2030. In the ensuing 30 years the region will add 23 percent or 240 thousand more people to its 2030 population. By 2060, the projected Middle Rio Grande Region population will top 1.3 million. At this time the state population will reach 3.2 million people, and slightly over 40 percent of them will be in the Middle Rio Grande Region.

Figure 3.3 shows that in 2000 over three-quarters of the region's population lived in Bernalillo County; 13 percent were in Sandoval County, and the remainder lived in Valencia


County. The relatively faster growing Sandoval and Valencia Counties will push down Bernalillo County's share in the next 60 years. Bernalillo County's share will decrease from 78 percent in 2000 to 63 percent by 2060.

By 2030, the Middle Rio Grande Region's population will be distributed as follows: 69 percent in Bernalillo County, 19 percent in Sandoval County, and 13 percent in Valencia County. The corresponding distribution by 2060 is as follows: 63 percent of the region's population will in Bernalillo County, 22 percent in Sandoval County, and 15 percent in Valencia County. The population growth potential for this region remains high as plans for wider roads and faster transportation systems are implemented.

| Table 3.6 <br> Projected Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate <br> July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060 <br> Middle Rio Grande Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projection Year | County Population in Region |  |  |  | Distribution of County Population in Region |  |  |  | Projection Year | Growth Rate of Region and County Population |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region Population | Bernalillo | Sandoval | Valencia | Total Region | Bernalillo | Sandoval | Valencia |  | Total Region | Bernalillo | Sandoval | Valencia |
| 2000 | 709,218 | 552,850 | 89,668 | 66,699 | 100.0 | 78.0 | 12.6 | 9.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 770,758 | 587,327 | 106,928 | 76,503 | 100.0 | 76.2 | 13.9 | 9.9 | 2000-05 | 1.66 | 1.21 | 3.52 | 2.74 |
| 2010 | 826,792 | 616,065 | 124,058 | 86,670 | 100.0 | 74.5 | 15.0 | 10.5 | 2005-10 | 1.40 | 0.96 | 2.97 | 2.50 |
| 2015 | 880,977 | 642,073 | 141,662 | 97,242 | 100.0 | 72.9 | 16.1 | 11.0 | 2010-15 | 1.27 | 0.83 | 2.65 | 2.30 |
| 2020 | 933,357 | 666,289 | 159,162 | 107,906 | 100.0 | 71.4 | 17.1 | 11.6 | 2015-20 | 1.16 | 0.74 | 2.33 | 2.08 |
| 2025 | 983,119 | 688,603 | 176,177 | 118,339 | 100.0 | 70.0 | 17.9 | 12.0 | 2020-25 | 1.04 | 0.66 | 2.03 | 1.85 |
| 2030 | 1,030,088 | 708,817 | 192,745 | 128,527 | 100.0 | 68.8 | 18.7 | 12.5 | 2025-30 | 0.93 | 0.58 | 1.80 | 1.65 |
| 2035 | 1,074,139 | 726,752 | 208,797 | 138,590 | 100.0 | 67.7 | 19.4 | 12.9 | 2030-35 | 0.84 | 0.50 | 1.60 | 1.51 |
| 2040 | 1,115,200 | 742,378 | 224,259 | 148,563 | 100.0 | 66.6 | 20.1 | 13.3 | 2035-40 | 0.75 | 0.43 | 1.43 | 1.39 |
| 2045 | 1,154,293 | 756,662 | 239,172 | 158,459 | 100.0 | 65.6 | 20.7 | 13.7 | 2040-45 | 0.69 | 0.38 | 1.29 | 1.29 |
| 2050 | 1,192,668 | 770,681 | 253,746 | 168,242 | 100.0 | 64.6 | 21.3 | 14.1 | 2045-50 | 0.65 | 0.37 | 1.18 | 1.20 |
| 2055 | 1,231,098 | 784,962 | 268,196 | 177,940 | 100.0 | 63.8 | 21.8 | 14.5 | 2050-55 | 0.63 | 0.37 | 1.11 | 1.12 |
| 2060 | 1,270,339 | 799,941 | 282,721 | 187,677 | 100.0 | 63.0 | 22.3 | 14.8 | 2055-60 | 0.63 | 0.38 | 1.05 | 1.07 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico


## NORTHEAST NEW MEXICO WATER PLANNING REGION

In 2000, close to five percent or 85 thousand of the state population lived in the Northeast New Mexico Water Planning Region. By 2030, less than four percent or 93 thousand of the state population will be found in the Northeast New Mexico.

Table 3.7 shows that after 2030, this region will see no growth to negative growth unless a major economic restructuring occurs during this period. The smaller counties of Guadalupe, Harding, and Quay as well as Curry County will contribute to the depressed population growth in the Northeast New Mexico Region. Curry County is expected to lose population as the federal government continues to downsize defense spending. Roosevelt County and Union County will defy the downward population growth projected in the rest of the region.

Figure 3.4 illustrates the shifts in the region's population distribution. It also shows that the counties that are projected to have a positive growth trajectory, Union County and Roosevelt County, will increase their shares in the region's population. Clearly, Roosevelt County will gain the most as the rest off the counties in the region suffer population stagnation or decline.

| Table 3.7 <br> Projected Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060 NE New Mexico Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | County Population in Region |  |  |  |  |  |  | Distribution of County Population in Region |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Projection } \\ \text { Year } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Growth Rate of Region and County Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Projection Year | Total Region Population | Cury | $\begin{gathered} \text { Guada- } \\ \text { lupe } \end{gathered}$ | Harding | Quay | Roosevelt | Union | Total Region | Cury | Guadalupe | Harding | Quay | $\begin{gathered} \text { Roose } \\ \text { velt } \end{gathered}$ | Union |  | Total Region | Curry | Guada lupe | Harding | Quay | Roose velt | Union |
| 2000 | 83,003 | 45,085 | 4,696 | 828 | 10,142 | 18,075 | 4,177 | 100.0 | 54.3 | 5.7 | 1.0 | 12.2 | 21.8 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 85,358 | 46,054 | 5,010 | 806 | 10,089 | 19,119 | 4,280 | 100.0 | 54.0 | 5.9 | 0.9 | 11.8 | 22.4 | 5.0 | 2000-05 | 0.56 | 0.43 | 1.29 | -0.54 | -0.10 | 1.12 | 0.49 |
| 2010 | 87,611 | 46,961 | 5,304 | 780 | 10,003 | 20,198 | 4,365 | 100.0 | 53.6 | 6.1 | 0.9 | 11.4 | 23.1 | 5.0 | 2005-10 | 0.52 | 0.39 | 1.14 | -0.66 | -0.17 | 1.10 | 0.39 |
| 2015 | 89,568 | 47,721 | 5,555 | 752 | 9,865 | 21,236 | 4,439 | 100.0 | 53.3 | 6.2 | 0.8 | 11.0 | 23.7 | 5.0 | 2010-15 | 0.44 | 0.32 | 0.92 | -0.73 | -0.28 | 1.00 | 0.34 |
| 2020 | 91,008 | 48,239 | 5,748 | 726 | 9,642 | 22,148 | 4,505 | 100.0 | 53.0 | 6.3 | 0.8 | 10.6 | 24.3 | 5.0 | 2015-20 | 0.32 | 0.22 | 0.68 | -0.70 | -0.46 | 0.84 | 0.30 |
| 2025 | 91,925 | 48,483 | 5,887 | 698 | 9,335 | 22,961 | 4,561 | 100.0 | 52.7 | 6.4 | 0.8 | 10.2 | 25.0 | 5.0 | 2020-25 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.48 | -0.79 | -0.65 | 0.72 | 0.25 |
| 2030 | 92,494 | 48,504 | 5,989 | 671 | 8,982 | 23,732 | 4,616 | 100.0 | 52.4 | 6.5 | 0.7 | 9.7 | 25.7 | 5.0 | 2025-30 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.34 | -0.79 | -0.77 | 0.66 | 0.24 |
| 2035 | 92,830 | 48,413 | 6,047 | 650 | 8,595 | 24,464 | 4,661 | 100.0 | 52.2 | 6.5 | 0.7 | 9.3 | 26.4 | 5.0 | 2030-35 | 0.07 | -0.04 | 0.19 | -0.64 | -0.88 | 0.61 | 0.19 |
| 2040 | 92,895 | 48,229 | 6,059 | ॐ | 8,169 | 25,119 | 4,686 | 100.0 | 51.9 | 6.5 | 0.7 | 8.8 | 27.0 | 5.0 | 2035-40 | 0.02 | -0.08 | 0.04 | -0.53 | -1.02 | 0.53 | 0.11 |
| 2045 | 92,616 | 47,895 | 6,023 | 616 | 7,708 | 25,673 | 4,701 | 100.0 | 51.7 | 6.5 | 0.7 | 8.3 | 27.7 | 5.1 | 2040-45 | -0.06 | -0.14 | -0.12 | -0.54 | -1.16 | 0.44 | 0.06 |
| 2050 | 92,008 | 47,407 | 5,949 | 596 | 7,224 | 26,126 | 4,706 | 100.0 | 51.5 | 6.5 | 0.6 | 7.9 | 28.4 | 5.1 | 2045-50 | -0.13 | -0.20 | -0.25 | -0.66 | -1.30 | 0.35 | 0.02 |
| 2055 | 91,181 | 46,793 | 5,859 | 577 | 6,733 | 26,510 | 4,709 | 100.0 | 51.3 | 6.4 | 0.6 | 7.4 | 29.1 | 5.2 | 2050-55 | -0.18 | -0.26 | -0.30 | -0.65 | -1.41 | 0.29 | 0.01 |
| 2060 | 90,289 | 46,127 | 5,782 | 567 | 6,247 | 26,847 | 4,719 | 100.0 | 51.1 | 6.4 | 0.6 | 6.9 | 29.7 | 5.2 | 2055-60 | -0.19 | -0.29 | -0.26 | -0.35 | -1.50 | 0.25 | 0.04 |
| Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO WATER PLANNING REGION

The predominantly Native American Northwest New Mexico Water Planning Region will steadfastly keep its rank as the sixth most populous region in the state throughout the 60 -year projection period. In 2000, Northwest New Mexico's population was estimated at 88 thousand people. By 2030, the region's population is projected to reach 127 thousand. By 2060, this is calculated to top 150 thousand people. Table 3.8 shows that, consistent with the general trend in the state, the annual average population growth rate for Northwest New Mexico will gradually decline during the next 60 years. Prior to 2030, its annual growth rate is projected to be above one percent. Thereafter, the pace of its population growth will slow down. Nevertheless, the region's 2060 population will be 75 percent more than its 2000 population.

Table 3.8 reveals that all the areas in the region are expected to maintain a positive growth trajectory during the projection period. The portion of this regional population that is in San Juan County will have the fastest rate of growth. It will double in size in 60 years; from 600 to 1200 people. Yet, its relative share in the regional population will remain constant at seven-tenths of a percent. McKinley County will continue to increase its share in the region's population as its population expands to 120 thousand, by 2060.

Its slower than average annual growth rate causes Cibola County to have a smaller population increase than expected. During the next 30 years, Cibola County's population will decline but its population size will increase by 20 percent. From 2030 to 2060, Cibola County will grow by 11 percent. In absolute terms, this translates to five thousand new residents in the next 30 years and an additional three thousand in the following 30 years, for an estimated total population of about 34 thousand, by 2060.

Figure 3.5 presents the county allocation of Northwest New Mexico's population. It underscores the continued dominance of McKinley County and the declining share of Cibola County. San Juan County's population share in this region is too small to make a difference.

| Table 3.8 <br> Projected Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate <br> July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060 <br> NW New Mexico Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projection | County Population in Region |  |  |  | Distribution of County Population in Region |  |  |  | Projection Year | Growth Rate of Region and County Population |  |  |  |
| Year | Total Region Ponulation | Cibola | McKinley | San <br> Juan | Total Reaion | Cibola | McKinley | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { San } \\ & \text { Juan } \end{aligned}$ |  | Total Reaion | Cibola | McKinley | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { San } \\ & \text { Juan } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2000 | 88,230 | 25,683 | 61,968 | 578 | 100.0 | 29.1 | 70.2 | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 94,825 | 26,764 | 67,426 | 635 | 100.0 | 28.2 | 71.1 | 0.7 | 2000-05 | 1.44 | 0.82 | 1.69 | 1.88 |
| 2010 | 101,566 | 27,740 | 73,131 | 694 | 100.0 | 27.3 | 72.0 | 0.7 | 2005-10 | 1.37 | 0.72 | 1.62 | 1.78 |
| 2015 | 108,271 | 28,634 | 78,891 | 745 | 100.0 | 26.4 | 72.9 | 0.7 | 2010-15 | 1.28 | 0.63 | 1.52 | 1.41 |
| 2020 | 114,764 | 29,455 | 84,514 | 796 | 100.0 | 25.7 | 73.6 | 0.7 | 2015-20 | 1.16 | 0.57 | 1.38 | 1.31 |
| 2025 | 121,063 | 30,215 | 90,003 | 845 | 100.0 | 25.0 | 74.3 | 0.7 | 2020-25 | 1.07 | 0.51 | 1.26 | 1.21 |
| 2030 | 127,275 | 30,947 | 95,433 | 895 | 100.0 | 24.3 | 75.0 | 0.7 | 2025-30 | 1.00 | 0.48 | 1.17 | 1.14 |
| 2035 | 133,185 | 31,651 | 100,591 | 943 | 100.0 | 23.8 | 75.5 | 0.7 | 2030-35 | 0.91 | 0.45 | 1.05 | 1.06 |
| 2040 | 138,487 | 32,284 | 105,213 | 989 | 100.0 | 23.3 | 76.0 | 0.7 | 2035-40 | 0.78 | 0.40 | 0.90 | 0.95 |
| 2045 | 143,204 | 32,860 | 109,311 | 1,032 | 100.0 | 22.9 | 76.3 | 0.7 | 2040-45 | 0.67 | 0.35 | 0.76 | 0.85 |
| 2050 | 147,359 | 33,403 | 112,883 | 1,073 | 100.0 | 22.7 | 76.6 | 0.7 | 2045-50 | 0.57 | 0.33 | 0.64 | 0.78 |
| 2055 | 151,015 | 33,938 | 115,964 | 1,113 | 100.0 | 22.5 | 76.8 | 0.7 | 2050-55 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.54 | 0.73 |
| 2060 | 154,237 | 34,475 | 118,610 | 1,152 | 100.0 | 22.4 | 76.9 | 0.7 | 2055-60 | 0.42 | 0.31 | 0.45 | 0.70 |
| Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## RIO ARRIBA WATER PLANNING REGION

The smallest water planning region in the state is projected to become even smaller as it loses population during the 60 -year projection period. Table 3.9 shows the level and trend of population growth in the Rio Arriba Region from July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2030. Table 3.9 indicates a gradual but sustained decline in the region's population. From 2000 to 2060, the projected population will decline by more than 50 percent. By 2060, the Rio Arriba Water Planning Region is estimated to have a population of just above three thousand people.

The lack of employment opportunities and its distance from any major metropolitan area or employment centers will stymie any future population expansion in the Rio Arriba Water Planning Region. The Espanola Valley which is currently the population growth center in Rio Arriba County, will further increase its influence in the region as it attracts commuters who work in nearby Los Alamos and Santa Fe counties. Retirement migration to the region is expected to continue but competition for retirees from the counties of Santa Fe, San Miguel, Mora, and Taos will present a major challenge. The lack of infrastructure for retirees also poses a major deterrent for growth in this area. An old population coupled with low fertility and the continued out-migration of young people inevitably leads to a smaller population size.

## SAN JUAN WATER PLANNING REGION

Located on the northwest corner of the state, the San Juan Water Planning Region was the fifth largest region in 2000. By 2005, it will surpass Lower Pecos Valley to become the fourth largest water planning region and it will remain in this position for the rest of the projection period. Shortly after 2030, San Juan will reach the 180 thousand mark. Approximately 50 thousand new residents are expected between 2000 and 2030. An additional 30 thousand people will call San Juan Region home by 2060,

| Table 3.9 <br> Projected Distribution and Annual Average <br> Growth Rate: July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060 <br> Rio Arriba Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projection Year | Rio Arriba <br> Region <br> Population | Projection Year | Growth Rate <br> Region |
| 2000 | 7,773 |  |  |
| 2005 | 7,679 | $2000-05$ | -0.24 |
| 2010 | 7,517 | $2005-10$ | -0.43 |
| 2015 | 7,393 | $2010-15$ | -0.33 |
| 2020 | 7,179 | $2015-20$ | -0.59 |
| 2025 | 6,874 | $2020-25$ | -0.87 |
| 2030 | 6,497 | $2025-30$ | -1.13 |
| 2035 | 6,062 | $2030-35$ | -1.38 |
| 2040 | 5,577 | $2035-40$ | -1.67 |
| 2045 | 5,052 | $2040-45$ | -1.98 |
| 2050 | 4,498 | $2045-50$ | -2.32 |
| 2055 | 3,928 | $2050-55$ | -2.71 |
| 2060 | 3,355 | $2055-60$ | -3.15 |
| Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, UNM |  |  |  |

topping its population to 210 thousand. Over 80 percent of this additional population will be in San Juan County. Close to 15 percent will be in McKinley County and nearly 10 percent will be in Sandoval County. The Rio Arriba County portion of this region is expected to lose people during the next 60 years. Table 3.10 summarizes the population growth trends during this period.

Figure 3.6 shows the county allocation of the region's population. The small fraction of Sandoval County becomes noticeable by 2010 as its share reaches 1.5 percent. Thereafter, Sandoval County's share will steadfastly increase until it reaches three percent by 2060. Although the biggest contributor to the region's population growth is San Juan County, its share is calculated to decline slightly by 2060. The rest of the region's population is distributed as follows: McKinley County, 10 percent; Rio Arriba County, two percent; Sandoval County, one percent. Both McKinley County and Sandoval County will increase their shares. McKinley will go from 10 percent to 11 percent by 2030 and then plateaus at this level. Sandoval County will more than double its share from one percent in 2000 to three percent by 2060. Rio Arriba County's share will continue to diminish.

## SAN MIGUEL/MORA WATER PLANNING REGION

Ranked $11^{\text {th }}$ in 2000, the San Miguel/Mora Water Planning Region slides down to $12^{\text {th }}$ position in 2005 and it will remain in this position for the rest of the projection period. Nevertheless, its share in the state population, approximately two percent, will be unchanged throughout the projection period. In 2000, San Miguel/Mora's population was estimated at approximately 35 thousand people. This was divided into 85 percent San Miguel County and 15 percent Mora County.

Table 3.11 shows that by 2030, the region's population will swell to 50 thousand people; approximately 42 thousand will be in San Miguel County and approximately eight thousand will be in Mora

| Table 3.10 <br> Projected Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060 <br> San Juan Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projection Year | County Population in Region |  |  |  |  | Distribution of County Population in Region |  |  |  |  | Projection Year | Growth Rate of Region and County Population |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region Population | McKinley | Rio Arriba | San Juan | Sandoval | Total Region | McKinley | Rio Arriba | San <br> Juan | Sandoval |  | Total Region | McKinley | Rio Arriba | San Juan | San- <br> doval |
| 2000 | 131,500 | 13,104 | 3,596 | 113,694 | 1,107 | 100.0 | 10.0 | 2.7 | 86.5 | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 140,093 | 14,058 | 3,636 | 120,810 | 1,589 | 100.0 | 10.0 | 2.6 | 86.2 | 1.1 | 2000-05 | 1.27 | 1.41 | 0.22 | 1.21 | 7.24 |
| 2010 | 148,740 | 15,032 | 3,653 | 127,898 | 2,158 | 100.0 | 10.1 | 2.5 | 86.0 | 1.5 | 2005-10 | 1.20 | 1.34 | 0.09 | 1.14 | 6.12 |
| 2015 | 157,072 | 16,153 | 3,622 | 134,752 | 2,545 | 100.0 | 10.3 | 2.3 | 85.8 | 1.6 | 2010-15 | 1.09 | 1.44 | -0.17 | 1.04 | 3.30 |
| 2020 | 164,998 | 17,236 | 3,549 | 141,261 | 2,950 | 100.0 | 10.4 | 2.2 | 85.6 | 1.8 | 2015-20 | 0.98 | 1.30 | -0.40 | 0.94 | 2.95 |
| 2025 | 172,490 | 18,284 | 3,434 | 147,405 | 3,366 | 100.0 | 10.6 | 2.0 | 85.5 | 2.0 | 2020-25 | 0.89 | 1.18 | -0.66 | 0.85 | 2.64 |
| 2030 | 179,754 | 19,312 | 3,285 | 153,364 | 3,793 | 100.0 | 10.7 | 1.8 | 85.3 | 2.1 | 2025-30 | 0.83 | 1.09 | -0.89 | 0.79 | 2.39 |
| 2035 | 186,576 | 20,275 | 3,108 | 158,964 | 4,229 | 100.0 | 10.9 | 1.7 | 85.2 | 2.3 | 2030-35 | 0.74 | 0.97 | -1.11 | 0.72 | 2.17 |
| 2040 | 192,588 | 21,124 | 2,907 | 163,888 | 4,670 | 100.0 | 11.0 | 1.5 | 85.1 | 2.4 | 2035-40 | 0.63 | 0.82 | -1.34 | 0.61 | 1.99 |
| 2045 | 197,858 | 21,860 | 2,684 | 168,196 | 5,118 | 100.0 | 11.0 | 1.4 | 85.0 | 2.6 | 2040-45 | 0.54 | 0.69 | -1.59 | 0.52 | 1.83 |
| 2050 | 202,529 | 22,484 | 2,446 | 172,023 | 5,575 | 100.0 | 11.1 | 1.2 | 84.9 | 2.8 | 2045-50 | 0.47 | 0.56 | -1.86 | 0.45 | 1.71 |
| 2055 | 206,795 | 23,007 | 2,199 | 175,542 | 6,047 | 100.0 | 11.1 | 1.1 | 84.9 | 2.9 | 2050-55 | 0.42 | 0.46 | -2.13 | 0.41 | 1.62 |
| 2060 | 210,818 | 23,438 | 1,948 | 178,895 | 6,537 | 100.0 | 11.1 | 0.9 | 84.9 | 3.1 | 2055-60 | 0.39 | 0.37 | -2.42 | 0.38 | 1.56 |
| Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



County. Even with a reduced annual growth rate, the region will top 55 thousand by 2060. The region's 2060 population will be split thus, 83 percent or approximately 48 thousand people in San Miguel County and 17 percent or more than nine thousand people in Mora County.

Figure 3.7 graphs the distribution of the region's population. It indicates the gradual although small rise in Mora County's share. It is anticipated that both counties will continue to attract families from Los Alamos County and Santa Fe County as well retirees from other counties in the state and other parts of the US. But like other rural regions in the state unless employment opportunities become available to young adults in the foreseeable future, this region will expect the exodus of this segment of the region's population. Notwithstanding a large number of retirees, population growth in San Miguel/Mora will be tempered by the continued flight of young people in search of employment.

| Table 3.11 <br> Projected Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate <br> July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060 <br> San Miquel/Mora Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projection Year | County Population in Region |  |  | Distribution of County Population in Region |  |  | Projection Year | Growth Rate of Region and County Population |  |  |
|  | Total Region Population | Mora | San Miguel | Total Reaion | Mora | San Miquel |  | Total Reaion | Mora | San Miquel |
| 2000 | 35,454 | 5,205 | 30,249 | 100.0 | 14.7 | 85.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 38,217 | 5,704 | 32,513 | 100.0 | 14.9 | 85.1 | 2000-05 | 1.50 | 1.83 | 1.44 |
| 2010 | 40,951 | 6,205 | 34,746 | 100.0 | 15.2 | 84.8 | 2005-10 | 1.38 | 1.68 | 1.33 |
| 2015 | 43,573 | 6,697 | 36,876 | 100.0 | 15.4 | 84.6 | 2010-15 | 1.24 | 1.53 | 1.19 |
| 2020 | 45,991 | 7,144 | 38,847 | 100.0 | 15.5 | 84.5 | 2015-20 | 1.08 | 1.29 | 1.04 |
| 2025 | 48,151 | 7,539 | 40,612 | 100.0 | 15.7 | 84.3 | 2020-25 | 0.92 | 1.08 | 0.89 |
| 2030 | 50,078 | 7,888 | 42,190 | 100.0 | 15.8 | 84.2 | 2025-30 | 0.78 | 0.91 | 0.76 |
| 2035 | 51,751 | 8,195 | 43,556 | 100.0 | 15.8 | 84.2 | 2030-35 | 0.66 | 0.76 | 0.64 |
| 2040 | 53,137 | 8,469 | 44,668 | 100.0 | 15.9 | 84.1 | 2035-40 | 0.53 | 0.66 | 0.50 |
| 2045 | 54,300 | 8,720 | 45,580 | 100.0 | 16.1 | 83.9 | 2040-45 | 0.43 | 0.58 | 0.40 |
| 2050 | 55,310 | 8,966 | 46,344 | 100.0 | 16.2 | 83.8 | 2045-50 | 0.37 | 0.56 | 0.33 |
| 2055 | 56,218 | 9,215 | 47,003 | 100.0 | 16.4 | 83.6 | 2050-55 | 0.33 | 0.55 | 0.28 |
| 2060 | 57,074 | 9,480 | 47,594 | 100.0 | 16.6 | 83.4 | 2055-60 | 0.30 | 0.57 | 0.25 |
| Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 3.7
Distribution of Historical and Projected Population in San Miguel/Mora Region, by County


## SANTA FE/LOS ALAMOS WATER PLANNING REGION

The Santa Fe/Los Alamos Water Planning Region is third most populous region in the state. It will maintain this position throughout the 60 -year projection period. Table 3.12 presents this region's future population trend. In 2000, the estimated region's population was just under 170 thousand. By 2030, this number will increase to 261 thousand people. By 2060 the population in this region will reach 360
thousand. The region's population is distributed among the three counties as follows: 72 percent in Santa Fe County, 18 percent in Rio Arriba county, and the rest in Los Alamos County. Figure 3.8 shows that Santa Fe County will further increase its hegemony in the region effectively minimizing the share of the smaller counties of Los Alamos and Rio Arriba.

The higher than average annual population growth rate estimated for Santa Fe County accounts for this region's strong population showing. To a small degree, Rio Arriba County is anticipated to influence the faster than average speed of the region's population growth, at least, in the first 30 years of the projection period. The attraction of Espanola valley in Rio Arriba County to commuters is expected to continue unless affordable housing becomes more accessible in Los Alamos County and Santa Fe County. The contribution of Los Alamos County to the region's population growth is very small. Two percent of the 190 thousand new people will live in Los Alamos County. The comparative figures for Rio Arriba County and Santa Fe County are nine percent and 89 percent, respectively. A combination of economic and demographic factors account for this projected slow population growth in Los Alamos County. As long as employment opportunities are limited to the Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), teenagers and young adults who initially leave in pursuit of higher education will not return for lack of appropriate jobs. Increasingly, upper echelon LANL employees will continue to retire in place forcing new employees to seek housing in neighboring counties. These trends will contribute to the rapid aging of the population of Los Alamos County while slowing down the aging of the population in Rio Arriba County as the latter attracts more of the working age population with young children.

## SOCORRO/SIERRA WATER PLANNING REGION

The third smallest water planning region in the state, Socorro/Sierra, will keep its position throughout the projection period. Table 3.13 shows that Socorro/Sierra's population will double in 60 years, from 20 thousand in 2000 to 38 thousand by 2060. Like other regions in the state, Socorro/Sierra will have a stronger population growth in the first half than the second half of the projection period. Sierra County, as a retirement destination, has a head start over the rest of the state. It has been a major retirement destination for decades and it is expected to continue as such throughout this century. However, it is anticipated that population growth in Sierra County will slow down as other areas compete for future retirees. Nonetheless, the coming of age of the baby boom generation and the baby boomlet cohorts, which will swell up the ranks of the elderly, promises a fair share for Sierra County. In contrast Socorro County will continue to attract young people. The presence of New Mexico Technical Institute assures Socorro County a steady stream of undergraduate and graduate students and their families. Figure 3.9 shows the allocation of the region's population.

Table 3.12
Projected Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate
July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060
Santa Fe/Los Alamos Region

| ProjectionYear | County Population in Region |  |  |  | Distribution of County Population in Region |  |  |  | Projection Year | Growth Rate of Region and County Population |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Region Population | Los Alamos | Rio Arriba | Santa Fe | Total Region | Los Alamos | Rio Arriba | Santa Fe |  | Total Region | Los Alamos | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Rio } \\ \text { Arriba } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Santa } \\ \text { Fe } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2000 | 169,159 | 18,359 | 29,921 | 120,879 | 100.0 | 10.9 | 17.7 | 71.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 183,918 | 18,722 | 32,353 | 132,842 | 100.0 | 10.2 | 17.6 | 72.2 | 2000-05 | 1.67 | 0.39 | 1.56 | 1.89 |
| 2010 | 199,071 | 19,122 | 34,824 | 145,125 | 100.0 | 9.6 | 17.5 | 72.9 | 2005-10 | 1.58 | 0.42 | 1.47 | 1.77 |
| 2015 | 214,521 | 19,595 | 37,137 | 157,788 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 17.3 | 73.6 | 2010-15 | 1.49 | 0.49 | 1.29 | 1.67 |
| 2020 | 230,577 | 20,099 | 39,249 | 171,229 | 100.0 | 8.7 | 17.0 | 74.3 | 2015-20 | 1.44 | 0.51 | 1.11 | 1.63 |
| 2025 | 246,325 | 20,565 | 41,086 | 184,674 | 100.0 | 8.3 | 16.7 | 75.0 | 2020-25 | 1.32 | 0.46 | 0.92 | 1.51 |
| 2030 | 261,230 | 20,866 | 42,674 | 197,690 | 100.0 | 8.0 | 16.3 | 75.7 | 2025-30 | 1.17 | 0.29 | 0.76 | 1.36 |
| 2035 | 276,565 | 21,034 | 44,029 | 211,502 | 100.0 | 7.6 | 15.9 | 76.5 | 2030-35 | 1.14 | 0.16 | 0.63 | 1.35 |
| 2040 | 292,462 | 21,224 | 45,117 | 226,121 | 100.0 | 7.3 | 15.4 | 77.3 | 2035-40 | 1.12 | 0.18 | 0.49 | 1.34 |
| 2045 | 308,924 | 21,441 | 45,920 | 241,563 | 100.0 | 6.9 | 14.9 | 78.2 | 2040-45 | 1.10 | 0.20 | 0.35 | 1.32 |
| 2050 | 325,209 | 21,636 | 46,440 | 257,133 | 100.0 | 6.7 | 14.3 | 79.1 | 2045-50 | 1.03 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 1.25 |
| 2055 | 341,985 | 21,817 | 46,705 | 273,463 | 100.0 | 6.4 | 13.7 | 80.0 | 2050-55 | 1.01 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 1.23 |
| 2060 | 359,376 | 22,014 | 46,755 | 290,607 | 100.0 | 6.1 | 13.0 | 80.9 | 2055-60 | 0.99 | 0.18 | 0.02 | 1.22 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico


| Table 3.13 <br> Projected Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060 Socorro/Sierra Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projection | County Population in Region |  |  | Distribution of County Population in Region |  |  | Projection Year | Growth Rate of Region and County Population |  |  |
|  | Total Region Population | Sierra | Socorro | Total Reaion | Sierra | Socorro |  | Total Reaion | Sierra | Socorro |
| 2000 | 31,520 | 13,355 | 18,165 | 100.0 | 42.4 | 57.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 34,882 | 15,058 | 19,824 | 100.0 | 43.2 | 56.8 | 2000-05 | 2.03 | 2.40 | 1.75 |
| 2010 | 38,172 | 16,700 | 21,472 | 100.0 | 43.7 | 56.3 | 2005-10 | 1.80 | 2.07 | 1.60 |
| 2015 | 41,383 | 18,281 | 23,102 | 100.0 | 44.2 | 55.8 | 2010-15 | 1.62 | 1.81 | 1.46 |
| 2020 | 44,447 | 19,774 | 24,673 | 100.0 | 44.5 | 55.5 | 2015-20 | 1.43 | 1.57 | 1.32 |
| 2025 | 47,311 | 21,172 | 26,139 | 100.0 | 44.8 | 55.2 | 2020-25 | 1.25 | 1.37 | 1.15 |
| 2030 | 50,012 | 22,485 | 27,527 | 100.0 | 45.0 | 55.0 | 2025-30 | 1.11 | 1.20 | 1.03 |
| 2035 | 52,490 | 23,644 | 28,846 | 100.0 | 45.0 | 55.0 | 2030-35 | 0.97 | 1.01 | 0.94 |
| 2040 | 54,653 | 24,567 | 30,086 | 100.0 | 45.0 | 55.0 | 2035-40 | 0.81 | 0.77 | 0.84 |
| 2045 | 56,525 | 25,276 | 31,249 | 100.0 | 44.7 | 55.3 | 2040-45 | 0.67 | 0.57 | 0.76 |
| 2050 | 58,105 | 25,772 | 32,333 | 100.0 | 44.4 | 55.6 | 2045-50 | 0.55 | 0.39 | 0.68 |
| 2055 | 59,429 | 26,073 | 33,356 | 100.0 | 43.9 | 56.1 | 2050-55 | 0.45 | 0.23 | 0.62 |
| 2060 | 60,541 | 26,201 | 34,340 | 100.0 | 43.3 | 56.7 | 2055-60 | 0.37 | 0.10 | 0.58 |



## SOUTHWEST NEW MEXICO REGION

The 8th most populous region in the state, Southwest New Mexico Region will grow from 66 thousand people in 2000 to 84 thousand by 2030, an increase of more than 40 percent during the period. Table 3.14 shows that by 2060, the area population will reach 114 thousand people. With the exception of

Hidalgo County, which is projected to lose as much as 900 people or 15 percent of its 2000 population over the next 60 years, the rest of the region will significantly increase its population during the same time period. Luna County, which is at the Mexican border, will have the biggest population gain. In 60 years its population will reach 63 thousand, more than twice as large as its population in 2000. Grant County will add more than 10 thousand people over 60 years. By 2060, Grant County will have a population of more than 40 thousand. The smallest county in the region, Catron County, will have a population of almost five thousand by 2060. This is an increase of over a thousand people in 60 years.

Migration to both Grant County and Luna County will continue to attract a mix of young and old migrants. College-bound students will continue to go to Western New Mexico State University in Silver City. Its border location sustains Luna County's position as a major destination for Mexican immigrants. In recent years, both counties have become major destination. Retirees are expected to dominate the migration streams to Grant and Luna County.

Figure 3.10 shows the accelerated population growth in Luna County at the expense of Catron, Grant, and Hidalgo counties. A relatively slower population growth will result in Grant County experiencing a gradual decline in its share over the 60 -year period. A similar pattern is projected for Catron County. Hidalgo County will experience the biggest population loss in the region.

## TAOS WATER PLANNING REGION

Spectacular sceneries, some of the best ski resorts in the region, the Taos art colony, and Taos Pueblo are among the reasons that tourists and affluent migrants have come to this region. The influx of migrants to the Taos Water Planning Region noted in the last decade is expected to continue throughout the projection period. The richness of the cultural traditions and the environmental amenities present in the region will be the major attraction to the rapidly aging and affluent baby boom retirees. This projected expansion in the elderly population will be offset by the continued departure of the younger population unless better paying jobs in the service sector economy become available in large numbers in the future. This will be exacerbated as the local population becomes more highly educated and demands for better paying jobs.

|  |  |  |  |  | jected | stributio July 1 SW | Table <br> nand A <br> 2000 to <br> New Mex | 3.14 <br> nnual A <br> July 1, <br> ico Reg | verage 2060 ion | rowth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projection |  | unty Popur | ulation | Region |  | Distrib | ution of | County Region | Populat |  | Projection | Grow |  | Regi pulati |  |  |
|  | Total Recion | Catron | Grant | Hidalgo | Luna | Total Reaion | Catron | Grant | Hidalgo | Luna |  | Total Reaion | Catron | Grant | Hidalgo | Luna |
| 2000 | 65,768 | 3,567 | 31,083 | 5,929 | 25,189 | 100.0 | 5.4 | 47.3 | 9.0 | 38.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 70,785 | 3,814 | 32,462 | 5,875 | 28,634 | 100.0 | 5.4 | 45.9 | 8.3 | 40.5 | 2000-05 | 1.47 | 1.34 | 0.87 | -0.18 | 2.56 |
| 2010 | 75,754 | 4,001 | 33,769 | 5,799 | 32,185 | 100.0 | 5.3 | 44.6 | 7.7 | 42.5 | 2005-10 | 1.36 | 0.96 | 0.79 | -0.26 | 2.34 |
| 2015 | 80,630 | 4,144 | 34,920 | 5,716 | 35,850 | 100.0 | 5.1 | 43.3 | 7.1 | 44.5 | 2010-15 | 1.25 | 0.70 | 0.67 | -0.29 | 2.16 |
| 2020 | 85,230 | 4,236 | 35,886 | 5,624 | 39,484 | 100.0 | 5.0 | 42.1 | 6.6 | 46.4 | 2015-20 | 1.11 | 0.44 | 0.55 | -0.32 | 1.93 |
| 2025 | 89,511 | 4,281 | 36,758 | 5,515 | 42,957 | 100.0 | 4.8 | 41.1 | 6.2 | 48.1 | 2020-25 | 0.98 | 0.21 | 0.48 | -0.39 | 1.69 |
| 2030 | 93,639 | 4,289 | 37,657 | 5,378 | 46,315 | 100.0 | 4.6 | 40.3 | 5.7 | 49.6 | 2025-30 | 0.90 | 0.04 | 0.48 | -0.50 | 1.51 |
| 2035 | 97,588 | 4,295 | 38,517 | 5,230 | 49,546 | 100.0 | 4.4 | 39.6 | 5.4 | 50.9 | 2030-35 | 0.83 | 0.03 | 0.45 | -0.56 | 1.35 |
| 2040 | 101,264 | 4,336 | 39,234 | 5,101 | 52,593 | 100.0 | 4.3 | 38.8 | 5.0 | 52.1 | 2035-40 | 0.74 | 0.19 | 0.37 | -0.50 | 1.19 |
| 2045 | 104,708 | 4,418 | 39,819 | 5,013 | 55,458 | 100.0 | 4.2 | 38.1 | 4.8 | 53.1 | 2040-45 | 0.67 | 0.37 | 0.30 | -0.35 | 1.06 |
| 2050 | 107,940 | 4,545 | 40,329 | 4,976 | 58,090 | 100.0 | 4.2 | 37.4 | 4.6 | 53.9 | 2045-50 | 0.61 | 0.57 | 0.25 | -0.15 | 0.93 |
| 2055 | 110,994 | 4,715 | 40,824 | 4,983 | 60,472 | 100.0 | 4.3 | 36.8 | 4.5 | 54.6 | 2050-55 | 0.56 | 0.73 | 0.24 | 0.03 | 0.80 |
| 2060 | 113,931 | 4,923 | 41,332 | 5,033 | 62,643 | 100.0 | 4.3 | 36.3 | 4.4 | 55.0 | 2055-60 | 0.52 | 0.86 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.71 |
| Source: B | of Bus | ss and | nom | searc | Univers | of New | exico |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 3.10
Percent Distribution of Historical and Projected Population in SW New Mexico Region by County


Table 3.15 shows the future population trend in the Taos Water Planning Region. The small part of Rio Arriba County that is in the region will not develop into a major population center because the area is primarily a state park and American Indian reservation. The population center for Rio Arriba County will most certainly continue to be in the Espanola Valley in the Santa Fe/Los Alamos Water Planning Region that is closest to the business and government centers in Santa Fe County and Los Alamos County.

## TULAROSA/SACRAMENTO WATER PLANNING REGION

The population of Tularosa/Sacramento Water Planning Region will continue to be concentrated in Otero County. The counties of Chaves, Eddy, and Lincoln will remain minor contributors to the region's population. The region's population growth rate will gradually decrease. This will result in a reduction in its rank as the ninth most populous region starting in 2040. The Estancia Water Planning Region will move to ninth position as Tularosa/Sacramento slides to 10th position. However, Tularosa/Sacramento's population will continue to grow in a positive direction. In 60 years, the region's population is projected to increase by 10 thousand more people; from 60 thousand in 2000 to 70 thousand people by 2060. The presence of defense installations and the region's proximity to the state of Texas and the Mexican Border keeps this region's population growing in a positive direction. Tularosa/Sacramento will likely benefit from retirement migration especially those associated with the military. The accessibility of El Paso where a large military hospital is located adds to the attraction of the city of Alamogordo and the surrounding areas to military retirees and their families.

The portion of Lincoln County that is in the Tularosa/Sacramento Region is expected to lose population in the next 60 years. The ceaseless migration of young people to places where employment opportunities are better will have a negative impact in this area's long-term population growth prospect. The retirement migration that is foreseen for resort areas will occur in the Lower Pecos

| Table 3.15 <br> Projected Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060 <br> Taos Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projection | County Population in Region |  |  | Distribution of County Population in Region |  |  | Projection Year | Growth Rate of Region and County Population |  |  |
|  | Total Region Population | Rio Arriba | Taos | Total Reaion | Rio Arriba | Taos |  | Total Reaion | Rio Arriba | Taos |
| 2000 | 30,171 | 17 | 30,154 | 100.0 | 0.1 | 99.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 32,681 | 26 | 32,655 | 100.0 | 0.1 | 99.9 | 2000-05 | 1.60 | 8.81 | 1.59 |
| 2010 | 35,151 | 37 | 35,114 | 100.0 | 0.1 | 99.9 | 2005-10 | 1.46 | 6.58 | 1.45 |
| 2015 | 37,477 | 43 | 37,434 | 100.0 | 0.1 | 99.9 | 2010-15 | 1.28 | 3.27 | 1.28 |
| 2020 | 39,542 | 50 | 39,492 | 100.0 | 0.1 | 99.9 | 2015-20 | 1.07 | 2.85 | 1.07 |
| 2025 | 41,322 | 57 | 41,265 | 100.0 | 0.1 | 99.9 | 2020-25 | 0.88 | 2.47 | 0.88 |
| 2030 | 42,844 | 63 | 42,781 | 100.0 | 0.1 | 99.9 | 2025-30 | 0.72 | 2.15 | 0.72 |
| 2035 | 44,042 | 69 | 43,973 | 100.0 | 0.2 | 99.8 | 2030-35 | 0.55 | 1.88 | 0.55 |
| 2040 | 44,835 | 75 | 44,760 | 100.0 | 0.2 | 99.8 | 2035-40 | 0.36 | 1.63 | 0.35 |
| 2045 | 45,291 | 81 | 45,210 | 100.0 | 0.2 | 99.8 | 2040-45 | 0.20 | 1.40 | 0.20 |
| 2050 | 45,490 | 85 | 45,405 | 100.0 | 0.2 | 99.8 | 2045-50 | 0.09 | 1.19 | 0.09 |
| 2055 | 45,497 | 90 | 45,407 | 100.0 | 0.2 | 99.8 | 2050-55 | 0.00 | 1.01 | 0.00 |
| 2060 | 45,359 | 94 | 45,265 | 100.0 | 0.2 | 99.8 | 2055-60 | -0.06 | 0.85 | -0.06 |


| Table 3.16 <br> Projected Distribution and Annual Average Growth Rate <br> July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060 <br> Tularosa/ Sacramento Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projection Year | County Population in Region |  |  |  |  | Distribution of County Population in Region |  |  |  |  | Projection Year | Growth Rate of Region and County Population |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Region | Chaves | Eddy | Lincoln | Otero | Total Region | Chaves | Eddy | Lincoln | Otero |  | Total Region | Chaves | Eddy | Lincoln | Otero |
| 2000 | 60,956 | 10 | 9 | 1,642 | 59,296 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 97.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 62,855 | 11 | 9 | 1,579 | 61,256 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 97.5 | 2000-05 | 0.61 | 2.38 | 0.43 | -0.78 | 0.65 |
| 2010 | 64,550 | 12 | 9 | 1,454 | 63,075 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 97.7 | 2005-10 | 0.53 | 2.12 | 0.40 | -1.65 | 0.59 |
| 2015 | 65,985 | 14 | 10 | 1,348 | 64,613 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 97.9 | 2010-15 | 0.44 | 1.94 | 0.24 | -1.51 | 0.48 |
| 2020 | 67,114 | 15 | 10 | 1,200 | 65,890 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 98.2 | 2015-20 | 0.34 | 1.77 | 0.18 | -2.33 | 0.39 |
| 2025 | 68,131 | 16 | 10 | 1,081 | 67,024 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 98.4 | 2020-25 | 0.30 | 1.57 | 0.10 | -2.08 | 0.34 |
| 2030 | 69,013 | 17 | 10 | 940 | 68,046 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 98.6 | 2025-30 | 0.26 | 1.39 | 0.01 | -2.80 | 0.30 |
| 2035 | 69,732 | 18 | 10 | 830 | 68,875 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 98.8 | 2030-35 | 0.21 | 1.25 | -0.09 | -2.48 | 0.24 |
| 2040 | 70,140 | 19 | 10 | 707 | 69,404 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 99.0 | 2035-40 | 0.12 | 1.14 | -0.16 | -3.20 | 0.15 |
| 2045 | 70,364 | 20 | 9 | 616 | 69,718 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 99.1 | 2040-45 | 0.06 | 1.04 | -0.21 | -2.77 | 0.09 |
| 2050 | 70,460 | 21 | 9 | 519 | 69,910 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 99.2 | 2045-50 | 0.03 | 0.97 | -0.23 | -3.42 | 0.06 |
| 2055 | 70,500 | 4 | 9 | 448 | 70,039 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 99.3 | 2050-55 | 0.01 | -33.01 | -0.23 | -2.95 | 0.04 |
| 2060 | 70,567 | 21 | 9 | 375 | 70,162 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 99.4 | 2055-60 | 0.02 | 32.95 | -0.22 | -3.57 | 0.04 |



## Chapter 4

## COMPARATIVE POPULATION SIZE AND GROWTH

This chapter looks at the future water planning region's population distribution and growth patterns in 10-year intervals. Using maps this chapter will track the path of population growth in the state and identify population centers among the regions. In the previous chapter, it was clear that population growth in all the regions will decelerate during the next 60 years. Throughout the state, fertility is expected to decline, life expectancy will improve, internal migration will be dominated by retirement migration, and international migration will become more restrictive. The combination of all these factors foretells a slower population growth for the state, overall. However, depending on location and economic infrastructure, differential regional population growth is forecast in the next 60 years.

## WHERE ARE THE PEOPLE?

The regional population maps below plot the distribution pattern of the state population by regions from July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060. The colors go from light to dark according to the population size. The lightest shade designates the least populous regions while the darkest shade designates the most populous.

As expected, the Middle Rio Grande Water Planning Region will maintain its preeminence. In 60 years, this region would almost double its population, from 700 thousand to 1.3 million. During this projection period, no region will come close to the Middle Rio Grande Region in terms of population size. In 2000, Lower Rio Grande, Santa Fe/Los Alamos, Lower Pecos Valley, had populations above 100 thousand but below 200 thousand. No region crossed the 200 -thousand people threshold in 2000. It will take a few more years before one of these regions tops 200 thousand. By 2010, Lower Rio Grande Valley Region will exceed 200 thousand while Santa Fe/Los Alamos Region will take another year before reaching this threshold. By 2050, San Juan Region will exceed 200 thousand people but Lower Pecos Valley Region will remain below this population threshold. By 2060, Lower Pecos Valley Region will top 170 thousand.

Five regions, Northwest NM, Southwest NM, Northeast NM, Tularosa/Sacramento, and Lea Region had populations above 50 thousand but below 100 thousand people in 2000. By 2010, Northwest NM Region will break away from the pack and cross the 100-thousand population threshold while it will take Southwest NM Region until 2040 to get to this population size.

Estancia Region will almost quadruple its population in 60 years. Climbing very rapidly, this region will move from $12^{\text {th }}$ place in 2000 to $8^{\text {th }}$ place in 2060, bypassing San Miguel, Lea, Tularosa/Sacramento, Northeast NM, and Southwest NM regions.

Colfax Region and Rio Arriba Region will maintain a population size below 20 thousand during the 60 -year projection period. Lea Region, Taos Region and Colfax Region will have populations in excess of 30 thousand but below 50 thousand during this period.

## Water Region Population <br> July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060



## Water Region Population July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060
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## WHERE ARE THE POPULATION GROWTH CENTERS?

Overall, population growth in the state will decline during the next 60 years. Across water regions variations are to be expected. The maps below show that the regions along the Rio Grande Corridor will experience moderate to strong population growth during the first 20 years of the projection period. Beyond 2020, population growth in the Middle Rio Grande Region will drop below one percent annually and will continue to do so for the rest of the period. By 2030, the average population growth rate in Socorro/Sierra Region will drop below one percent annually. By 2040, the Lower Rio Grande Region will follow suit Although population growth in Estancia Region and Santa Fe/Los Alamos Region will be declining as in the rest of the region, both regions are projected to have an annual average growth rate at one percent or higher per year. Santa Fe/Los Alamos Region is estimated to have an average growth rate of exactly one percent per year while Estancia Region will have an average annual growth rate of about 1.8 percent.

With the exception of San Miguel Region, all water regions on the eastern part of the state are expected to have weak population growth, i.e., under one percent annually, throughout the projection period. Lea Region, which is projected to have a negative growth path until the end of the 2030-decade, will rebound to a positive but very slow growth trajectory starting in the 2040-decade. In Northern New Mexico, Rio Arriba Region is expected to continue on a negative population growth track.

The regions on the western part of the state will similarly experience a decline in their speed of population growth. All three western regions, San Juan, Northwest New Mexico and Southwest New Mexico are projected to have moderate growth rates (greater than 1\% but under $1.5 \%$ ) during the first 20 years of this century. By 2030, these regions will grow at much slower rates, under one percent annually. Southwest New Mexico will sustain an annual average growth rate higher than 0.5 percent until the end of the projection period but San Juan and Northwest New Mexico regions will drop below this threshold.

## Water Region Average Annual Growth Rate July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060
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## Water Region Average Annual Growth Rate July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060
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## APPENDICES

## APPENDIX 1

## METHODOLOGY

This section covers the methodology that was used in calculating the population numbers for each region

## General Baseline Methodology

Population counts from the four most recent censuses (1970, 1980, 1990, and 2000) were used to establish the historical trends for the county and region populations. The historical region population trends were based on the 1980, 1990, and 2000 Census block and census tract populations within each county. Between 1980 and 1990 the geographic boundaries changed significantly. Between 1990 and 2000 some boundaries changed but they were not as extensive as in the previous two Censuses. In establishing the historical trend the 1970, 1980 and 1990 region boundaries were made consistent with the 2000 boundary definitions. The Census Maps 2000 were overlaid on the earlier census maps to ensure the same coverage. In every case where the county is divided into two or more regions, the 2000 census tracts and/or block boundary definitions were used to determine the appropriate geographic split in the previous years. Once the appropriate boundaries were determined, the share of the planning region in the county population was estimated for each Census year. The future share of each region in the county population was extrapolated from this trend using a linear regression model.

The following are the Census 2000 boundary definitions for counties that were split into multiple water planning regions:

## Bernalillo County

## Estancia Region:

Tract: 003803; Blocks: 3042, 3044.
Tract: 003806; Blocks: 1044, 1045, 1049, 1056.
Tract: 003807; Blocks: 2017, 2021, 3014, 3019, 3038.
Middle Rio Grande Region:
Tract: 003803; Blocks: 3002, 3040.
Tract: 003804; Blocks: 2018, 3001, 3031.
Tract: 003806; Blocks: 1030, 1046, 1047, 1050 .
Tract: 003807; Blocks: 3002, 3012, 3015, 3016.
Blocks with zero population:
Tract: 003803; Block: 3000.
Tract: 003804; Block: 3037.
Tract: 003806; Block: 1004 .
Tract: 940300; Blocks: 1000, 1029, 1030.

## Chaves County

Lower Pecos Valley Region:
Tract: 001100; Blocks: 6545, 6558, 6563, 6566, 6613.
Tract: 001200; Blocks: 2638.
Tract: 001400; Blocks: 1844.

## Blocks with zero population:

Tract: 001100; Blocks: 6568, 6572.
Tract: 001200; Blocks: 2609, 2618, 2619, 2620, 2621, 2622, 2624, 2626, 2632, 2637, 2938, 2940, 2959, 2960.
Tract: 001400; Blocks: 1021, 1842, 1843, 1848, 1849, 1858, 1866, 1871, 1872, 1873,1884, 1895, 1897, 1898, 1899, 1900, 1975, 1986, 1987.

## Debaca County

## Lower Pecos Valley Region

Tract: 960100; Blocks: 1062, 1380, 1397, 1839, 1840, 3025, 3028.
Lower Pecos Valley Region:
Tract: 960100; Blocks: 1385, 1402.
Blocks with zero population:
Tract: 960100; Blocks: 1365, 1378, 1382, 1383, 1387, 1398, 1399, 1408, 1414, 1415, 1416, 1417, 1418, 1424, 1428, 1479, 1490, 1491, 1492, 1509, 1512, 1513, 1514, 1515, 1517, 1540, 1541, 1543, 1557, 1570, 1571, 1573, 1574, 1651, 1652, 1653, 1659, 1660, 1661, 1669, 1671, 1694, 1695, 1697, 1736, 1781, 1784, 1785, 1792, 1795, 1796, 1797, 1802, 1810, 1813, 1828, 1829, 1842, 1850, 1873, 1909, 1910, 1912, 1947, 1948, 1954, 1957, 1994, 3031, 3032, 3033, 3995.

## Eddy County

Lower Pecos Valley Region :
Tract: 000700; Blocks: 1093, 1185.
Tract: 000900; Block: 1416.
Lower Pecos Valley Region:
Tract: 000700; Block: 1095.
Tract: 000900; Block: 1387.
Tularosa/Sacramento Region:
Tract: 000700; Block: 2772.
Blocks with zero population:
Tract: 000700; Blocks: 1009, 1010, 1011, 1012, 1014, 1015, 1020, 1025, 1029, 1031, 1036, 1044, 1045, 1054, 1055, 1058, 1060, 1075, 1076, 1078, 1079, 1083, 1085, 1091, 1096, 1115, 1161, 1162, 1165, 1166, 1168, 1184, 1246, 1247, 1252, 1272, 1275, 1276, 1278, 1279, 1307, 1308, 1309, 2763, 2765, 2770, 2771, 2773.
Tract: 000900; Blocks: 1003, 1080, 1088, 1091, 1093, 1095, 1103, 1106, 1107, 1136, 1140, 1239, 1326, 1327, 1328, 1329, 1333, 1334, 1337, 1375, 1377, 1378, 1410, 1411, 1412, 1414, 1418, 1419, 1420, 1423, 1424, 1511, 1536, 1537, 1555, 1556, 1578, 1609, 1610, 1685, 1686, 1687.

## Lincoln County

Tularosa/Sacramento Region:
Tract: 980200, Blocks: 1069, 1379, 1418, 1426, 1476, 1554
Lower Pecos Valley Region:
Tract: 980200, Blocks: 1070, 1372, 1377, 2222.
Tract: 980300, Blocks: 1335, 1341, 1349, 1361, 1365, 1586.
Tract: 980400, Blocks: 2034.

## Blocks with zero population:

Tract: 980200, Blocks: 1024, 1025, 1026, 1027, 1040, 1072, 1073, 1358, 1359, 1371, 1378, 1380, 1422, 1425, 1428, 1436, 1544, 1559, 1560, 2245.
Tract: 980300, Blocks: 1338, 1339, 1350, 1351, 1359, 1362, 1363, 1568, 1572, 1588.

## Mora County

San Miguel/Mora Region:
Tract: 955200; Blocks: 2011, 2021, 2026, 3009.

## Otero County

Tularosa/Sacramento:
Tract: 000800; Blocks: 1000, 1002, 1006, 1009, 1076, 1078, 2010.
Tract: 000900; Blocks: 2014, 2033, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2062, 2084, 2207, 3192, 3219, 4000, 4471.
Lower Pecos Valley Region:
Tract: 00800; Blocks: 1079, 2008, 2046, 2103, 2153.
Tract: 000900; Blocks: 2003, 2011, 2019, 2020, 2063, 2083, 2103, 2105, 2107, 2137, 2220, 2223, 3214, 3139, 3185, 4817.
Blocks with zero population:
Tract: 000800; Blocks: 2063, 2107, 2110, 2112, 2114, 2115, 2116, 2117, 2120, 2132, 2139, 2152, 2154, 2167, 2168.
Tract: 000900; Blocks: 1000, 2013, 2024, 2045, 2102, 2209, 2210, 3119, $3165,3168,3170,3176,3177,3190,3193,3215,3218,3222,3223,3227,3228$, 4010, 4490, 4553, 4816.

## Rio Arriba County

Santa Fe/Los Alamos:
Tract: 000100; Blocks: 1006.
Tract: 000300; blocks: 1027, 3008.
Tract: 00400; Blocks, 1458, 1508.

## Taos Region

Tract: 000100; Blocks: 1005, 1052.
Rio Arriba Region:
Tract: 00400; Blocks: 1099, 1416, 1463, 1466, 2080.
Tract: 000500; Blocks: 1508, 3138, 3140, 3145, 3147, 3500.
San Juan Region:
Tract: 000500; Blocks: 1452, 3178, 3673.
Tract: 940900; Blocks: 3053.
Blocks with zero population:
Tract: 000100; Blocks: 1000, 1011, 1046, 1047, 1088, 1097, 1100, 1111, 1113, 1117.

Tract: 000300; blocks: 3017, 3032.
Tract: 00400; Blocks, 1094, 1096, 1097, 1304, 1402, 1415, 1452, 1504.
Tract: 940800; Blocks: 3114, 3116, 3198.
Tract: 000500; Blocks: 1521, 1522, 1523, 1542, 3149, 3189, 3195, 3198, 3298, 3301, 3302, 3497, 3514.
Tract: 940900; Blocks: 3015, 3016, 3041, 3043, 3047, 3048, 3050, 3073, 3074, 3075 , 3085, 3104, 3105, 3107, 3296, 3299, 3322, 3343, 3344, 3345, 3484, 3495, 3534, 3535, 3538, 3541, 3542, 3560, 3567, 3743, 3745, 3750.

## Sandoval County

## Middle Rio Grande Region:

Tract: 010200; Blocks: 3134.
Tract: 943300; Blocks: 1038.
San Juan Region:
Tract: 010200; Blocks: 3007, 3009.
Tract: 940900; Blocks: 2000, 2121.
Tract: 943300; Blocks: 1596.

## Blocks with zero population:

Tract: 010101; Blocks: 1000, 1001, 1065
Tract: 940800; Blocks: 3000, 3001, 3002, 3003, 3005, 3006, 3007, 3008, 3009, 3010, 3011, 3012, 3013, 3014, 3015, 3016, 3017, 3018, 3019, 3020, 3021.
Tract: 010200; Blocks: 3043, 3044, 3049, 3050, 3051, 3056, 3135, 3136.
Tract: 940900; Blocks: 2118, 2195, 2199, 2200, 2221, 2222.
Tract: 943300; Blocks: 1001, 1587, 1588, 1595, 1603, 1606, 1794, 1796.

## San Juan County

NW New Mexico Region
Tract: 943100; Blocks: 1075, 1076, 1161, 1169, 1170.
Blocks with zero population:
Tract: 942900; Blocks: 3128, 3130, 7021, 7022, 7024, 7025, 7026, 7027, 7033, 7034, 7035, 7036, 7045, 7046, 7049, 7076, 7078, 7083, 7087, 7088, 7096, 7097, 7098, 7105, 7106, 7107, 7108, 7110, 7113, 7114, 7120, 7121.
Tract: 943100; Blocks: 1026, 1029, 1032, 1077, 1106, 1107, 1108, 1138, 1142, 1143, 1144, 1146, 1147, 1150, 1151, 1152, 1153, 1155, 1157, 2099, 2102, 2103.

## San Miguel County

San Miguel/Mora Region
Tract: 957500; Blocks: 1221, 1230, 1932, 1957.
San Miguel/Mora Region
Tract: 957500; Blocks: 1212, 1390, 1594, 1614.
Blocks with zero population:
Tract: 957500; Blocks: 1051, 1213, 1393, 1405, 1406, 1573, 1585, 1586, 1597, 1598, 1611, 1893, 1894, 1935, 1950, 1951, 1958.

## McKinley County

San Juan Region:
Tract: 943400; Blocks: 1004, 1005, 1047, 1082, 1083, 1085, 1184, 1228, 1601, 1622, 1623, 1629, 1630, 2012, 2108, 2144, 2166, 2170, 2183, 2184, 2186, 2187, 2214, 2256, 4027, 4065, 4285, 4286, 4287.
Tract: 943500; Blocks: 3284, 3286, 4095, 4109.
Tract: 943600; Blocks: 2401, 4002, 4014, 4023, 4024, 4025, 4035, 4039, 4116, 4117, 4131, 4135, 4256, 4409, 4411.
Tract 943700; Blocks: 1152, 1167, 1168, 1195, 1200, 1211, 1218, 1221, $3085,3135,3141,3142,3242,4109$.
Tract: 943800; Blocks: 1084, 1105, 1108, 1110, 1111, 1124, 1195, 1198, 2008, 2035, 2038, 2217, 2255, 2260, 2305, 2318, 2329, 2357, 2451, 2539, 2540, 2541, 2547, 4003, 4012, 4046, 4098, 4106, 4111.

Tract: 943900; Blocks: 1019, 1022, 1028, 1042, 1046, 1047, 1064, 1079, 1088, 1093, 1095, 1096, 1097, 1098, 1423, 1425.
Tract: 946000; Blocks: 2005, 2006, 2033, 2036, 2040, 2067, 2082, 2086, 2088, 2107, 2108, 2112.

## NW New Mexico Region

Tract: 943400; Blocks: 1079, 1183, 2217, 3153, 4024, 4063, 4291.
Tract 943700; Blocks: 3117, 3131, 3144.
Tract: 943800; Blocks: 5087.
Tract: 943900; Blocks: 1003, 1066.
Tract: 946000; Blocks: 2015, 2089.

## Blocks with zero population:

Tract: 943400; Blocks: 1061, 1081, 1087, 1181, 1597, 1624, 1632, 2011, 2202, 2203, 2208, 2210, 2211, 2218, 2219, 3249, 3268, 4038.
Tract: 943500; Blocks: 3285.
Tract: 943600; Blocks: 2096, 2099, 2400, 4009, 4010, 4016, 4017, 4018, 4026, 4031, 4032, 4033, 4034, 4038, 4040, 4041, 4042, 4113, 4117, 4128, 4130, 4133, 4134, 4141, 4144, 4258, 4265, 4266, 4410, 4418.
Tract 943700; Blocks: 1185, 1196, 1219, 1220, 3114, 3122, 3138, 3140, 3143.
Tract: 943800; Blocks: 1106, 1107, 1196, 2002, 2006, 2013, 2014, 2034, 2210, 2215, 2222, 2223, 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, 2306, 2307, 2308, 2310, 2358, 2542, 2545, 4013, 4043, 4044, 4045, 4107, 4110, 5088, 5089, 5090, 5092.
Tract: 943900; Blocks: 1007, 1013, 1073, 1074, 1076, 1078, 1080, 1087, 1090, 1104, 1119.
Tract: 945300; Blocks: 1000, 1001, 1004.
Tract: 946000; Blocks: 2019, 2041, 2043, 2066, 2106, 2109, 2110, 2111, 2563.

## Santa Fe County

## Santa Fe/Los Alamos Region:

Tract 10306, Blocks: 1203, 1221, 2086, 2149, 2153, 2283.

## Estancia Region:

Tract 10306, Blocks: 1262, 2111, 2159, 2174, 2185.
Blocks with zero population:
Tract 10306, Blocks, 1202, 1205, 1215, 1216, 1217, 1224, 1226, 1227, 1257, 1258, 1263, 1267, 1270, 1272, 1274, 2125, 2126, 2128, 2129, 2130, 2140.

## Sierra County

## Socorro/Sierra Region:

Tract: 982400, Blocks: 1035, 1073, 1076, 1230, 1377, 1450, 2075, 2083, 2085, 2159, 2231, 2320.
Socorro/Sierra Region:
Tract: 982400, Blocks: 1113, 1233, 1237, 2084, 2086, 2087, 2102, 2106, 2108, 2120, 2121, 2122, 2124, 2128, 2174, 2205, 2224, 2228, 2310, 2327, 2328, 2498.

## Blocks with zero population:

Tract: 982400, Blocks: 1074, 1232, 1243, 1424, 1426, 1505, 1506, 1509, 1517, 1528, 1532, 1535, 2104, 2229, 2230, 2233, 2321, 2324, 2325, 2475, 2476, 2495, 2496, 2499, 2501, 2502, 2513, 2515, 2591, 2630, 2631, 2632, 2633, 2678, 2727.

## Projection Methodology

The population projections for the water regions were done in two stages. First, the county populations were calculated using a cohort-component method of population projection. Second, a linear regression model was used to estimate the water planning region population within the county.

County population projection. Utilizing the historical vital events rates cited above, and the net migration numbers derived from the 1990 and 2000 Censuses, the July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060 county population numbers were calculated using a cohort-component method of population projections. The cohort-component method requires separate assumptions for each of the components of population change. The fertility rates (TFRs) used in the projection have been extrapolated using a time series regression analysis based on the 30-year historical trend determined for each county. Some modifications were made if the rate implied by the regression results became too low (below 1.5 children per woman) or too high ( 4.0 children per woman). In this instance, the fertility rate in the previous decade was used. At each TFR level is associated a set of age-specific fertility rates (ASFR). The ASFR were applied on the projected population of women between the ages of 15 and 44 years old to obtain the number of births for a given projection year.

To project the survival rates from 2000 to 2060, regional life tables were constructed. Life tables for New Mexico had been calculated by the National Center for Health Statistics but in New Mexico variations in mortality experiences among regions are large that using the state numbers across all regions could exaggerate mortality rates in areas with high life expectancy and understate mortality rates in areas with low life expectancy. To avoid these distortions, the counties were grouped according to mortality levels based on deaths data provided by the Public Health Division of the State Department of Health. The counties were grouped into five regions and for each region a set of model life tables, male and female tables were calculated. The life expectancy for each region was assumed to converge with the projected US 2080 life expectancy. A previous analysis of mortality in New Mexico and the US indicated that, overall, New Mexican life expectancy improved at a much faster rate than the nation as a whole.

From the projected life tables were calculated the survival ratios which in turn were used to estimate the number of survivors for each five-year cohort. To these survivors were added the number of migrants estimated using what are known as Census survival methods presented below.

For the most part, the volume of net migration in each county was assumed to be constant for the period. Where the IRS-based migration estimates were deemed reliable, these estimates were used to calibrate the net migration figures derived from the Census survival methods.

These indirect methods of estimating net migration are called forward and reverse survival methods. These methods assume a closed population, that is, no in- or out-migration in the area. Additional people come from births only and attrition comes from deaths only. In this closed system any difference between the actual and expected counts is attributed to migration.

The forward survival process involves using the 1990 life table survival rates to move forward the 1990 Census populations, disaggregated by sex and age in five-year intervals, to April 1, 2000, the date of the Census 2000. The expected population numbers based on this calculation were compared with the actual Census 2000 counts. The difference between the expected and the actual counts was the result of migration. Applying the same 1990 survival rates on the Census 2000 actual counts, the expected 1990 Census populations were estimated. The implied or expected 1990 numbers were compared with the actual 1990 Census
counts. Again, the difference in the population numbers was attributed to migration. The final migration numbers were calculated by taking the average of the implied migration numbers from the two methods.

The projected population for any given year is the aggregation of the results from the above procedures.

Water Region's population projection. In instances where the county is split into multiple regions, the future share of each region in the county population was estimated using a modified time series regression technique. To accomplish this, the share of the region in the county population was calculated for 1970, 1980, 1990, and 2000. These shares expressed as ratios were used as input in the regression equation. From the regression model, coefficients were derived. The regression coefficients were used to estimate the future share of the region in the county population. To ensure that the subcounty ratios add to unity, a rake factor was calculated to arrive at the adjusted subcounty ratios. The adjusted ratios were then applied on the projected county population to get the region population within the county. Finally, all the region population parts from the various counties were aggregated to obtain the total region population.

## APPENDIX 2

## Appendix 2 <br> Projected County Population <br> July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060

|  | Bernalillo | Catron | Chaves | Cibola | Colfax | Curry | De Baca |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 558,437 | 3,567 | 61,453 | 25,683 | 14,230 | 45,085 | 2,241 |
| 2005 | 593,801 | 3,814 | 63,280 | 26,764 | 14,765 | 46,054 | 2,270 |
| 2010 | 623,421 | 4,001 | 64,801 | 27,740 | 15,234 | 46,961 | 2,289 |
| 2015 | 650,497 | 4,144 | 66,172 | 28,634 | 15,625 | 47,721 | 2,293 |
| 2020 | 675,818 | 4,236 | 67,347 | 29,455 | 15,890 | 48,239 | 2,296 |
| 2025 | 699,267 | 4,281 | 68,180 | 30,215 | 16,021 | 48,483 | 2,295 |
| 2030 | 720,635 | 4,289 | 68,686 | 30,947 | 16,026 | 48,504 | 2,296 |
| 2035 | 739,734 | 4,295 | 68,966 | 31,651 | 15,991 | 48,413 | 2,289 |
| 2040 | 756,525 | 4,336 | 69,077 | 32,284 | 15,796 | 48,229 | 2,292 |
| 2045 | 771,986 | 4,418 | 69,063 | 32,860 | 15,541 | 47,895 | 2,292 |
| 2050 | 787,212 | 4,545 | 68,968 | 33,403 | 15,265 | 47,407 | 2,284 |
| 2055 | 802,743 | 4,715 | 68,846 | 33,938 | 15,009 | 46,793 | 2,274 |
| 2060 | 819,024 | 4,923 | 68,778 | 34,475 | 14,801 | 46,127 | 2,267 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dona Ana | Eddy | Grant | Guadalupe | Harding | Hidalgo | Lea |
| 2000 | 175,524 | 51,736 | 31,083 | 4,696 | 828 | 5,929 | 55,490 |
| 2005 | 197,472 | 53,514 | 32,462 | 5,010 | 806 | 5,875 | 55,044 |
| 2010 | 218,788 | 55,274 | 33,769 | 5,304 | 780 | 5,799 | 54,526 |
| 2015 | 238,677 | 56,948 | 34,920 | 5,555 | 752 | 5,716 | 53,767 |
| 2020 | 256,254 | 58,514 | 35,886 | 5,748 | 726 | 5,624 | 52,632 |
| 2025 | 272,764 | 59,908 | 36,758 | 5,887 | 698 | 5,515 | 51,104 |
| 2030 | 289,897 | 61,066 | 37,657 | 5,989 | 671 | 5,378 | 49,239 |
| 2035 | 306,907 | 61,978 | 38,517 | 6,047 | 650 | 5,230 | 47,695 |
| 2040 | 322,568 | 62,683 | 39,234 | 6,059 | 633 | 5,101 | 47,241 |
| 2045 | 336,560 | 63,281 | 39,819 | 6,023 | 616 | 5,013 | 47,412 |
| 2050 | 348,883 | 63,845 | 40,329 | 5,949 | 596 | 4,976 | 47,601 |
| 2055 | 359,914 | 64,430 | 40,824 | 5,859 | 577 | 4,983 | 47,790 |
| 2060 | 370,005 | 65,091 | 41,332 | 5,782 | 567 | 5,033 | 48,043 |


| Lincoln |  | Los Alamos | Luna | McKinley | Mora | Otero | Quay |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2000 | 19,585 | 18,359 | 25,189 | 75,072 | 5,205 | 62,553 | 10,142 |
| 2005 | 21,819 | 18,722 | 28,634 | 81,484 | 5,704 | 64,851 | 10,089 |
| 2010 | 23,880 | 19,122 | 32,185 | 88,163 | 6,205 | 67,015 | 10,003 |
| 2015 | 25,729 | 19,595 | 35,850 | 95,044 | 6,697 | 68,888 | 9,865 |
| 2020 | 27,315 | 20,099 | 39,484 | 101,750 | 7,144 | 70,493 | 9,642 |
| 2025 | 28,648 | 20,565 | 42,957 | 108,287 | 7,539 | 71,957 | 9,335 |
| 2030 | 29,784 | 20,866 | 46,315 | 114,745 | 7,888 | 73,310 | 8,982 |
| 2035 | 30,672 | 21,034 | 49,546 | 120,866 | 8,195 | 74,463 | 8,595 |
| 2040 | 31,332 | 21,224 | 52,593 | 126,337 | 8,469 | 75,300 | 8,169 |
| 2045 | 31,827 | 21,441 | 55,458 | 131,171 | 8,720 | 75,908 | 7,708 |
| 2050 | 32,178 | 21,636 | 58,090 | 135,367 | 8,966 | 76,388 | 7,224 |
| 2055 | 32,407 | 21,817 | 60,472 | 138,971 | 9,215 | 76,801 | 6,733 |
| 2060 | 32,560 | 22,014 | 62,643 | 142,048 | 9,480 | 77,211 | 6,247 |

source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

## Appendix 2 <br> Projected County Population <br> July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060

|  | Rio Arriba | Roosevelt | Sandoval | San Juan San Miguel |  |  |  |  | Santa Fe | Sierra |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 41,307 | 18,075 | 90,775 | 114,272 | 30,249 | 129,936 | 13,355 |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 43,694 | 19,119 | 108,517 | 121,445 | 32,513 | 143,987 | 15,058 |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 46,030 | 20,198 | 126,216 | 128,592 | 34,746 | 158,624 | 16,700 |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 48,196 | 21,236 | 144,207 | 135,497 | 36,876 | 174,400 | 18,281 |  |  |  |
| 2020 | 50,027 | 22,148 | 162,112 | 142,057 | 38,847 | 191,403 | 19,774 |  |  |  |
| 2025 | 51,451 | 22,961 | 179,543 | 148,250 | 40,612 | 208,801 | 21,172 |  |  |  |
| 2030 | 52,519 | 23,732 | 196,538 | 154,259 | 42,190 | 226,112 | 22,485 |  |  |  |
| 2035 | 53,269 | 24,464 | 213,026 | 159,907 | 43,556 | 244,751 | 23,644 |  |  |  |
| 2040 | 53,676 | 25,119 | 228,929 | 164,877 | 44,668 | 264,778 | 24,567 |  |  |  |
| 2045 | 53,737 | 25,673 | 244,290 | 169,228 | 45,580 | 286,263 | 25,276 |  |  |  |
| 2050 | 53,470 | 26,126 | 259,321 | 173,096 | 46,344 | 308,424 | 25,772 |  |  |  |
| 2055 | 52,922 | 26,510 | 274,243 | 176,655 | 47,003 | 332,054 | 26,073 |  |  |  |
| 2060 | 52,152 | 26,847 | 289,258 | 180,047 | 47,594 | 357,275 | 26,201 |  |  |  |


|  | Socorro | Taos | Torrance | Union | Valencia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2000 | 18,165 | 30,154 | 17,029 | 4,177 | 66,699 |
| 2005 | 19,824 | 32,655 | 19,523 | 4,280 | 76,503 |
| 2010 | 21,472 | 35,114 | 21,684 | 4,365 | 86,670 |
| 2015 | 23,102 | 37,434 | 23,461 | 4,439 | 97,242 |
| 2020 | 24,673 | 39,492 | 24,946 | 4,505 | 107,906 |
| 2025 | 26,139 | 41,265 | 26,246 | 4,561 | 118,339 |
| 2030 | 27,527 | 42,781 | 27,353 | 4,616 | 128,527 |
| 2035 | 28,846 | 43,973 | 28,331 | 4,661 | 138,590 |
| 2040 | 30,086 | 44,760 | 29,236 | 4,686 | 148,563 |
| 2045 | 31,249 | 45,210 | 30,075 | 4,701 | 158,459 |
| 2050 | 32,333 | 45,405 | 30,815 | 4,706 | 168,242 |
| 2055 | 33,356 | 45,407 | 31,461 | 4,709 | 177,940 |
| 2060 | 34,340 | 45,265 | 32,061 | 4,719 | 187,677 |

[^0]APPENDIX 3

# Appendix 3.1 <br> Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060 <br> Bernalillo County 

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total County Population | Middle Rio Grande | Estancia |
| 2000 | 558,437 | 552,850 | 5,587 |
| 2005 | 593,801 | 587,327 | 6,474 |
| 2010 | 623,421 | 616,065 | 7,356 |
| 2015 | 650,497 | 642,073 | 8,424 |
| 2020 | 675,818 | 666,289 | 9,529 |
| 2025 | 699,267 | 688,603 | 10,664 |
| 2030 | 720,635 | 708,817 | 11,818 |
| 2035 | 739,734 | 726,752 | 12,982 |
| 2040 | 756,525 | 742,378 | 14,147 |
| 2045 | 771,986 | 756,662 | 15,324 |
| 2050 | 787,212 | 770,681 | 16,531 |
| 2055 | 802,743 | 784,962 | 17,781 |
| 2060 | 819,024 | 799,941 | 19,083 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |  |
|  | Total County Population | Middle Rio Grande | Estancia |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 99.0 | 1.0 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 98.9 | 1.1 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 98.8 | 1.2 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 98.7 | 1.3 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 98.6 | 1.4 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 98.5 | 1.5 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 98.4 | 1.6 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 98.2 | 1.8 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 98.1 | 1.9 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 98.0 | 2.0 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 97.9 | 2.1 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 97.8 | 2.2 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 97.7 | 2.3 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

| Appendix 3.2 <br> Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060 <br> Catron County |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |
|  | Total County Population | SW New Mexico |
| 2000 | 3,567 | 3,567 |
| 2005 | 3,814 | 3,814 |
| 2010 | 4,001 | 4,001 |
| 2015 | 4,144 | 4,144 |
| 2020 | 4,236 | 4,236 |
| 2025 | 4,281 | 4,281 |
| 2030 | 4,289 | 4,289 |
| 2035 | 4,295 | 4,295 |
| 2040 | 4,336 | 4,336 |
| 2045 | 4,418 | 4,418 |
| 2050 | 4,545 | 4,545 |
| 2055 | 4,715 | 4,715 |
| 2060 | 4,923 | 4,923 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |
|  | Total County | SW New Mexico |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

Appendix 3.3
Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution
July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060
Chaves County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total County Population | Lower Pecos Valley | Tularosa/Sacramento |
| 2000 | 61,453 | 61,443 | 10 |
| 2005 | 63,280 | 63,269 | 11 |
| 2010 | 64,801 | 64,789 | 12 |
| 2015 | 66,172 | 66,158 | 14 |
| 2020 | 67,347 | 67,332 | 15 |
| 2025 | 68,180 | 68,164 | 16 |
| 2030 | 68,686 | 68,669 | 17 |
| 2035 | 68,966 | 68,948 | 18 |
| 2040 | 69,077 | 69,058 | 19 |
| 2045 | 69,063 | 69,043 | 20 |
| 2050 | 68,968 | 68,947 | 21 |
| 2055 | 68,846 | 68,842 | 4 |
| 2060 | 68,778 | 68,757 | 21 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |  |
|  | Total County | Lower Pecos Valley Tularosa/Sacramento |  |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

## Appendix 3.4

Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution
July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060
Cibola County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total County Population | NW New Mexico |
| 2000 | 25,683 | 25,683 |
| 2005 | 26,764 | 26,764 |
| 2010 | 27,740 | 27,740 |
| 2015 | 28,634 | 28,634 |
| 2020 | 29,455 | 29,455 |
| 2025 | 30,215 | 30,215 |
| 2030 | 30,947 | 30,947 |
| 2035 | 31,651 | 31,651 |
| 2040 | 32,284 | 32,284 |
| 2045 | 32,860 | 32,860 |
| 2050 | 33,403 | 33,403 |
| 2055 | 33,938 | 33,938 |
| 2060 | 34,475 | 34,475 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |
|  | Total County | NW New Mexico |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

Appendix 3.5
Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060

Colfax County

|  | Water Region Population in County |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Projection Year | Total County Population | Colfax |
|  |  |  |
| 2000 | 14,230 | 14,230 |
| 2005 | 14,765 | 14,765 |
| 2010 | 15,234 | 15,234 |
| 2015 | 15,625 | 15,625 |
| 2020 | 15,890 | 15,890 |
| 2025 | 16,021 | 16,021 |
| 2030 | 16,026 | 16,026 |
| 2035 | 15,991 | 15,991 |
| 2040 | 15,796 | 15,796 |
| 2045 | 15,541 | 15,541 |
| 2050 | 15,265 | 15,265 |
| 2055 | 15,009 | 15,009 |
| 2060 | 14,801 | 14,801 |
|  | Percent Distribution |  |
|  |  |  |
| 200 | Total $C o u n t y$ | Colfax |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

## Appendix 3.6

Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060 Curry County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total County Population | NE New Mexico |
| 2000 | 45,085 | 45,085 |
| 2005 | 46,054 | 46,054 |
| 2010 | 46,961 | 46,961 |
| 2015 | 47,721 | 47,721 |
| 2020 | 48,239 | 48,239 |
| 2025 | 48,483 | 48,483 |
| 2030 | 48,504 | 48,504 |
| 2035 | 48,413 | 48,413 |
| 2040 | 48,229 | 48,229 |
| 2045 | 47,895 | 47,895 |
| 2050 | 47,407 | 47,407 |
| 2055 | 46,793 | 46,793 |
| 2060 | 46,127 | 46,127 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |
|  | Total County | NE New Mexico |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

Appendix 3.7
Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060

De Baca County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total County Population | Lower Pecos Valley |
| 2000 | 2,241 | 2,241 |
| 2005 | 2,270 | 2,270 |
| 2010 | 2,289 | 2,289 |
| 2015 | 2,293 | 2,293 |
| 2020 | 2,296 | 2,296 |
| 2025 | 2,295 | 2,295 |
| 2030 | 2,296 | 2,296 |
| 2035 | 2,289 | 2,289 |
| 2040 | 2,292 | 2,292 |
| 2045 | 2,292 | 2,292 |
| 2050 | 2,284 | 2,284 |
| 2055 | 2,274 | 2,274 |
| 2060 | 2,267 | 2,267 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |
|  | Total County | Lower Pecos Valley |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

Appendix 3.8
Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060

Dona Ana County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total County Population | Lower Rio Grande |
| 2000 | 175,524 | 175,524 |
| 2005 | 197,472 | 197,472 |
| 2010 | 218,788 | 218,788 |
| 2015 | 238,677 | 238,677 |
| 2020 | 256,254 | 256,254 |
| 2025 | 272,764 | 272,764 |
| 2030 | 289,897 | 289,897 |
| 2035 | 306,907 | 306,907 |
| 2040 | 322,568 | 322,568 |
| 2045 | 336,560 | 336,560 |
| 2050 | 348,883 | 348,883 |
| 2055 | 359,914 | 359,914 |
| 2060 | 370,005 | 370,005 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |
|  | Total County | Lower Rio Grande |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

## Appendix 3.9

Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060

Eddy County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total County <br> Population | Lower Pecos Valley | Tularosa/Sacramento |
|  | 51,736 |  | 9 |
| 2005 | 53,514 | 53,447 | 9 |
| 2010 | 55,274 | 55,209 | 9 |
| 2015 | 56,948 | 56,884 | 10 |
| 2020 | 58,514 | 58,452 | 10 |
| 2025 | 59,908 | 59,841 | 10 |
| 2030 | 61,066 | 61,007 | 10 |
| 2035 | 61,978 | 61,910 | 10 |
| 2040 | 62,683 | 62,630 | 10 |
| 2045 | 63,281 | 63,211 | 9 |
| 2050 | 63,845 | 63,797 | 9 |
| 2055 | 64,430 | 64,360 | 9 |
| 2060 | 65,091 | 65,049 | 9 |
|  |  | Percent Distribution |  |
|  | Total $C 0 u n t y$ | Lower Pecos Valley | Tularosa/Sacramento |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 0.0 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 0.0 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 0.0 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 0.0 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 0.0 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 0.0 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 0.0 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 0.0 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 0.0 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 0.0 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 0.0 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 0.0 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 0.0 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

Appendix 3.10
Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution
July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060
Grant County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total County Population | SW New Mexico |
| 2000 | 31,083 | 31,083 |
| 2005 | 32,462 | 32,462 |
| 2010 | 33,769 | 33,769 |
| 2015 | 34,920 | 34,920 |
| 2020 | 35,886 | 35,886 |
| 2025 | 36,758 | 36,758 |
| 2030 | 37,657 | 37,657 |
| 2035 | 38,517 | 38,517 |
| 2040 | 39,234 | 39,234 |
| 2045 | 39,819 | 39,819 |
| 2050 | 40,329 | 40,329 |
| 2055 | 40,824 | 40,824 |
| 2060 | 41,332 | 41,332 |
|  | Percent Distribution |  |
|  |  | SW New Mexico |
| 2000 | Total $C o u n t y$ | 100.0 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 100.0 |  |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

Appendix 3.11
Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution
July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060
Guadalupe County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total County Population | NE New Mexico |
| 2000 | 4,696 | 4,696 |
| 2005 | 5,010 | 5,010 |
| 2010 | 5,304 | 5,304 |
| 2015 | 5,555 | 5,555 |
| 2020 | 5,748 | 5,748 |
| 2025 | 5,887 | 5,887 |
| 2030 | 5,989 | 5,989 |
| 2035 | 6,047 | 6,047 |
| 2040 | 6,059 | 6,059 |
| 2045 | 6,023 | 6,023 |
| 2050 | 5,949 | 5,949 |
| 2055 | 5,859 | 5,859 |
| 2060 | 5,782 | 5,782 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |
|  | Total County | NE New Mexico |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

| Appendix 3.12 <br> Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060 Harding County |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |
|  | Total County Population | NE New Mexico |
| 2000 | 828 | 828 |
| 2005 | 806 | 806 |
| 2010 | 780 | 780 |
| 2015 | 752 | 752 |
| 2020 | 726 | 726 |
| 2025 | 698 | 698 |
| 2030 | 671 | 671 |
| 2035 | 650 | 650 |
| 2040 | 633 | 633 |
| 2045 | 616 | 616 |
| 2050 | 596 | 596 |
| 2055 | 577 | 577 |
| 2060 | 567 | 567 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |
|  | Total County | NE New Mexico |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

Appendix 3.13
Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060 Hidalgo County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total County Population | SW New Mexico |
| 2000 | 5,929 | 5,929 |
| 2005 | 5,875 | 5,875 |
| 2010 | 5,799 | 5,799 |
| 2015 | 5,716 | 5,716 |
| 2020 | 5,624 | 5,624 |
| 2025 | 5,515 | 5,515 |
| 2030 | 5,378 | 5,378 |
| 2035 | 5,230 | 5,230 |
| 2040 | 5,101 | 5,101 |
| 2045 | 5,013 | 5,013 |
| 2050 | 4,976 | 4,976 |
| 2055 | 4,983 | 4,983 |
| 2060 | 5,033 | 5,033 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |
|  | Total County | SW New Mexico |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Appendix 3.14
Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060

Lea County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total County Population | Lea County |
| 2000 | 55,490 | 55,490 |
| 2005 | 55,044 | 55,044 |
| 2010 | 54,526 | 54,526 |
| 2015 | 53,767 | 53,767 |
| 2020 | 52,632 | 52,632 |
| 2025 | 51,104 | 51,104 |
| 2030 | 49,239 | 49,239 |
| 2035 | 47,695 | 47,695 |
| 2040 | 47,241 | 47,241 |
| 2045 | 47,412 | 47,412 |
| 2050 | 47,601 | 47,601 |
| 2055 | 47,790 | 47,790 |
| 2060 | 48,043 | 48,043 |
|  | Percent Distribution |  |
|  | Total County | Lea County |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

Appendix 3.15
Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060

Lincoln County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total County Population | Lower Pecos Valley | Tularosa/Sacramento |
| 2000 | 19,585 | 17,943 | 1,642 |
| 2005 | 21,819 | 20,240 | 1,579 |
| 2010 | 23,880 | 22,426 | 1,454 |
| 2015 | 25,729 | 24,381 | 1,348 |
| 2020 | 27,315 | 26,115 | 1,200 |
| 2025 | 28,648 | 27,567 | 1,081 |
| 2030 | 29,784 | 28,844 | 940 |
| 2035 | 30,672 | 29,842 | 830 |
| 2040 | 31,332 | 30,625 | 707 |
| 2045 | 31,827 | 31,211 | 616 |
| 2050 | 32,178 | 31,659 | 519 |
| 2055 | 32,407 | 31,959 | 448 |
| 2060 | 32,560 | 32,185 | 375 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |  |
|  | Total County | Lower Pecos Valley Tularosa/Sacramento |  |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 91.6 | 8.4 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 92.8 | 7.2 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 93.9 | 6.1 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 94.8 | 5.2 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 95.6 | 4.4 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 96.2 | 3.8 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 96.8 | 3.2 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 97.3 | 2.7 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 97.7 | 2.3 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 98.1 | 1.9 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 98.4 | 1.6 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 98.6 | 1.4 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 98.8 | 1.2 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

Appendix 3.16
ulation in County and Percent Distribution
uly 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060
Los Alamos County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total County Population | Santa Fe/Los Alamos |
|  |  |  |
| 2000 | 18,359 | 18,359 |
| 2005 | 18,722 | 18,722 |
| 2010 | 19,122 | 19,122 |
| 2015 | 19,595 | 19,595 |
| 2020 | 20,099 | 20,099 |
| 2025 | 20,565 | 20,565 |
| 2030 | 20,866 | 20,866 |
| 2035 | 21,034 | 21,034 |
| 2040 | 21,224 | 21,224 |
| 2045 | 21,441 | 21,441 |
| 2050 | 21,636 | 21,636 |
| 2055 | 21,817 | 21,817 |
| 2060 | 22,014 | 22,014 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | Total County | Santa Fe/Los Alamos |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

Appendix 3.17
Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060

Luna County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total County Population | SW New Mexico |
| 2000 | 25,189 | 25,189 |
| 2005 | 28,634 | 28,634 |
| 2010 | 32,185 | 32,185 |
| 2015 | 35,850 | 35,850 |
| 2020 | 39,484 | 39,484 |
| 2025 | 42,957 | 42,957 |
| 2030 | 46,315 | 46,315 |
| 2035 | 49,546 | 49,546 |
| 2040 | 52,593 | 52,593 |
| 2045 | 55,458 | 55,458 |
| 2050 | 58,090 | 58,090 |
| 2055 | 60,472 | 60,472 |
| 2060 | 62,643 | 62,643 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |
|  | Total County | SW New Mexico |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

## Appendix 3.18

Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060

McKinley County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total County Population | NW New Mexico | San Juan |
| 2000 | 75,072 | 61,968 | 13,104 |
| 2005 | 81,484 | 67,426 | 14,058 |
| 2010 | 88,163 | 73,131 | 15,032 |
| 2015 | 95,044 | 78,891 | 16,153 |
| 2020 | 101,750 | 84,514 | 17,236 |
| 2025 | 108,287 | 90,003 | 18,284 |
| 2030 | 114,745 | 95,433 | 19,312 |
| 2035 | 120,866 | 100,591 | 20,275 |
| 2040 | 126,337 | 105,213 | 21,124 |
| 2045 | 131,171 | 109,311 | 21,860 |
| 2050 | 135,367 | 112,883 | 22,484 |
| 2055 | 138,971 | 115,964 | 23,007 |
| 2060 | 142,048 | 118,610 | 23,438 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |  |
|  | Total County | NW New Mexico | San Juan |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 82.5 | 17.5 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 82.7 | 17.3 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 83.0 | 17.1 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 83.0 | 17.0 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 83.1 | 16.9 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 83.1 | 16.9 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 83.2 | 16.8 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 83.2 | 16.8 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 83.3 | 16.7 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 83.3 | 16.7 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 83.4 | 16.6 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 83.4 | 16.6 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 83.5 | 16.5 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

Appendix 3.19
Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060

Mora County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total County Population | San Miguel/Mora |
| 2000 | 5,205 | 5,205 |
| 2005 | 5,704 | 5,704 |
| 2010 | 6,205 | 6,205 |
| 2015 | 6,697 | 6,697 |
| 2020 | 7,144 | 7,144 |
| 2025 | 7,539 | 7,539 |
| 2030 | 7,888 | 7,888 |
| 2035 | 8,195 | 8,195 |
| 2040 | 8,469 | 8,469 |
| 2045 | 8,720 | 8,720 |
| 2050 | 8,966 | 8,966 |
| 2055 | 9,215 | 9,215 |
| 2060 | 9,480 | 9,480 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |
|  | Total County | San Miguel/Mora |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

Appendix 3.20
Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060

Otero County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total County Population | Tularosa/Sacramento | Lower Pecos Valley |
| 2000 | 62,553 | 59,296 | 3,257 |
| 2005 | 64,851 | 61,256 | 3,595 |
| 2010 | 67,015 | 63,075 | 3,940 |
| 2015 | 68,888 | 64,613 | 4,275 |
| 2020 | 70,493 | 65,890 | 4,603 |
| 2025 | 71,957 | 67,024 | 4,933 |
| 2030 | 73,310 | 68,046 | 5,264 |
| 2035 | 74,463 | 68,875 | 5,588 |
| 2040 | 75,300 | 69,404 | 5,896 |
| 2045 | 75,908 | 69,718 | 6,190 |
| 2050 | 76,388 | 69,910 | 6,478 |
| 2055 | 76,801 | 70,039 | 6,762 |
| 2060 | 77,211 | 70,162 | 7,049 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |  |
|  | Total County | Tularosa/Sacramento | Lower Pecos Valley |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 94.8 | 5.2 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 94.5 | 5.5 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 94.1 | 5.9 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 6.2 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 93.5 | 6.5 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 93.1 | 6.9 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 92.8 | 7.2 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 92.5 | 7.5 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 92.2 | 7.8 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 91.8 | 8.2 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 91.5 | 8.5 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 91.2 | 8.8 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 90.9 | 9.1 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

Appendix 3.21
Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution
July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060
Quay County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total County Population | NE New Mexico |
| 2000 | 10,142 | 10,142 |
| 2005 | 10,089 | 10,089 |
| 2010 | 10,003 | 10,003 |
| 2015 | 9,865 | 9,865 |
| 2020 | 9,642 | 9,642 |
| 2025 | 9,335 | 9,335 |
| 2030 | 8,982 | 8,982 |
| 2035 | 8,595 | 8,595 |
| 2040 | 8,169 | 8,169 |
| 2045 | 7,708 | 7,708 |
| 2050 | 7,224 | 7,224 |
| 2055 | 6,733 | 6,733 |
| 2060 | 6,247 | 6,247 |
|  | Percent Distribution |  |
|  | Total County | NE New Mexico |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

Appendix 3.22
Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060

Rio Arriba County

|  | Water Region Population in County |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Projection Year | Total County <br> Population | Rio Arriba | San Juan | Santa Fe / Los <br> Alamos |  |
|  |  |  | Taos |  |  |
| 2000 | 41,307 | 7,773 | 3,596 | 29,921 | 17 |
| 2005 | 43,694 | 7,679 | 3,636 | 32,353 | 26 |
| 2010 | 46,030 | 7,517 | 3,653 | 34,824 | 37 |
| 2015 | 48,196 | 7,393 | 3,622 | 37,137 | 43 |
| 2020 | 50,027 | 7,179 | 3,549 | 39,249 | 50 |
| 2025 | 51,451 | 6,874 | 3,434 | 41,086 | 57 |
| 2030 | 52,519 | 6,497 | 3,285 | 42,674 | 63 |
| 2035 | 53,269 | 6,062 | 3,108 | 44,029 | 69 |
| 2040 | 53,676 | 5,577 | 2,907 | 45,117 | 75 |
| 2045 | 53,737 | 5,052 | 2,684 | 45,920 | 81 |
| 2050 | 53,470 | 4,498 | 2,446 | 46,440 | 85 |
| 2055 | 52,922 | 3,928 | 2,199 | 46,705 | 90 |
| 2060 | 52,152 | 3,355 | 1,948 | 46,755 | 94 |
|  |  | Percent Distribution |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Santa Fe / Los | Taos |
| Projection Year | Total County | Rio Arriba | San Juan | Alamos |  |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 18.8 | 8.7 | 72.4 | 0.0 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 17.6 | 8.3 | 74.0 | 0.1 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 16.3 | 7.9 | 75.7 | 0.1 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 15.3 | 7.5 | 77.1 | 0.1 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 14.3 | 7.1 | 78.5 | 0.1 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 13.4 | 6.7 | 79.9 | 0.1 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 12.4 | 6.3 | 81.3 | 0.1 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 11.4 | 5.8 | 82.7 | 0.1 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 10.4 | 5.4 | 84.1 | 0.1 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 9.4 | 5.0 | 85.5 | 0.1 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 8.4 | 4.6 | 86.9 | 0.2 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 7.4 | 4.2 | 88.3 | 0.2 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 6.4 | 3.7 | 89.7 | 0.2 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

## Appendix 3.23

Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060

Roosevelt County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total County Population | NE New Mexico |
| 2000 | 18,075 | 18,075 |
| 2005 | 19,119 | 19,119 |
| 2010 | 20,198 | 20,198 |
| 2015 | 21,236 | 21,236 |
| 2020 | 22,148 | 22,148 |
| 2025 | 22,961 | 22,961 |
| 2030 | 23,732 | 23,732 |
| 2035 | 24,464 | 24,464 |
| 2040 | 25,119 | 25,119 |
| 2045 | 25,673 | 25,673 |
| 2050 | 26,126 | 26,126 |
| 2055 | 26,510 | 26,510 |
| 2060 | 26,847 | 26,847 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |
|  | Total County | NE New Mexico |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

Appendix 3.24
Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution
July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060
Sandoval County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total County Population | Middle Rio Grande | San Juan | Santa $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{Los}$ Alamos |
| 2000 | 90,775 | 89,668 | 1,107 | 0 |
| 2005 | 108,517 | 106,928 | 1,589 | 0 |
| 2010 | 126,216 | 124,058 | 2,158 | 0 |
| 2015 | 144,207 | 141,662 | 2,545 | 0 |
| 2020 | 162,112 | 159,162 | 2,950 | 0 |
| 2025 | 179,543 | 176,177 | 3,366 | 0 |
| 2030 | 196,538 | 192,745 | 3,793 | 0 |
| 2035 | 213,026 | 208,797 | 4,229 | 0 |
| 2040 | 228,929 | 224,259 | 4,670 | 0 |
| 2045 | 244,290 | 239,172 | 5,118 | 0 |
| 2050 | 259,321 | 253,746 | 5,575 | 0 |
| 2055 | 274,243 | 268,196 | 6,047 | 0 |
| 2060 | 289,258 | 282,721 | 6,537 | 0 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |  |  |
|  | Total County | Middle Rio Grande | San Juan | Santa Fe/Los Alamos |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 98.8 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 98.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 98.3 | 1.7 | 0.0 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 98.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 98.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 98.1 | 1.9 | 0.0 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 98.1 | 1.9 | 0.0 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 98.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 98.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 97.9 | 2.1 | 0.0 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 97.9 | 2.2 | 0.0 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 97.8 | 2.2 | 0.0 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 97.7 | 2.3 | 0.0 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

Appendix 3.25
Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060

San Juan County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total County Population | San Juan | NW New Mexico |
| 2000 | 114,272 | 113,694 | 578 |
| 2005 | 121,445 | 120,810 | 635 |
| 2010 | 128,592 | 127,898 | 694 |
| 2015 | 135,497 | 134,752 | 745 |
| 2020 | 142,057 | 141,261 | 796 |
| 2025 | 148,250 | 147,405 | 845 |
| 2030 | 154,259 | 153,364 | 895 |
| 2035 | 159,907 | 158,964 | 943 |
| 2040 | 164,877 | 163,888 | 989 |
| 2045 | 169,228 | 168,196 | 1,032 |
| 2050 | 173,096 | 172,023 | 1,073 |
| 2055 | 176,655 | 175,542 | 1,113 |
| 2060 | 180,047 | 178,895 | 1,152 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |  |
|  | Total County | San Juan | NW New Mexico |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 99.5 | 0.5 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 99.5 | 0.5 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 99.5 | 0.5 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 99.5 | 0.6 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 0.6 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 0.6 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 0.6 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 0.6 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 0.6 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 0.6 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 0.6 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 0.6 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 0.6 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

## Appendix 3.26

Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060

San Miguel County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total County Population | San Miguel/Mora | NE New Mexico |
| 2000 | 30,249 | 29,743 | 506 |
| 2005 | 32,513 | 31,973 | 540 |
| 2010 | 34,746 | 34,173 | 573 |
| 2015 | 36,876 | 36,269 | 607 |
| 2020 | 38,847 | 38,210 | 637 |
| 2025 | 40,612 | 39,948 | 664 |
| 2030 | 42,190 | 41,502 | 688 |
| 2035 | 43,556 | 42,848 | 708 |
| 2040 | 44,668 | 43,944 | 724 |
| 2045 | 45,580 | 44,844 | 736 |
| 2050 | 46,344 | 45,598 | 746 |
| 2055 | 47,003 | 46,249 | 754 |
| 2060 | 47,594 | 46,832 | 762 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |  |
|  | Total County | San Miguel/Mora | NE New Mexico |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 98.3 | 1.7 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 98.3 | 1.7 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 98.4 | 1.7 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 98.4 | 1.6 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 98.4 | 1.6 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 98.4 | 1.6 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 98.4 | 1.6 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 98.4 | 1.6 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 98.4 | 1.6 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 98.4 | 1.6 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 98.4 | 1.6 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 98.4 | 1.6 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 98.4 | 1.6 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

Appendix 3.27
Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060

Santa Fe County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total County Population | Santa Fe/Los Alamos | Estancia |
| 2000 | 129,936 | 120,879 | 9,057 |
| 2005 | 143,987 | 132,842 | 11,145 |
| 2010 | 158,624 | 145,125 | 13,499 |
| 2015 | 174,400 | 157,788 | 16,612 |
| 2020 | 191,403 | 171,229 | 20,174 |
| 2025 | 208,801 | 184,674 | 24,127 |
| 2030 | 226,112 | 197,690 | 28,422 |
| 2035 | 244,751 | 211,502 | 33,249 |
| 2040 | 264,778 | 226,121 | 38,658 |
| 2045 | 286,263 | 241,563 | 44,700 |
| 2050 | 308,424 | 257,133 | 51,291 |
| 2055 | 332,054 | 273,463 | 58,591 |
| 2060 | 357,275 | 290,607 | 66,667 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |  |
|  | Total County | Santa Fe/Los Alamos | Estancia |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 93.0 | 7.0 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 92.3 | 7.7 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 91.5 | 8.5 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 90.5 | 9.5 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 89.5 | 10.5 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 88.4 | 11.6 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 87.4 | 12.6 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 86.4 | 13.6 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 85.4 | 14.6 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 84.4 | 15.6 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 83.4 | 16.6 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 82.4 | 17.6 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 81.3 | 18.7 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

## Appendix 3.28

Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060

Sierra County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total County Population | Socorro/Sierra | Lower Rio Grande |
| 2000 | 13,355 | 1,577 | 11,778 |
| 2005 | 15,058 | 1,778 | 13,280 |
| 2010 | 16,700 | 1,972 | 14,728 |
| 2015 | 18,281 | 2,218 | 16,063 |
| 2020 | 19,774 | 2,464 | 17,310 |
| 2025 | 21,172 | 2,707 | 18,465 |
| 2030 | 22,485 | 2,948 | 19,537 |
| 2035 | 23,644 | 3,177 | 20,467 |
| 2040 | 24,567 | 3,380 | 21,187 |
| 2045 | 25,276 | 3,560 | 21,716 |
| 2050 | 25,772 | 3,714 | 22,058 |
| 2055 | 26,073 | 3,842 | 22,231 |
| 2060 | 26,201 | 3,946 | 22,255 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |  |
|  | Total County | Socorro/Sierra | Lower Rio Grande |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 11.8 | 88.2 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 11.8 | 88.2 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 11.8 | 88.2 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 12.1 | 87.9 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 12.8 | 87.2 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 13.1 | 86.9 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 13.4 | 86.6 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 13.8 | 86.2 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 14.1 | 85.9 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 14.4 | 85.6 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 14.7 | 85.3 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 15.1 | 84.9 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

Appendix 3.29
Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060

Socorro County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total County Population | Socorro/Sierra |
| 2000 | 18,165 | 18,165 |
| 2005 | 19,824 | 19,824 |
| 2010 | 21,472 | 21,472 |
| 2015 | 23,102 | 23,102 |
| 2020 | 24,673 | 24,673 |
| 2025 | 26,139 | 26,139 |
| 2030 | 27,527 | 27,527 |
| 2035 | 28,846 | 28,846 |
| 2040 | 30,086 | 30,086 |
| 2045 | 31,249 | 31,249 |
| 2050 | 32,333 | 32,333 |
| 2055 | 33,356 | 33,356 |
| 2060 | 34,340 | 34,340 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |
|  | Total County | Socorro/Sierra |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

Appendix 3.30
Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060

Taos County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total County Population | Taos |
| 2000 | 30,154 | 30,154 |
| 2005 | 32,655 | 32,655 |
| 2010 | 35,114 | 35,114 |
| 2015 | 37,434 | 37,434 |
| 2020 | 39,492 | 39,492 |
| 2025 | 41,265 | 41,265 |
| 2030 | 42,781 | 42,781 |
| 2035 | 43,973 | 43,973 |
| 2040 | 44,760 | 44,760 |
| 2045 | 45,210 | 45,210 |
| 2050 | 45,405 | 45,405 |
| 2055 | 45,407 | 45,407 |
| 2060 | 45,265 | 45,265 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |
|  | Total County | Taos |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

Appendix 3.31
Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060

Torrance County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total County Population | Middle Rio Grande |
| 2000 | 17,029 | 17,029 |
| 2005 | 19,523 | 19,523 |
| 2010 | 21,684 | 21,684 |
| 2015 | 23,461 | 23,461 |
| 2020 | 24,946 | 24,946 |
| 2025 | 26,246 | 26,246 |
| 2030 | 27,353 | 27,353 |
| 2035 | 28,331 | 28,331 |
| 2040 | 29,236 | 29,236 |
| 2045 | 30,075 | 30,075 |
| 2050 | 30,815 | 30,815 |
| 2055 | 31,461 | 31,461 |
| 2060 | 32,061 | 32,061 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |
|  | Total County | Middle Rio Grande |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

Appendix 3.32
Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060

Union County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total County Population | NE New Mexico |
| 2000 | 4,177 | 4,177 |
| 2005 | 4,280 | 4,280 |
| 2010 | 4,365 | 4,365 |
| 2015 | 4,439 | 4,439 |
| 2020 | 4,505 | 4,505 |
| 2025 | 4,561 | 4,561 |
| 2030 | 4,616 | 4,616 |
| 2035 | 4,661 | 4,661 |
| 2040 | 4,686 | 4,686 |
| 2045 | 4,701 | 4,701 |
| 2050 | 4,706 | 4,706 |
| 2055 | 4,709 | 4,709 |
| 2060 | 4,719 | 4,719 |
| Projection Year | Percent Distribution |  |
|  | Total County | NE New Mexico |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2005 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

Appendix 3.33
Water Region Population in County and Percent Distribution
July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2060
Valencia County

| Projection Year | Water Region Population in County |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total County Population | Middle Rio Grande |
|  |  |  |
| 2005 | 66,699 | 66,699 |
| 2010 | 76,503 | 76,503 |
| 2015 | 86,670 | 86,670 |
| 2020 | 97,242 | 97,242 |
| 2025 | 107,906 | 107,906 |
| 2030 | 118,339 | 118,339 |
| 2035 | 128,527 | 128,527 |
| 2040 | 138,590 | 138,590 |
| 2045 | 148,563 | 148,563 |
| 2050 | 158,459 | 158,459 |
| 2055 | 168,242 | 168,242 |
| 2060 | 177,940 | 177,940 |
|  | 187,677 | 187,677 |
|  | Percent Distribution |  |
| 2000 | Total County | Middle Rio Grande |
| 2005 |  | 100.0 |
| 2010 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2015 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2020 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2025 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2030 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2035 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2040 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2045 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2050 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2055 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2060 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |

Source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico


[^0]:    source: Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico

